

# Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra





# The Humor Techniques of Presupposition Deviations in The Konto Manzai of Sandwichman

Kevin Basyarah\*, and Desy Irmayanti

Dr. Soetomo University, Surabaya \*Correspondence: E-mail: kevin.basyarah@gmail.com

### **ABSTRACT**

This research explores the humor technique of distorting presuppositions in Sandwichman's konto manzai. In communication, interlocutors often rely on certain assumptions to interpret a speaker's intentions, known as presuppositions. Humor doesn't just deviate from the semantic aspects of language; it also deviates from the rules of pragmatics. In Japan, humor is a highly popular form of entertainment, with various types like dokkiri, rakugo, shabekuri, konto manzai, and more. Manzai, a particular style of comedy show, is especially well- received. This research is intriguing because it sheds light on humor techniques from the perspective of presuppositional deviation. The analysis of presuppositional deviations is grounded in Yule's presupposition theory and Arthur Asa Berger's theory of humor techniques. The goal of this study is to describe the humorous technique of distorting presuppositions in Sandwichman's konto manzai. We employ a qualitative method with adescriptive approach. The data utilized consists of speech in konto manzai that exhibits deviations in presuppositions, along with the humor techniques stemming from these deviations. The results reveal the presence of 50 data points with presupposition deviations. Among these, 11 distinct humor techniques related to presupposition deviations in Sandwichman's konto manzai are identified.

### **ARTICLE INFO**

#### Article History:

Received 06 May 2024 First Revised 12 Jun 2024 Accepted 15 Jul 2024 Publication Date 01 Oct 2024

#### Keyword:

humor; pragmatics; presupposition

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Language is a universal tool used byhumans to communicate. Communication is used as a means to convey ideas, feelings, information, and the like. Each participant in speech acts is responsible for their actions and deviations from the norms of language in linguistic interactions, according to Allan (in Wijana, 1996). Therefore, in communication, there is a need for the study of language, which is linguistics.

In the field of language or linguistics, there is a branch of study that examines contextual meaning indiscourse, known as pragmatics. Yule(2006) suggests that pragmatics is more concerned with analyzing what people intend with their utterances. Pragmatics encompasses various areas of study, one of which is presupposition or assumptions.

In communication, there is aresponsibility for participants in speechso that all parties can understand the meaning of an utterance. The process ofunderstanding speech involves not only a literal understanding but also various other important aspects. Specifically, the interlocutor may need to connect the utterance to certain assumptions to interpret the speaker's intent. For example, in the statement "This cake is very delicious," the maxim of quantity is at play as it provides just enough information and triggers several assumptions, such as 'there is a cake' or'there is delicious cake.' The study of assumptions is also a part of pragmatics, and this study is called presupposition.

Yule (2006) argues that presupposition is something assumed by the speaker as an event thatoccurs before making a speech. Therefore, presupposition can be understood as the initial assumptions of the speaker before engaging in speech. Yule (in Mustajab, 2006) classifies presupposition into six types: existential presupposition, factive presupposition, lexical presupposition, structural presupposition, non-factive presupposition, and counterfactual presupposition. Often in humor, there is a deviation from these presuppositions intentionally to build initial presuppositions in speech. Once the initial presupposition is established, then comedians deviate from, creating humor that makes people laugh.

Humor is not merely a deviation in the semantic aspect of language, but a deviation from the pragmatic principles (Astuti, 2006). To create humor, speakers often use wordplay in their speech. According to Berger (1993), ina joke, there is usually a narrative that ends with an intriguing punchline. The punchline can be achieved by deviating from presuppositions in a speech. Berger (1993) categorizes language humor techniques into 15 types, including allusion, bombast, definition, exaggeration, facetiousness, infantilism, insults, irony, misunderstanding, overliteralness, Puns or word play, repartee, ridicule, sarcasm and satire.

There are many types of humor inJapan, such as "dokkiri," "rakugo," "shabekuri," "konto," "manzai," and others. One popular comedy format in Japan is "manzai" (Gavin, 2017). Manzai is a comedic performance involving two comedians on stage who engage in communication with the goal of makingthe audience laugh. In a manzai performance, the comedians play distinct roles: the "tsukkomi" is the straight-manrole, and the "boke" is the funny role that deviates from presuppositions to create laughter.

Nowadays, manzai is divided into two types: "shabekuri manzai" and "konto manzai" or simply "konto". The main difference between shabekuri manzai andkonto manzai lies in the use of gestures. Konto manzai often involves the use of gestures and props to create laughter. Sandwichman is a comedy duo composed of Mikio Date and Takeshi Tomizawa, originating from Sendai City in Miyagi Prefecture. Sandwichman frequently performs both shabekuri manzai and kontomanzai, but their specialty is konto manzai.

Based on the background provided, the context within a speech situation playsa crucial role in leading the listener's assumptions to create humor, enabling the audience to laugh through the deviation of presuppositions in the utterances. This research is intriguing because in Sandwichman's *konto manzai*, many utterances involve the deviation of presuppositions, resulting in humorous effects. Given this phenomenon, the researcher aims to investigate further the humor techniques derived from the deviation of presuppositions found in Sandwichman's *konto manzai* performances.

Research on presupposition and humor has been conducted. A research conducted by Zainal (2015) discusses violations of the cooperative principle and politeness principles that appear in NETA Jinnai Tomonori's humor content. The results of this research revealed 41 violations of the cooperative principle and 14 violations of politeness principles. The next research was written by Ikkasavitri (2021) delves into "kandoushi" and presuppositions in "kandoushi" in the manga Gekkan Shoujo Nozaki-kun volumes 1-2 by Izumi Tsubaki. The findings of this research include 163 data of "kandoushi", 73 data of "kandoushi kandou", 58 data of "kandoushi outou", 20 data of "kandoushi yobikake", and 12 data of "kandoushi aisatsugo". Additionally, 142 data of "kandoushi" with presuppositions were found, including 60 factive presuppositions, 55 existential presuppositions, 13 lexical presuppositions, 12 non-factive presuppositions have been conducted by Puksi (2018); Kristiawan (2018); Yang (2020), and Abdalhakeem and Mubarak (2019).

There are similarities in the topics explored, which revolve around humor and the theory of presupposition. However, this research also has differences from the previous three studies. The distinction lies in the perspective on presuppositions, which takes a viewpoint of deviating from presuppositions. Additionally, this research places a stronger emphasis on the humor techniques employed, utilizing Berger's theory. In this study, the focus is not solely on humor techniques but also on the utterances that contribute to the formation of humor through deviations in presupposition theory.

#### 2. METHODS

The method used in this research isa descriptive qualitative method. Bogdan and Taylor (in Moleong, 2016) state that descriptive data in the form of spoken or written words from observed objects are data that can be generated by qualitativemethodology. Moleong (2016) also adds that the qualitative descriptive method produces data in the form of words or specific descriptions and not numerical figures. This research employs the qualitative descriptive method because it describes the forms of words and language used in the utterances of *konto manzai* comedians. The research uses a pragmatic approach in general. Furthermore, it employs a presupposition specific approach and humor techniques to analyze the findings.

The data in this research consists of spoken words or sentences that exhibit deviations in presupposition and humor techniques derived in Sandwichman's *konto manzai* performances. The primary data sources used in this research are utterances spoken in 5 *konto manzai* videos by Sandwichman thathave been uploaded to YouTube within the last 5 years and downloaded using the Internet Download Manager application. The secondary data sources used in this research include literature related to the research topics of presuppositions and humor. These secondary sources includebooks and previous research.

Data collection in this research employs the note-taking technique. The data collection technique used in this research is note-taking. Note-taking is atechnique that

is applied to existing data, and subsequently, classification or grouping is performed (Sudaryanto, cited in Muhammad, 2016). The researcher records the data findings in a Microsoft Word program. Then ensure that the data is in line with the research questions and double-checkthe findings to ensure their relevance to the research questions. After the data findings have been identified as relevant to the research questions, the researcher areplaced into a table as follows to facilitate analysis.

**Table 1** Classification of Presupposition Deviations and Humor Techniques

Num	Video Title	Data	Presupposition Deviations						Code	Humor
ber			Е	F	L	S	NF	K		Techniques
	Total									

Keterangan:

E = Existential F = Factive L = Lexical S = Structural

NF = Non-factive K = Counterfactual

After the data has been collected, the researcher proceeds to analyze the data to address the research questions. The data analysis technique used in this research is descriptive analysis. Below is atable representing data analysis in the form of classifications related to the research questions. Once all the data has been classifiedbased on the research questions, the researcher discusses the data according to the descriptive analysis. Conclusions are drawn from the analyzed data, and the results of the data analysis are reported.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

After the analysis process is conducted based on the method outlined in the introduction, the results of this research are presented using tables and explanations, utilizing the following theories:

**Table 2** Humor Techniques from Presupposition Deviations

No								
	Humor Techniques	Exis tential	Fac tive	Le xical	Struc tural	Non factive	Counter factual	Total
1	Allusion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Bombast	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Definition	-	1	1	1	-	-	3
4	Exagge ration	3	7	5	-	-	1	16
5	Facetiousness	-	-	-	2	-	1	3
6	Infantilism	-	-	1	-	-	1	2
7	Insults	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
8	Irony	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

DOI: https://doi.org/10.17509/bs\_jpbsp.v24i2.75393

9	Misunde rstanding	2	1	3	1	-	-	7
10	Over literalness	-	1	3	-	-	-	4
11	Puns or word play	-	3	1	-	-	-	4
12	Repartee	-	-	-	2	-	-	2
13	Ridicule	-	-	4	1	-	-	5
14	Sarcasm	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Satire	-	1	2	-	-	-	3
	Total	5	14	20	7	-	4	50

In the table above, it can be observed that out of the 6 presuppositions presented by Yule, there are deviations in 5 presuppositions that occur. The most frequently occurring presupposition deviation is in lexical presupposition, with the following breakdown for each presupposition deviation: lexical (20 data), factive (14 data), structural (7 data), existential (5 data), and counterfactual (4 data).

In the table above, it can also be observed that out of the 5 presupposition deviations, there are 11 humor techniquesthat emerge from the 15 humor techniques proposed by Arthur Asa Berger. The most frequently occurring humor technique is exaggeration. With the following breakdown for each humor technique that appears: exaggeration (16 data), misunderstanding (7 data), ridicule (5 data), literalness (4 data), wordplay (4 data), definition (3 data), facetiousness (3data), satire (3 data), infantilism (2 data), repartee (2 data), and insults (1 data).

# 1) Existential Presupposition Deviations

Based on Yule (in Mustajab,2006:46), existential presupposition is a presupposition that assumes the existencenot only in the possessive structure but more generally or broadly into a specificphrase. Existential presupposition refersmore to ownership, but it can also extend to the broader existence within a statement of utterance.

#### Data 1

## Context:

In a restaurant, a customer is scolding the waiter for providing a repulsive food recommendation. The waiter suggested adding insects to the meal as a surprise forthe customer's lover.

客:何だろうなあなた、考えてるさプレート認識が違うんですけど、

それ相手喜んでじゃないですか。

ウェイトレス: じゃあ、その虫<u>食</u> べれるんですけど。

Kyaku : Nandarou na anata,

kangaeteru sa pureeto ninshikiga chiqaundesukedo, sore aite yorokonde janai desuka.

Weitoresu : Jaa, sono mushi

tabererundesukedo.

Customer: 'What's wrong with you,

your way of thinking about presentation has a different perception, it doesn't make

the partner happy.'

Waiter : 'If that's so, what if those

insects can be eaten?' (V4/03:59-04:06/E/01)

In the utterance "If that's so, what if those insects" (line 8), an existential presupposition is found. According to Yule (in Mustajab, 2006:46), an existential presupposition is an assumption that indicates existence. The existential presupposition in the utterance abovepertains to the existence of a noun is insect. In the utterance 'If that's so, whatif those insects' (p1), it gives rise to presuppositions (q1) or (q2), as explainedbelow:

'If that's so, what if those insects' (p1)

>> Will be replaced with a different menu(q1)

>> The insects will be removed (q2)

From the explanation of presuppositions above, it can be inferred(p1 >> q2) or (p1 >> q2). Considering the context, the customer rejects the waiter's suggestion to add insects to the food because it would make the customer's lover unhappy. Initially, the waiter's response, seemingly understanding the customer's intent andresponding with 'If that's so, what if thoseinsects' (p1) gives rise to the presupposition 'will be replaced with a different menu' (q1) or 'the insects will beremoved (q2). However in data 1 or theutterance 'can be eaten?', the waiter deviates presupposition (q1) or (q2).

The humor technique employed in the deviation of existential presupposition in in data 1 or the utterance 'can be eaten? is exaggeration. According to Berger (1993:33), exaggeration expects the listener to believe. The context should have been thewaiter finding a solution to the customer's complaint about the insect menu suggestion. However, the waiter insists on serving the insect menu and expects the customer to believe that it edible'.

# 2) Factive Presupposition Deviations

According to Yule (2006), informationpresupposed following the verb 'know' can be considered as a fact and categorized as factive presupposition. Besides this verb, there are other verbs that can indicate a fact in this presupposition, such as 'realize', 'regret', 'aware', 'amaze', 'happy', and other verbs.

Data 2

Context:

In a mattress store, a customer tell his need for a mattress to the store employee. 客 : あのう、ベッドが必要。店員 : あ、すみません、内犬

とか猫とか置いてない**ま**ね。

Kyaku : Anou beddo ga hitsuyou.

Tenin : A, sumimasen, uchi inu tokaneko oitenai desu yo nee.

Customer: 'Excuse me, I need amattress.'

Employee: 'Ah, I'm sorry, our storedoesn't have dogs and cats.'

(V1/00:48 - 00:51/F/01)

In the statement 'Ah, I'm sorry' (line 3), a factive presupposition is found. According to Yule (in Mustajab, 2006) in statement 'Ah, I'm sorry' (p1), there is the verb 'regret' expressed through an apology. 'Ah, I'm sorry' (p1)generates presuppositions (q1) or (q2), sowe can obtain (p1 >> q2) or (p1 >> q2). Like in the following explanation:

'Ah, I'm sorry' (p1)

>> Apologizing for not being able to finda mattress (q1).

>> Currently unable to assist (q2).

From the explanation of the presupposition above, we obtain (p1 >>q1) or (p1 >> q2). Looking at the context, the employee's response in the statement'ah, sorry' (p1) creates the presupposition 'apologizing for not being able to find amattress' (q1) or 'currently unable toassist' (q2). However, in data 2 or in statement 'our store does not have dogs and cats' deviates from the presupposition (q1) or (q2).

The humor technique utilized in the deviation of factual presupposition in 'our store doesn't have dogs and cats.' ordata 2 is the technique of misunderstanding. According to Berger (1993:43), it involves the ambiguity of language or the strange meanings generated when language is taken out of context. Data 2 mixes up the syllables between 'beddo' and 'petto' resulting in the conversation going out of context, leading to a misunderstanding.

# 3) Lexical Presupposition Deviations

Based on Yule (in Mustajab, 2006:47), lexical presuppositions are derived from statements that are interpreted through emphasis in the utterance. From the explanation of the presupposition above, we obtain (p1 >>q1) or (p1 >> q2). Looking at the context, the employee's response in the statement 'Well, if you say it like that' (p1) creates the presupposition 'the employee understands the customer's intention' (q1) or 'the employee will provide adviceabout the desired mattress' (q2). However, in data 3 or in statement 'the best thing would be sleeping pills' deviates from the presupposition (q1) or(q2).

#### Data 3

#### Context:

In a mattress store, a customer wants to inquire and seek advice on a mattress that can provide a comfortable for sleep to the store employee.

客: なんかないかねえ?

店員 : そうですね、そんなと言えば、一番の嗣明役。

Kyaku : Nanka nai ka nee?

Tenin : Sou desu ne, sonna to ieba, <u>ichibanno suiminyaku</u>.

Customer: 'Is there one?'

Employee : 'Well, if you say it like that, the best thing would be sleeping pills.'

(V1/02:12-02:15/L/01)

In the statement 'Well, if you say itlike that' (line 3) a lexical presupposition is found. According to Yule (in Mustajab, 2006: 48), this is a presupposition in speech that is interpreted through emphasis. The statement 'Well, if you sayit like that' contains a reemphasis on the customer's intention. In the statement'Well, if you say it like that' (p1), it generates presuppositions (q1) or (q2), sowe can obtain (p1 >> q1) or (p1 >> q2), as explained below:

'Well, if you say it like that' (p1)

- >> The employee understands thecustomer's intention (q1).
- >> The employee will provide adviceabout the desired mattress (q2).

The humor technique employed in the deviation of lexical presupposition in data 3 or in statement 'the best thing would be sleeping pills' is technique of definition. According to Berger (1993:30), humorous definition is a kind of joke where the listener or reader momentarily finds something light or zany when they expected something serious or

heavy. Data 3 conveys information that is commonly known, which is that sleeping pills can promoterestful sleep. Although they share similar characteristics with the customer's request, the mattress store employee provides advice that is not in line with thecontext. The context in this conversation is about mattresses, not sleeping pills.

# 4) Structural Presupposition Deviations

According to Yule (as cited in Mustajab, 2006: 47), structural presuppositions are conventionally interpreted through question words. Structural presuppositions are marked by structures that are assumed to be true.

# Data 4

#### Context:

The employee is currently receiving a complaint over the phone from a customer about a pants he recently bought has white stains on it.

会社員: どう言うことでしょう

か?<u>それはホワイトジ</u> ーンズであって、周り**錺**。

Kaishain : Dou iu koto deshouka?

sore wa howaito jiinzu deatte, mawari ga kitanai.

Employee : 'What are you talking about? It's white jeans, andthe area around it is dirty.'

(V4/02:39-02:46/S/05)

In the statement 'what are you talking about?' (line 2), structural presupposition is found. According to Yule (as described in Mustajab, 2006: 48),this is a presupposition in the utterance that is interpreted with a question word. The statement 'what are you talking about?' contains a question word, indicating that the employee wants to clarify what the customer is trying to convey. In the statement 'what are you talking about?' (p1), it triggers presuppositions (q1) or (q2), allowing us to derive (p1 >> q1) or (p1 >> q2), as explained below:

'What are you talking about?' (p1)

- >> The employee doesn't understand thecustomer's intent (q1).
- >> The employee wants to clarify thecustomer's intent (q2).

From the explanation of the presupposition above, we obtain (p1 >>q1) or (p1 >> q2). Looking at the context, the employee's response in the statement'What are you talking about?' (p1) createsthe presupposition 'the employee doesn't understand the customer's intent' (q1). or 'the employee wants to clarify the customer's intent' (q2). However, in data 4 or in statement 'It's white jeans, and thearea around it is dirty.' deviates from the presupposition (q1) or (q2).

The humor technique employed in the deviation of structural presupposition in data 4 is the technique of repartee. According to Berger (1993:45), repartee is a technique that counters aggression with aggression. In data 4 or in the statement 'it's white jeans, and the area around it is dirty,', humor arises because the employee attempts to deny the customer's complaint. This humortechnique occurs because the employee's response doesn't align with the context. The employee should have acknowledged the customer's complaint and sought a solution, rather than denying the complaint.

# 5) Counterfactual Presupposition Deviations

According to Yule (as cited in Mustajab, 2006: 51), counterfactual presupposition is an assumption conveyed by the speaker that is not only untrue but also the opposite of reality.

#### Data 5

# Context:

A customer enters a mattress store and finds an employee waking up from sleepon one of the mattresses for sale. The customer then scolds the employee for appearing to have just woken up from sleep while at work.

客:なんだよお前は?なんで寝てなんだ?大体ここに。

店員 : 寝てないんす。 客 : 寝てだろう? 今。

店員: いや、寝てないですけ

ど、<u>あと10分だけ、</u>

10分だけ。

Kyaku : Nandayo omae? Nande netenanda? Daitai koko ni.

Tenin : Netenainsu. Kyaku : Netedarou? Ima.

Tenin : Iya, netenaidesu kedo, <u>ato juppun dake, juppun dake</u>.

Customer: 'What are you doing? Why

are you sleeping? Especiallyhere.'

Employee : 'I'm not sleeping.'

Customer: 'You are sleeping, right? Here.'

Employee : 'No, I'm not sleeping, but give me 10 more minutes, just 10 more minutes.'

(V1/00:17-00:23/K/01)

In the statement 'no, I'm not sleeping' (line 8), counterfactual presupposition is found. According to Yule (as cited in Mustajab, 2006: 51), counterfactual presupposition is an assumption conveyed by the speaker that is not only untrue but also the opposite of reality. The statement 'no, I'm not sleeping' is contradictory to the context and the employee's actual actions. In the statement "No, I'm not sleeping" or (p1), it triggers presupposition (q1), allowing us to derive (p1  $\Rightarrow$  q2), as explained below:

'No, I'm not sleeping' (p1)

>> The employee is not sleeping (q1).

>> The employee denies being asleep(q2).

From the explanation of the presupposition above, we obtain (p1 >>q1) or (p1 >> q2). Looking at the context, the employee's response in the statement'No, I'm not sleeping' (p1) creates the presupposition 'the employee is not sleeping' (q1). or 'the employee denies being asleep' (q2). However, in data 5 or in statement 'but give me 10 more minutes, just 10 more minutes.' deviates from the presupposition (q1) or (q2).

The humor technique employed in the deviation of counterfactual presupposition in data 5 or in statement but give me 10 more minutes, just 10 more minutes is technique of Infantilism. In data 5 or in statement but give me 10 more minutes, just 10 more minutes theemployee makes a statement commonly uttered by children when they are awakened from sleep. Children often request more time if they are woken up from their sleep.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the research and discussion on humor techniques derived from the presuppositions deviation of *konto manzai* sandwichman, the researcher concluded that: 1) out of the 50 data, there are five types of deviations presuppositions, with abreakdown of 20 lexical presuppositions, 14 factive presuppositions, 7 structural presuppositions, 5 existential presuppositions, and 4 counterfactual presuppositions. The dominance of deviations in lexical presuppositions occurs because it seems as if the "boke" understands the "tsukkom," as indicated by assertive statements. This leads the audience to assume that the "boke" comprehends the "tsukkomi" intent before ultimately deviating from the presupposition, and 2) among the 50 data of presupposition deviations, there are 11 humor techniques employed. The most prevalent humor technique is exaggeration. The dominance of the exaggeration technique is due to the "boke" often delivering exaggerated statements that gobeyond the context, which frequently leads to the deviation of presuppositions by the audience and generates laughter.

## 5. AUTHORS' NOTE

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. Authors confirmed that the paper was free of plagiarism.

#### 6. REFERENCES

- Abdalhakeem, S., & Mubarak, A. (2019). The humour of still standing contradictions between implicature and presupposition. *Dirasat: Human and Social Sciences*, 46(2).
- Astuti, W.D. (2006). *Wacana Humor Tertulis: Kajian Tindak Tutur*. Jakarta: Pusat Bahasa Departemen Pendidikan Nasional.
- Berger, A.A (1993). An Anatomy of Humor. New York: Routledge.
- Gavin, B. (2017). A Unique Comedy Culture. Osaka: Yoshimoto Kogyo.
- Ikkasavitri, E. (2021). *Presuposisi Pada Kandoushi Dalam Manga GekkanShoujo Nozaki-Kun Volume* 1-2 Karyalzumi. Thesis. Surabaya: Universitas Dr.Soetomo.
- Kristiawan, D. (2018). PRESUPPOSITIONS AND HUMOR CREATION IN LOUIS SZEKELY'S CHEWED UP STANDUP COMEDY: A PRAGMATIC ANALYSIS. *Sastra Inggris-Quill, 7*(4), 367-378.
- Moleong, L.J. (2016). Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya Offset.
- Muhammad. (2016). Metode Penelitian Bahasa. Yogyakarta: Ar-Ruzz Media.
- Puksi, F. F. (2018). Presupposition contributions in stand-up comedy (discourse analysis of Raditya Dika's stand-up comedy on YouTube). *Journal of Applied Studies in Language*, 2(2), 135-143.
- Touhou, S. (2020). *Manzai no warai ni okeru (tsukkomi) no biteki tokusei nikansuru kousatsu*. Tokyo: ToukyouDaigaku.
- Wijana, I.D.P. (1996). Dasar-dasar Pragmatik. Yogyakarta: ANDI.
- Yang, Y. (2020, February). Analysis of Verbal Humor in Friends from a Perspective of Pragmatic Presupposition. In 6th International Conference on Education, Language, Art and Inter-cultural Communication (ICELAIC 2019) (pp. 544-549). Atlantis Press.
- Yule, G. (2006). *Pragmatik*. Diterjemahkan oleh: Rombe Mustajab. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Zainal. (2015). *Pelanggaran Prinsip Maksim dalam Humor NETA Jinnai Tomonori*. Surabaya: Universitas Dr. Soetomo.