

INDONESIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED LINGUISTICS

Vol. 10 No. 1, May 2020, pp. 206-217

Available online at: https://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/IJAL/article/view/25049



https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v10i1.25049

# Addressing challenges in the practice of critical literacy in EFL classrooms: A new framework

Nita Novianti<sup>1\*</sup>, Angela Thomas<sup>2</sup>, and Vinh To<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of English Education, Faculty of Language and Literature Education, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Jl. Dr. Setiabudhi 229, Bandung, West Java, Indonesia <sup>1.2</sup> Faculty of Education, College of Arts, Law and Education, University of Tasmania, Newnham Dr., Newnham 7248 Tasmania, Australia

## ABSTRACT

The practice of critical literacy in EFL contexts answers the need for EFL pedagogy that considers the complex social and political dimensions of foreign language learning. Many teachers are still discouraged from practicing critical literacy due to the many challenges they encounter. In this paper, we outline a practical framework that can help teachers navigate the complexity of practicing critical literacy in EFL contexts. The framework consists of four resources of critical literacy practice, namely curriculum and standards, students' experiences and background, local social issues, and text selection. The classroom activities include text analysis and critique, bridging the word and the world, and social action. Particular issues in EFL pedagogy are addressed with implications for the practice of critical literacy.

Keywords: Classroom-based framework; critical literacy; EFL contexts

First Received:	<b>Revised:</b>	Accepted:
16 January 2020	13 March 2020	20 April 2020
<b>Final Proof Received:</b>	ed: Published:	
22 May 2020		31 May 2020
How to cite (in APA style):		
Novianti, N., Thomas, A., & To, V. (2020). Addressing challenges in the practice of critical		
1.001141141,1.00,1110111405,1.11,000,1.00,000		
	new framework. Indonesian	<i>n Journal of Applied Linguistics,</i>

# INTRODUCTION

Although the notion of critical literacy has been around for a long time, it was only adopted into the context of EFL/ESL in the 1990s (Bobkina & Stefanova, 2016; Wallace, 1995) and flourished in the early of the twenty-first century (Fajardo, 2015). Its potential to address the social, political, and economic complexity of second/foreign language learning (Pennycook, 1990) has motivated many EFL teachers to practice critical literacy in their classrooms. The belated embrace of critical literacy by ESL/EFL education can be explained by a separation between the learning of English and critical literacy (Fajardo, 2015), teachers' primary focus on helping students become proficient in English (Keneman, 2016), and teachers' politically neutral standpoints (Gómez Jiménez & Gutierrez, 2019; Pennycook, 1990).

Frameworks of critical literacy developed by scholars have contributed to the increasing number of

practices in EFL settings. They have been helpful particularly in translating the complex concept of critical literacy into practice. Such frameworks as Freebody and Luke's (1990) Four Resources Model; Lewison et al. (2002) Four Dimensions of Critical Literacy; and Jones' (2006) Framework of Critical Literacy have been popularly used in EFL/ESL contexts (e.g. Gustine & Insani, 2019; Hayik, 2015a, 2015b, 2016; Huh, 2016; Kuo, 2013; Y. J. Lee, 2017; Mahecha, 2018).

However, these frameworks do not really address the complexity of critical literacy practice in the classrooms, such as the non-inclusion of critical literacy in the curriculum (Huh, 2016; Lau, 2010, 2019; Rahimi & Askari Bigdeli, 2015), teachers' lack of knowledge and understanding of critical literacy and how to effectively practice it (H. Cho, 2015; Gustine, 2018), and the pressure of national standard tests (H. Cho, 2015). Additionally, being not created with EFL contexts in mind, they do not address the

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author

Email: nita.novianti@utas.edu.au

specific challenges of critical literacy in EFL contexts. Some of the problems particularly found in EFL settings include the issue of ethnocentrism within the context of multiculturalism (Keneman, 2016), students' and teachers' bilingualism or multilingualism (Lau, 2019), and a greater focus on English skills (Huh, 2016).

Attempts have been made to tackle the challenges. To address the problem of ethnocentrism, Keneman (2016) for instance proposed that the critical literacy approaches to EFL instructions can empower students to see their status as non-native speakers and their bilingualism/multilingualism as an advantage instead of a disadvantage in learning about foreign language and literature critically. Based on practitioner-action research, Huh (2016) formulated an integrated approach to teaching EFL students, combining skills-based and critical literacy instruction through critical reading. Regardless of their significant efforts, Keneman's (2016) proposal and Huh's (2016) integrated approach only partially address the problems in EFL critical literacy practice. Furthermore, C.-J. Lee (2013) claimed, "While critical literacy has been intensively researched and become widely known in academia, it does not seem to take root in the classrooms" (p. 96), creating a gap for a classroom-based framework of critical literacy. In this paper, we outline our attempt to address the complexity of EFL classroom practices of critical literacy using our proposed framework developed based on our analysis of the challenges EFL teachers encounter in their classroom practices.

# Critical literacy: Definitions and its place in EFL contexts

Defining critical literacy is important to avoid misunderstandings that are commonplace among teachers (H. Cho, 2015; Gustine, 2018; C.-J. Lee, 2013). As C.-J. Lee (2013) noted, many teachers confused critical literacy with critical thinking and other terms coupled with the word critical, such as critical reading and critical writing (H. Cho, 2015). While critical thinking is important and related to critical literacy (C.-J. Lee, 2013), it only makes up one of the foundations of the latter. Whereas critical thinking is more concerned with evaluation of "the credibility of texts or . . . problem solving" (Ibrahim, 2015, p. 757), critical literacy encourages students to strive against social injustices (Shor, 1999; Soares, 2012) by inviting them to unravel ideologies in text and every day's use of language (Jones, 2006) to question social constructions of identities (Luke, 2012; Shor, 1999), with the ultimate goal of creating a more just world through literate practices (Vasquez, 2017).

Based on the definitions of critical literacy, we come to an understanding that critical literacy promotes a view of the non-neutrality of literacy and text (Bacon, 2017; Comber, 2001; Foley, 2017; Hendrix-Soto & Mosley Wetzel, 2018). Literacy as

well as its practice is socio-culturally and politically situated, and as such it bears some economic ramifications (Luke, 1995b). The mastery of English, for instance, has strong economic consequences which further expand what entails as being literate in countries where English is not the first or even second official language. Critical literacy thus plays an important role in inviting students to think more critically about the hierarchy of languages (Keneman, 2016; Lau, 2019) and/or domination of certain cultures.

Keneman (2016, p. 91) further elaborated the affordances of practicing critical literacy in EFL contexts, namely, to encourage students to:

- 1. Move beyond initial stereotypes they have about the target culture;
- 2. Express themselves creatively in the target language;
- 3. Engage in a variety of tasks of selfexpression (speaking and writing) while aware of cultural context and knowledge;
- 4. Identify and use certain language features that are particular to certain textual genres;
- 5. Self-reflect on their experiences as learners of another language (Hasan, 1996);
- 6. Develop their voices within the context of the target culture;
- 7. Communicate appropriately in a range of contexts in the target language;
- 8. Not only decode the foreign language and related cultural practices, but also analyse and *challenge* characteristics of these practices.

The outlined objectives suggest how critical literacy can empower EFL learners in the face of their subjective positioning to the target language and culture, in which they may feel linguistically and culturally inferior to English language and culture, without sacrificing the communicative goals of English learning.

### METHOD OF FRAMEWORK DEVELOPMENT

The proposed framework has been developed based on an extensive and systematic review of the literature on critical literacy and its development and the classroom practices of critical literacy in the context of language learning (Novianti et al., 2020). To situate the framework into the specific EFL setting, we reviewed classroom practices of critical literacy in EFL contexts reported in peer-reviewed journal articles within the period of 2012 to 2019 and analyzed them thematically (Garner & Ragland, 2015; Guest et al., 2012). Subsequently, qualitative metaanalysis (Schreiber et al., 1997; Timulak, 2009) was applied in our attempt to contribute to the existing knowledge and theories of critical literacy based on our analysis of the practices.

### FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

# Review of the frameworks of critical literacy in EFL settings

Based on the thematic review and meta-analysis of the classroom practices of critical literacy in language learning contexts (Novianti, Thomas, & To, 2020), we found that there were three frameworks popularly used in the EFL settings. We also found that not all practices used a particular framework, which in some cases caused teachers to use the term critical literacy interchangeably with other terms such as critical reading (Dehbaneh et al., 2018; Ibrahim, 2015). The three popularly used frameworks are described as follows.

### The Four Resources Model

Freebody and Luke's (1990) Four Resources model is one of the earliest frameworks that help translate the theory of critical literacy into practical classroom instruction. This model proposes that there are four principles necessary for critical literacy practice to take place. They are breaking the code or the text, participating in the interpretation of the text, using the text for one's own purposes, and analysing and critiquing the text (Freebody & Luke, 1990). As the four practices suggest, this model places a heavy emphasis on the awareness of meaning-making in text, text analysis and critique, and using text critically.

Luke (2000) noted that this model was originally intended for beginning learners. Indeed, Freebody and Luke's (1990) Four Resources model has been popularly used with beginning EFL readers (Gustine & Insani, 2019; Huh, 2016; Y. J. Lee, 2017). Most of the practices employing this framework implemented the four principles as stages that scaffold learners in the meaning-making, use, analysis, and critique of text.

### The Four Interdependent Conceptual Dimensions

Janks (2000) proposed this framework to comprise four interdependent conceptual dimensions of power, access, diversity, and design/redesign. Janks' (2000) framework necessitates for the four dimensions to be included in the critical literacy practice to make sure the achievement of its main goal of social transformation. Similar to the previous model, Janks' (2000) model also places a strong emphasis on text analysis, while still heeding to other social discourses relevant to students' global and local contexts.

This framework suggests that text holds power. Hence, the practice of critical literacy should give students access to the power embedded in text through an acknowledgement of diversity of voices and points of views and by providing them with "alternative perspectives for reconstruction and transformation" (Janks, 2013, p. 224) to design and redesign text for the main goal of social transformation. The last dimension, design and redesign, resonates with the theory of multiliteracies (The New London Group, 1996), stressing the production of multimodal texts by students (Janks, 2006). Janks' (2000) framework is more abstract and conceptual in nature. Therefore, her framework is more popularly used for the analysis of critical literacy in relation to a complex concept such as translanguaging (Lau, 2019).

# The Four Dimensions of Critical Literacy

The Four Dimensions of Critical Literacy was developed by Lewison et al. (2002) and subsequently revised by Lewison et al. (2008). This framework was synthesised from a large number of definitions of critical literacy in the span of 30 years. Similar to Freebody and Luke's (1990) model, this model was popularly employed in EFL classroom practices of critical literacy (e.g. Hayik, 2015a, 2015b, 2016; Kuo, 2013). There are also four principles to this model: "disrupting the commonplace, interrogating multiple viewpoints, focusing on socio-political issues, and taking action to promote social justice" (Lewison et al., 2002, p. 382). This model adds the dimension of social (justice) action to the classroom practices of critical literacy.

# Jones' (2006) Framework of Critical Literacy.

Jones' (2006) framework of critical literacy consists of deconstruction, reconstruction, and social action. Text, again, remains a critical part of the practice. This model suggests that for critical literacy to take place, teachers should encourage students to deconstruct a text and reconstruct it. Once students are able to do the deconstruction and reconstruction, they will be aware of the social and political ramifications of texts and be inspired to take social action. Mahecha (2018) combined Jones' (2006) framework with the "switching" activity (an activity involving students switching, e.g., a character's identity to see how the text will change) proposed by McLaughlin and DeVoogd (2004) to her university students enrolled in a reading comprehension course.

As the review suggests, the existing frameworks have translated some of the key tenets of critical literacy into practical activities, such as developing students' critical perspective (Vasquez et al., 2019) and stance through critical engagement (Bacon, 2017) with text and encouraging social or transformative action (Janks, 2014). However, the frameworks do not address the challenges teachers face in their classroom practice. In their practice, teachers are bound by certain curriculum, standards, policies (Cho, 2015; May, 2015) and other instructional guidelines which are not infrequently exerted on them (Neophytou & Valiandes, 2013). Norris et al. (2012) and Cho's (2015) studies, for instance, demonstrate how teachers were discouraged to enact critical literacy because of the binding curricula, limited resources and time, and the pressure of standard tests.

Within the specific EFL settings, the frameworks thus fail to acknowledge the fact that

critical literacy is not explicitly included in the EFL curriculum (Huh, 2016; Rahimi & Askari Bigdeli, 2015). Consequently, teachers have to find a way and a space to incorporate critical literacy into their instruction. In some EFL contexts, this situation leads teachers to treat critical literacy as an add-on to the curriculum (Fajardo, 2015; Huh, 2016), an activity that they can do if they have met the instructional goals for a unit.

The existing frameworks also do not comprehensively include the key tenets of critical literacy practice. Freebody and Luke's (1999) textbased approach is, as the categorization suggests, largely focused on activities with text to develop students' critical awareness of language. It does not include the dimension of social action, which according to Lewison et al. (2002) is frequently deemed "*the* definition of critical literacy" (p. 383, original stressing). Lewison et al. (2002) framework thus adds this dimension into their framework, a move followed by Jones (2006) in her framework. Nevertheless, particularly in EFL setting, we see that the key tenet of localisation is missing.

Derived from our qualitative meta-analysis, the concept of localities refers to the consideration of local contexts, students' awareness of their local communities, and students' literate experiences in the practice to create meaningful activities encouraging students to become agents of change in their community. Localizing the practice of critical literacy is important in at least two ways. First is that it makes the practice meaningful and relevant to students' real life experiences as suggested by Freire's critical pedagogy (Freire, 1970/1993/2005). Comber (2006) argues that students' consciousness of their local space can be a good resource for teachers to teach critical literacy that raises issues that are relevant and matter to students. Second, the concept of localities is an answer to the assertion of many scholars that critical literacy should be locally contextualized (Alford & Jetnikoff, 2016; Vasquez et al., 2019; Vasquez et al., 2013). Meanwhile, in practice, many teachers enact critical literacy remotely from their students' here-and-now contexts.

Based on these findings, we formulated a new, practical framework for teachers to enact critical literacy in their classrooms.

Figure 1 shows the four components of critical literacy practice resources consisting of curriculum and standards, students' experiences, local social (justice/political) issues, and text selection. We included the component of curriculum and other instructional standards to acknowledge the fact that teachers' classroom instructions are guided and bound by curricula, syllabi, test requirements, and other guidelines (H. Cho, 2015; Huh, 2016; Ibrahim, 2015; Neophytou & Valiandes, 2013). The components of students' experiences and local issues are the practical translation of the concept of localities mentioned earlier. Finally, text selection is also included as one of the resources as an acknowledgment to its vital role in critical literacy practice (Janks, 2014, 2018; Luke, 1995a, 2012).

### Figure 1

A Practical Framework to Critical Literacy



As also shown by Figure 1, the suggested activities for the critical literacy practice in the classroom include text analysis and critique, bridging the word and the world, and taking social action. In agreement with the theories and previous frameworks, our framework places a strong emphasis on creating "awareness of the language and idea systems that are

brought into play when a text is used" (Freebody & Luke, 1990, p. 13). It also acknowledges the importance of encouraging the development of critical stance in students towards the text and transferring the critical stance into that of the complex social and political systems governing students' lives (Lewison et al., 2002). The

importance of transferring the critical stance towards the social systems is captured in the activity of bridging the word and the world. Finally, the classroom-based framework concurs with the previous ones in the ultimate goal of critical literacy to encourage students to be agents of change (Janks, 2014) by taking social action (Jones, 2006; Lewison et al., 2002) in their local and global communities.

## Situating the framework in EFL contexts

The framework is originally designed for the general practice of critical literacy. In this section, we will show how the framework can be applied in EFL contexts. Whilst considering the complexities of classroom practice of critical literacy in general, the framework will also take into account the particular characteristics of EFL contexts, including the noninclusion of critical literacy in the curriculum, local (cultural, political, and social) contexts, power relations between learner's language and the target language, and the tension between language skills versus critical literacy skills.

# The four resources of critical literacy classroom practice

proposed The classroom-based framework necessitates the consideration of four important resources of critical literacy in designing the practice. The cycle of resources shown in Figure 1 also suggests that teachers may start from any the four resources in their planning or design of critical literacy. To borrow the term that May (2015) used, teachers should be "bricoleurs" who are able to use the various resources to enact critical literacy in their We strongly encourage teachers to classroom. navigate the four resources to meet the goal of critical literacy, being able to juxtapose, combine, and integrate the various resources to practice critical literacy effectively. Which resources to use, how much of the resources will be used, and how they shape the practice will largely depend on the varied experiences of the teachers (May, 2015).

### Curriculum and standards

Being able to embed critical literacy in the existing curriculum is paramount to the enactment of critical literacy in EFL contexts. This is so because critical literacy is generally not part of the curriculum. It generally serves as an additional item to the existing curriculum (Huh, 2016; Rahimi & Askari Bigdeli, 2015). Consequently, teachers should either embed the practice in their course unit (e.g. Chun, 2009; Gómez Jiménez & Gutierrez, 2019; Gustine, 2018) or create an extracurricular activity for the enactment (e.g. H. Cho, 2014; Hayik, 2015a, 2015b, 2016).

To embed critical literacy in the existing curriculum, teachers may choose certain units with the most potential to embed the practice. Some teachers in the literature reviewed chose a unit that particularly used certain texts, such as narrative (Gustine & Insani, 2019) and literature, specifically poetry (Bobkina & Stefanova, 2016). The teachers saw the potential of these texts to teach critical literacy to their EFL students. Bobkina and Stefanova (2016), for instance, selected a unit on poetry because they believe in the potential of poetry to facilitate critical reading and critical thinking in the context of critical literacy pedagogy. Gómez Jiménez & Gutierrez (2019) chose a course unit that would allow them to explore various social justice issues through a wide range of multimodal texts to help cultivate students' analysis and critique of the social systems on gender construction. As the practices suggested, teachers were able to navigate the resources at hand and explore their potential for critical literacy practice.

## Local social (justice) issues

Another important resource for practicing critical literacy, especially in the EFL settings, is local social As suggested by Janks (2012), justice issues. localizing the practice in students' immediate contexts and communities will make the teaching and learning more meaningful and engaging, and thus will encourage students to take action. Once teachers have determined in which unit in the curriculum they will embed critical literacy instruction, teachers can find any issue, problem, cultural event or phenomenon, and any other current happenings in the communities that can be raised as a topic relevant to the unit and curriculum. Teachers can, for instance, take the issue of healthy diet in the canteen, the use of mobile phones in school, bullying, and other issues that involve daily decision making and create possibilities for students to take action for change (Janks, 2012, 2014).

Based on the literature review, we found that some teachers raised local social issues that mattered and were relevant to their students. Havik (2015a), for instance, started designing her critical literacy instruction by deciding on the local social justice issue that urgently needed attention, which was religious intolerance. She subsequently designed a unit of English with selected texts representing the issue. At the end of the lesson, the students created posters promoting religious tolerance that they exhibited in a public space. Hayik's practice demonstrates that raising local social justice issues in EFL critical literacy practice makes the practice more meaningful and relevant to students. At the same time, it shows students that they can use the foreign language, English, to address important issues in their local communities. In the view of Keneman's (2016) set of objectives of critical literacy pedagogy for EFL students, the practice has allowed students to "express themselves creatively in the target language" (p. 91).

Another example can be taken from the critical literacy practice of Y. J. Lee (2017) with four primary school children labeled as "resistant" readers. Y. J.

Lee (2017) read an English narrative with the topic of bullying with the children. In the discussion, he encouraged children to relate the issue to their own culture and to understand how bullying could happen in their culture in comparison to the one in the target culture. The children understood that bullying is a universal issue, but the forms and causes of bullying may be different from one culture to another. This understanding reflects one of the affordances of critical literacy practice in EFL context, which is to "engage [students] in a variety of tasks of selfexpression (speaking and writing) while aware of cultural context and knowledge [and] . . . develop their voices within the context of the target culture" (Keneman, 2016, p. 91).

### Students' experiences and background

Teachers should also take into account students' literacy background and experiences as a component of the resources and accommodate the different experiences in the practice, which admittedly can be very challenging (Christensen, 2017; Jowallah, 2015). As suggested by Gordon (2019), students' experiences are frequently ignored in critical literacy instruction. Many teachers, for example, randomly decided the issue to be raised in the classroom discussion and the texts to be analysed without even considering their students' backgrounds, let alone asking for their opinions. Curriculum demands, limited time, and a greater focus on communicative goals of EFL teaching (Huh, 2016; Keneman, 2016) may be some of the causing factors; nevertheless, ignoring students' experiences in critical literacy instruction betravs the student-centred nature that is at the heart of critical literacy pedagogy (Freire, 1970/1993/2005).

Informed by Freirean Pedagogy, critical literacy pedagogy strongly encourages teachers to not only listen to students' voices but accommodate their voices; they should work together with the students and learn from each other in the process (Freebody & Luke, 1990; Freire, 1970/1993/2005; Shor, 1999). In deciding an issue to raise in the practice, for example, teachers should always consider their students' previous experiences with the issue. One of the ways teachers can collaborate with students in the instruction is by inviting students to choose the text to read, topic to discuss, and project to take (Huh, 2016; Young, 2018). This way, students will feel that the instruction is meaningful and relevant to them.

### Text selection

The last important component of the resources is text selection. Texts selected for critical literacy practice should be relevant to students' experiences, interests, and needs (Labadie et al., 2013). The texts should also be simultaneously enjoyable and challenging (Vasquez, 2004), able to elicit critical conversations with students. Equally important, the texts should adhere to the requirements stated in the curriculum,

such as having the required genre, topic, breadth, and length.

In the specific EFL contexts, the requirements are extended into finding texts that meet both the communicative goals and critical literacy goals (Gómez Jiménez & Gutierrez, 2019). In other words, the texts should be able to not only tick the communicative skills that students have to achieve but also raise students' awareness of social justice issues and encourage them to act on the issues. In addition, the topics addressed in those materials, as argued by Canagarajah (2005), should encourage students to appreciate the local cultures and values and view these as on par with the target language and culture.

Gustine and Insani (2019), for instance, in their critical literacy practice used Indonesian folktales written in English. The folktales were selected in accordance with the requirement of the prevailing curriculum that specifically mandates teachers to teach narratives and at the same time build students' characters in the larger context of Indonesian national character development. Using folktales did not only tick the curriculum requirements but also make the learning more relevant to students as most of them were familiar with the tales. The familiarity subsequently helped facilitate students' comprehension and critical analysis of the text, thereby making the practice of critical literacy Importantly, the folktales serve as a effective. negotiating site between the students' own culture and the target culture.

The four resources guide teachers in designing the topic and selecting the texts for critical literacy practice. The next thing to do is to plan the activities for text analysis and critique, making connection between text and students' lives and communities, and social action as the ultimate goal of critical literacy.

### **Classroom** activities

### Text analysis and critique

Similar to the existing frameworks, our classroombased framework includes text analysis and critique as one of the most important activities in critical literacy instruction. The analysis and critique of text will help students develop their critical stance. Critical stance is important in order to empower students with the ability to see how text and knowledge is constructed for certain purposes (Janks, 2012).

To facilitate this activity, it is necessary for students to first comprehend the text. In the EFL contexts, comprehension is more highlighted because it is usually one of the main goals of reading lessons, and certainly it is more challenging given the text is in the students' foreign language. To help with building students' background knowledge, scaffolding can be used and read aloud will help make reading pleasurable (Chun, 2009) and engaging for students. Freebody and Luke's (1990) Four Resources Model can be used in stages to scaffold students' comprehension to prepare students for the text analysis and critique (see e.g., Gustine & Insani, 2019).

There at least two important points to take note in helping students comprehend a text according to Freebody and Luke (1990). First is "the significance of the reader's having and using background knowledge resources in reading a text successfully" (p. 9), which is why in our classroom-based framework we have included students' background and experiences as one of the resources. Second is to cultivate an understanding of what entails comprehension to students by showing students that there are "versions of comprehension" which can be obtained through "personal reference and personal estimations of the characters' feelings and of what might happen next" (p. 9). The idea of "versions of comprehension" simultaneously suggests to students that text and its understanding is subjectively and socially constructed.

Based on other teachers' practices of critical literacy in EFL contexts, some of the activities that teachers can do with their students is reading text with multiple perspectives, such as a fairy tale and its revisited versions (Haydey et al., 2007; Tsai, 2010) and other texts offering multiple perspectives. As Freebody and Luke (1990) suggested, participatory discussion over text's authorship and readership is also highly encouraged. Students can also be invited to write a counter-narrative, such as rewriting a fairy tale from a different perspective (Chou, 2007; Culp & Hoffman, 1998) or rewriting other types of texts to gain more insights into authorship and readership.

With more sophisticated and older learners, teachers may use a more systematic approach to make students aware of the notion of authorship in the selection of certain language features and modes under the notion of genre (see, e.g. Harman & Simmons, 2014; Simmons, 2016). Alternatively, teachers may apply Critical Discourse Analysis for this activity (Karagiannaki & Stamou, 2018; Luke, 1995a). Similar to the four resources of critical literacy practice proposed in the classroom-based framework, teachers' choices of types of activities to include in their instruction will vary depending on their experiences (May, 2015).

### Bridging the word and the world

To transfer the critical stance developed during the critical analysis of text to the social systems governing life in general, it is important for teachers to be able to facilitate connection between text and students' real life. Not only important in helping transfer the critical literacy skills from text to real life social systems, this component in the framework is necessary to reach the ultimate goal of encouraging students to reflect and more ideally take action on the local and global social justice issues.

Generally, teachers enacting critical literacy in their classrooms attempt to connect the text to students' personal lives (e.g. Gustine & Insani, 2019). Once this personal connection is established, teachers should move towards the social and political system that governs their individual lives and the community in general. To take an example, Hayik (2016) encouraged her EFL students to reflect on the gender issue represented by the fairytales they read in their personal life. Some of her students, all of them were female, gained some understandings of how gender inequality prevailed in their community and that the social construction of gender has to some extent put women in their community at a disadvantage. Although the budding understanding was not shared by the only male student in her class, Hayik's (2016) practice suggests that connecting what is in the text to students' personal life and the social system can develop students' critical stance towards the social construction in their community.

One of the most frequently cited problems with the practice of critical literacy with EFL students is teachers' assumption that their students' proficiency level will be an obstacle, in which students with lower English skills will tend to have lower critical literacy skills. Because of this assumption, C.-J. Lee (2013) argued many EFL teachers are discouraged from practicing critical literacy. Some researchers have sought to prove that the assumption is false. Ko and Wang (2013), for example, who practiced critical literacy with four EFL college students with high to low English skills reported, "each one of [the students] were able to engage in critical literacy practice regardless of their respective English proficiency" (Ko & Wang, 2013, p. 228).

Huh (2016) combined skills-based and critical literacy instruction to teach Korean EFL university students enrolled in a reading course. Using Freebody and Luke's (1990) Four Resources Model in stages, Huh (2016) alternated texts of different levels of difficulties, with the lower level ones to allow more space for students to analyze the ideological constructions in texts and the higher levels to enhance their English skills. The teacherresearcher admitted being more dominant in teaching by providing guidance to students throughout the process, arguing that "EFL readers needed guided ways to analyze the texts from critical perspectives", and that this domination will subside as "students seemed to progress from the receiver to negotiator, challenger, and discussant" (Huh, 2016, p. 233). The cited research suggests that students' low or varying levels of proficiency should not be an obstacle in practicing critical literacy.

### Taking social action

Finally, in agreement with the existing frameworks, our proposed framework also views social action as the ultimate goal of critical literacy practice. This belief is shared by Luke (2012) who argued that the main goal of critical literacy is to "transform the norms, rule systems, and practices governing the social fields of everyday life" (p. 5). Critical literacy practice in school is thus an avenue to create students who will act as "agents of change" (S. Cho, 2013) in their immediate communities and at the global level. Social action is admittedly difficult to achieve in critical literacy practice (Lewison et al., 2002; Luke, 2012; Luke & Sefton-Green, 2018; Vasquez, 2017). Only a small number of the classroom practices of critical literacy in EFL contexts reviewed included this dimension in their practice. Janks (2012) suggested the difficulty mav stem from misunderstanding of what constitutes social action.

As shown by previous research reporting critical literacy practices, social action can take various forms. It can take the form of "small" action in the immediate surroundings of students but with a possibility to have repercussion at the global level. Social action may take the form of protesting against an author's gender bias (Hayik, 2015b, 2016); penning a letter to magazines demanding they include more perspectives (Clarke & Whitney, 2009; Harwood, 2008); or writing a letter to the authorities to express concerns with social justice issues in their communities (Jowallah, 2015; Torres, 2017). Teachers can also create social action projects involving the use of multimodal texts. Some of the good examples include promoting religious diversity through posters (Hayik, 2015a); creating an artwork or caricature to voice criticism on certain issues such as water conservation (Janks, 2014); holding a photography exhibition to raise awareness of local social issues (Hayik, 2018); and posting tweets to criticize and question the social construction of gender (Kunnath & Jackson, 2019). The previous practices of critical literacy suggest how social action can take the form of a small step in students' lives; a step that may lead students take a greater step in the global level.

### CONCLUSION

Practicing critical literacy in EFL contexts is certainly challenging to teachers whose teaching practices are governed by curricula and other standards. In addition, the practice of critical literacy requires teachers to carefully consider students' experiences and backgrounds and local social justice issues. The requirements mean that teachers will have to devote their time to design and practice critical literacy in their English instruction. The challenges are increased by such problems as big class size, limited time, and binding syllabi (Bartlett, 2009; Gutiérrez, 2015). However, Vasquez (2004) and Roy (2017) evinced that, with careful attention, educators can engage in critical literacy pedagogy and make instruction relevant to students within the mandated standards and curricula.

With regard to the complex power relations within the EFL classrooms, involving the relations of not just between teachers and students, but also between students' language and culture and the target language and culture, critical literacy can help students make sense of and become critical towards the power relations. More importantly, critical literacy can empower EFL students to navigate themselves in the complex web of languages and cultures of their own and of the target language.

The classroom-based framework proposed in this paper offers a practical guideline for EFL teachers wishing to practice critical literacy. Although practical, the more practical, the framework serves as a general guideline that should be adjusted to suit teachers' unique individual circumstances. As Vasquez et al. (2019) advised, in its practice, "'critical literacy' should look, feel, and sound different in different contexts" (p. 300).

### REFERENCES

Alford, J. H., & Jetnikoff, A. (2016). Orientations to critical literacy for English as an additional language or dialect (EAL/D) learners: A case study of four teachers of senior English. *Australian Journal of Language and Literacy*, 39(2), 111-123.

https://eprints.qut.edu.au/87449/

Bacon, C. K. (2017). Multilanguage, multipurpose: A literature review, synthesis, and framework for critical literacies in English language teaching. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 49(3), 424-453.

https://doi.org/10.1177/1086296x17718324

- Bartlett, A. (2009). Preparing pre-service teachers to teach for social justice and social change. *The International Journal of Learning*, 15(12), 37-43. https://doi.org/10.18848/1447-9494/CGP/v15i12/45710
- Bobkina, J., & Stefanova, S. (2016). Literature and critical literacy pedagogy in the EFL classroom: Towards a model of teaching critical thinking skills. *Studies in Second Language Learning & Teaching*, 6(4), 677-696. https://doi.org/10.14746/ssllt.2016.6.4.6
- Canagarajah, A. S. (2005). *Reclaiming the local in language policy and practice*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cho, H. (2014). Enacting critical literacy: The case of a language minority preservice teacher. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 44(5), 677-699. https://doi.org/10.1111/curi.12066
- Cho, H. (2015). "I love this approach, but find it difficult to jump in with two feet!" Teachers' perceived challenges of employing critical literacy. *English Language Teaching*, 8(6), 69-79. https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v8n6p69
- Cho, S. (2013). *Critical pedagogy and social change*. Routledge.

Chou, W.-H. (2007). Contamination of childhood fairy tale: Pre-service teachers explore gender and race constructions. *Journal of Social Theory in Art Education*, 27(1), 55-73. https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/jstae/vol27/iss 1/8/

Christensen, L. (2017). Critical literacy and our students' lives. *Voices from the Middle, 24*(3), 16-19. https://educatorinnovator.org/wpcontent/uploads/2017/10/Critical-Literacy-And-Our-Students-Lives.pdf

Chun, C. W. (2009). Critical literacies and graphic novels for English-language learners: Teaching Maus. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 53(2), 144-153. https://doi.org/10.1598/JAAL.53.2.5

Clarke, L. W., & Whitney, E. (2009). Walking in their shoes: Using multiple-perspectives texts as a bridge to critical literacy. *Reading Teacher*, 62(6), 530-534. https://doi.org/10.1598/Rt.62.6.7

Comber, B. (2001). Critical literacy: Power and pleasure with language in the early years. *Australian Journal of Language and Literacy*, 24(3), 168-181. https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1G1-81244604/critical-literacy-power-and-pleasurewith-language

Comber, B. (2006). *Literacy, place, and pedagogies of possibility*. Routledge.

Culp, M. B., & Hoffman, S. (1998). Twice-told tales. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 41(6), 472-473.

https://www.jstor.org/stable/40016773?seq=1 Dehbaneh, M. H., Sabouri, N. B., & Saravani, J. G. (2018). Readers of English literature confronting quiet members with critical literacy in the act of mentoring. *Journal of Language Teaching & Research*, 9(5), 986-993. https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.0905.12

Fajardo, M. F. (2015). A review of critical literacy beliefs and practices of English language learners and teachers. University of Sydney Papers in TESOL, 10(2), 29-56. http://faculty.edfac.usyd.edu.au/projects/usp\_in \_tesol/pdf/volume10/Article02.pdf

Foley, Y. (2017). Critical literacy. In B. V. Street & S. May (Eds.), *Literacies and language education: Encyclopedia of language and education* (pp. 1-12). Springer International Publishing.

Freebody, P., & Luke, A. (1990). Literacies programs: Debates and demands in cultural context. *Prospect: An Australian Journal of TESOL*, 5(3), 7-16.

https://eprints.qut.edu.au/49099/

Freire, P. (1970/1993/2005). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Continuum.

Garner, J. T., & Ragland, J. P. (2015). Using a thematic analysis of literature to survey

subfields within communication studies. Communication Teacher, 29(3), 159-165. https://doi.org/10.1080/17404622.2015.103343 6

Gómez Jiménez, M. C., & Gutierrez, C. P. (2019).
Engaging English as a foreign language students in critical literacy practices: The case of a teacher at a private university. *Profile: Issues in Teachers' Professional Development*, 21(1), 91-105.

https://doi.org/10.15446/profile.v21n1.71378 Gordon, C. T. (2019). Trusting students' voices in critical English education. *Journal of Language and Literacy Education*, *15*(1), 1-32. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1212451

Guest, G., MacQueen, K. M., & Namey, E. E. (2012). *Applied thematic analysis*. Sage Publications.

Gustine, G. G. (2018). A survey on critical literacy as a pedagogical approach to teaching English in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 7(3), 531-537. https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v7i3.9798

Gustine, G. G., & Insani, H. N. (2019). English students' experience of reframing narrative stories from a critical literacy perspective. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 8(3), 691-696.

https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v8i3.15254 Gutiérrez, C. (2015). Beliefs, attitudes, and reflections of EFL pre-service teachers while exploring critical literacy theories to prepare and implement critical lessons. *Creencias, actitudes y reflexiones de profesores de inglés en formación, durante la exploración de teorías críticas para la preparación e implementación de planes de clase críticos., 17*(2), 179-192. https://doi.org/10.14483/udistritel iour celi 201

https://doi.org/10.14483/udistrital.jour.calj.201 5.2.a01

Harman, R. M., & Simmons, A. (2014). Critical systemic functional linguistics and literary narratives in subject English: Promoting language awareness and social action among K-12 students. In L. C. D. Oliveira & J. G. Iddings (Eds.), *Genre studies and language in* education (pp. 75-91). Equinox.

Harwood, D. (2008). Deconstructing and reconstructing cinderella: Theoretical defense of critical literacy for young children. *Language & Literacy: A Canadian Educational E-Journal, 10*(2), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.20360/G21015

Haydey, D. C., Kostiuk, J., & Phillips, S. (2007). Developing critical literacy for all students. *TalentEd*, 25(1), 19-25. https://search.informit.com.au/documentSumm ary;dn=511643739392934;res=IELHSS

Hayik, R. (2015a). Addressing religious diversity through children's literature: An "English as a

foreign language" classroom in Israel. International Journal of Multicultural Education, 17(2), 92-106. https://doi.org/10.18251/ijme.v17i2.911

- Hayik, R. (2015b). Diverging from traditional paths: Reconstructing fairy tales in the EFL classroom. *Diaspora, Indigenous, and Minority Education, 9*(4), 221-236. https://doi.org/10.1080/15595692.2015.104408 4
- Hayik, R. (2016). What does this story say about females? Challenging gender-biased texts in the English-language classroom. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 59(4), 409-419. https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.468

Hayik, R. (2018). Through their eyes: Israeli-Arab students speak up through participatory documentary photography projects. *Language Teaching Research*, 22(4), 458-477. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168816683558

Hendrix-Soto, A., & Mosley Wetzel, M. (2018). A review of critical literacies in preservice teacher education: pedagogies, shifts, and barriers. *Teaching Education*, *30*(2), 200-216. https://doi.org/10.1080/10476210.2018.146503 4

Huh, S. (2016). Instructional model of critical literacy in an EFL context: Balancing conventional and critical literacy. *Critical Inquiry in Language Studies*, *13*(3), 210-235. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427587.2016.115444 5

Ibrahim, N. K. (2015). Critical literacy: Performance and reactions. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 5(4), 756-764. https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.0504.11

Janks, H. (2000). Domination, access, diversity and design: A synthesis for critical literacy education. *Educational Review*, 52(2), 175-186. https://doi.org/10.1080/713664035

Janks, H. (Ed.). (2006). Proceedings of the AATE/ALEA conference: Voices, vibes, visions. Charles Darwin University. https://hilaryjanks.files.wordpress.com/2015/0 1/aate-design-darwin-2006.pdf

Janks, H. (2012). The importance of critical literacy. *English Teaching-Practice and Critique*, 11(1), 150-163.

https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ970245.pdf Janks, H. (2013). Critical literacy in teaching and research. *Education Inquiry*, 4(2), 225–242.

https://doi.org/10.3402/edui.v4i2.22071 Janks, H. (2014). Critical literacy's ongoing importance for education. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, *57*(5), 349-356. https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.260

Janks, H. (2018). Texts, identities, and ethics: Critical literacy in a post-truth world. *Journal* of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 62(1), 95-99. https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.761 Jones, S. (2006). *Girls, social class and literacy: What teachers can do to make a difference.* Heinemann.

Jowallah, R. (2015). Awakening students through critical literacy: Implications for teaching and learning within contemporary education. *International Journal of Literacies*, 21(3-4), 17-27. https://doi.org/10.18848/2327-0136/CGP/v21i3-4/48837

Karagiannaki, E., & Stamou, A. G. (2018). Bringing critical discourse analysis into the classroom:
A critical language awareness project on fairy tales for young school children. *Language Awareness*, 27(3), 222-242.
https://doi.org/10.1080/09658416.2018.144404 6

Keneman, M. (2016). Empowering the foreign language learner through critical literacies development. Journal of Language & Literacy Education / Ankara Universitesi SBF Dergisi, 12(2), 84-99. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1120289.pdf

Ko, M. Y., & Wang, T. F. (2013). EFL learners' critical literacy practices: A case study of four college students in Taiwan. *Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 22(3), 221-229. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-012-0013-5

Kunnath, J. P., & Jackson, A. (2019). Developing student critical consciousness: Twitter as a tool to apply critical literacy in the English classroom. *Journal of Media Literacy Education*, 11(1), 52-74. https://doi.org/10.23860/jmle-2019-11-1-3

Kuo, J. M. (2013). Implementing critical literacy for university freshmen in Taiwan through selfdiscovery texts. Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 22(4), 549-557. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-013-0057-1

Labadie, M., Pole, K., & Rogers, R. (2013). How kindergarten students connect and critically respond to themes of social class in children's literature. *Literacy Research and Instruction*, *52*(4), 312-338. https://doi.org/10.1080/19388071.2013.809176

Lau, M. C. (2010). Practising critical literacy work with English language learners: An integrative approach [Unpublished master's thesis]. University of Toronto

Lau, M. C. (2019). Convergences and alignments between translanguaging and critical literacies work in bilingual classrooms. *Translation and Translanguaging in Multilingual Contexts*, 5(1), 67-85.

https://doi.org/10.1075/ttmc.00025.lau

Lee, C.-J. (2013). Myths about critical literacy: What teachers need to unlearn. *Journal of Language & Literacy Education*, 7(1), 95-102. http://jolle.coe.uga.edu/archive/2011\_1/lee.pdf

Lee, Y. J. (2017). First steps toward critical literacy: Interactions with an English narrative text among three English as a foreign language readers in South Korea. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy*, *17*(1), 26-46. https://doi.org/10.1177/1468798415599048

Lewison, M., Flint, A. S., & van Sluys, K. (2002). Taking on critical literacy: The journey of newcomers and novices. *Language Arts*, 79(5), 382–392.

https://www.jstor.org/stable/41483258?seq=1

- Lewison, M., Leland, C., & Harste, J. C. (2008). Creating critical classrooms: K-8 reading and writing with an edge. Taylor and Francis Group.
- Luke, A. (1995a). Text and discourse in education: An introduction to critical discourse analysis. *Review of Research in Education*, 21, 3-48. https://doi.org/10.2307/1167278

Luke, A. (Ed.). (1995b). Proceedings of the American educational research association annual meeting. AERA. https://login.ezproxy.utas.edu.au/login?url=htt p://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=tru e&db=eric&AN=ED384001&site=eds-live

- Luke, A. (2000). Critical literacy in Australia: A matter of context and standpoint. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 43(5), 448-461. https://www.jstor.org/stable/40017081?seq=1
- Luke, A. (2012). Critical literacy: Foundational notes. *Theory Into Practice*, *51*(1), 4-11. https://doi.org/10.1080/00405841.2012.636324

Luke, A., & Sefton-Green, J. (2018). Critical media literacy and digital ethics. *Media Development*, 2018(4), 6-13. https://waccglobal.org/criticalmedia-literacy-and-digital-ethics/

- Mahecha, F. A. G. (2018). Implementing critical literacy in al undergraduate students. GIST – Education and Learning Research Journal, (16), 100-116. https://doi.org/10.26817/16925777.399
- May, L. A. (2015). Preservice teacher bricolage: Incorporating critical literacy, negotiating competing visions. *Critical Literacy: Theories* and Practices, 9(2), 3-16. http://criticalliteracy.freehostia.com/index.php ?journal=criticalliteracy&page=article&op=vie wArticle&path%5B%5D=152
- McLaughlin, M., & DeVoogd, G. L. (2004). Critical literacy: Enhancing students' comprehension of text. Scholastic.

Neophytou, L., & Valiandes, S. (2013). Critical literacy needs teachers as transformative leaders. Reflections on teacher training for the introduction of the (new) modern Greek language curriculum in Cyprus. *Curriculum Journal*, 24(3), 412-426.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09585176.2012.744331 Norris, K., Lucas, L., & Prudhoe, C. (2012).

Examining critical literacy: Preparing preservice teachers to use critical literacy in the early childhood classroom. *Multicultural*  *Education, 19*(2), 59-62. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1001528

- Novianti, N., Thomas, A., & To, V. (2020). From the land of fairy tales to the classroom practices of critical literacies: Affordances and challenges [Manuscript submitted for publication]. Department of English Education, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.
- Pennycook, A. (1990). Critical pedagogy and second language education. *System*, *18*(3), 303-311. https://doi.org/10.1016/0346-251X(90)90003-N
- Rahimi, A., & Askari Bigdeli, R. (2015). Why does critical literacy hit a snag in the Iranian EFL setting? *Colombian Applied Linguistics Journal*, *17*(1), 53-63. https://doi.org/10.14483/udistrital.jour.calj.201 5.1.a04
- Roy, L. (2017). "So what's the difference?" Talking about race with refugee children in the English language learner classroom. *TESOL Journal*, 8(3), 540-563. https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.286
- Schreiber, R., Crooks, D., & Stern, P. N. (1997). Qualitative meta-analysis. In J. M. Morse (Ed.), *Completing a qualitative project: Details and dialogue* (pp. 311-326). Sage.
- Shor, I. (1999). What is critical literacy? *Journal of Pedagogy, Pluralism, and Practice, 1*(4), 2-32. https://digitalcommons.lesley.edu/jppp/vol1/iss 4/2/
- Simmons, A. M. (2016). Supporting critical literacy in high school English by using systemic functional linguistics to analyze fantasy, canonical, and nonfiction texts. *Critical Inquiry in Language Studies*, *13*(3), 183-209. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427587.2016.115247 5
- Soares, L. B. (2012). Powerful voices and pens: Developing critical stance with adolescent literacy in content-area pre-service teacher education. *Journal of Research in Education*, 22(2), 24-47.
- https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1098419.pdf The New London Group. (1996). A pedagogy of multiliteracies: Designing social futures. *Harvard Educational Review*, 66(1), 60-92. https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.66.1.17370n67v2 2j160u

Timulak, L. (2009). Meta-analysis of qualitative studies: A tool for reviewing qualitative research findings in psychotherapy. *Psychother Res*, *19*(4-5), 591-600. https://doi.org/10.1080/10503300802477989

Torres, M. N. (2017). Prospective teachers learning to engage reluctant writers: The power of experiential critical literacy pedagogies. *Journal of Teacher Action Research*, 4(1), 101-116.

http://www.practicalteacherresearch.com/uploa

ds/5/6/2/4/56249715/prospective\_teachers\_lear ning\_to\_engage\_reluctant\_wrtiers.pdf

- Tsai, S.-J. V. (2010). *Exploring the possibilities in Taiwan for critical literacy through multiple learning source* [Unpublished master's thesis]. Donghae University.
- Vasquez, V. M. (2004). *Negotiating critical literacies with young children*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Vasquez, V. M. (2017). Critical literacy. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education*. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/978019026409 3.013.20
- Vasquez, V. M., Janks, H., & Comber, B. (2019). Critical literacy as a way of being and doing. *Language Arts*, *96*(5), 300-311.

- Vasquez, V. M., Tate, S., & Harste, J. C. (2013). Negotiating critical literacies with teachers. Routledge.
- Wallace, C. (1995). Reading with a suspicious eye: Critical reading in the foreign language classroom. In G. C. B. Seidlhofer (Ed.), *Principle & practice in applied linguistics: Studies in honour of H.G. Widdowson* (pp. 335-347). Oxford University Press.
- Young, S. L.-B. (2018). From situated privilege to dis/abilities: Developing critical literacies across social issues. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 61(5), 501–509. https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.720