SUBJECT INDEX

```
academic reading, 260, 261, 262, 266, 267
                                                                                                                             metadiscourse, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 310
academic writing, 212, 301, 302, 303, 309
                                                                                                                             motivation, 199, 210, 215, 216, 217, 218, 224, 225, 232, 233, 234, 235, 271, 273, 274,
275, 276, 277, 280, 282, 284, 287, 312, 314, 315
                                                                                                                             multilingualism, 271
back-translations, _{\it 288,\ 290,\ 291,\ 292,\ 293,\ 294,\ 295,\ 296,\ 299}
                                                                                                                             narrative analysis, 172, 173, 174, 181
                                                                                                                             narratives, 172, 173, 174, 180, 181, 182, 220, 232, 234, 325, 326, 327
casual conversations, 311, 313, 314, 316, 317
classroom practices, 193, 194, 195, 196, 198, 201, 202, 227, 233
                                                                                                                             negotiation, 194, 196, 230, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315
communicative purposes, 319, 320, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 331
                                                                                                                             newspaper commentaries, 330, 332, 340
computational linguistics, 319
                                                                                                                             nomination strategies, 341, 343, 347, 348
contextual, 193. 195. 196, 261, 313, 315, 331, 338
                                                                                                                             oral, 173, 174, 194, 197, 198, 199, 200, 203, 204, 205, 208, 209, 232, 233, 235, 237, 243, 244,
conversation, {}_{174,\,178,\,182,\,194,\,215,\,242,\,300,\,302,\,309,\,310,\,311,\,312,\,313,\,314,}
                                                                                                                             peer, 205, 212
              315, 316, 317
correction, 184, 185, 186, 190, 191, 211
                                                                                                                             practice, 174, 176, 177, 178, 180, 181, 182, 191, 202, 203, 206, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212,
corrective, 184, 190, 191, 211, 212
                                                                                                                                           214, 215, 216, 217, 219, 220, 227, 228, 230, 231, 233, 243, 245, 261, 262, 263, 265,
critical discourse analysis, 311, 313, 314, 318, 338, 349, 350
                                                                                                                                           266, 267, 271, 277, 299, 300, 317, 318, 334, 349
debating, 176, 177, 178, 179, 181
                                                                                                                             pragmatics, 289, 299, 300, 308, 309
                                                                                                                             predication strategies, 341, 342, 343, 346, 347, 348, 349
direct, 184, 185, 189, 214, 223, 242, 289, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 297, 299, 302, 328
                                                                                                                             prepositions, 188, 246, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 260, 303
Discourse Historical Approach, 341, 342, 343
discursive strategies, 341, 342, 347
                                                                                                                             pre-service teachers, 182, 203, 213, 214, 220
English proficiency, _{193, \ 207, \ 223, \ 224, \ 231, \ 246, \ 250, \ 251}
                                                                                                                             professional development, 202, 214, 220, 222, 223, 224, 228, 229, 260,
errors, 177. 184. 185. 186. 188, 189, 190, 191, 206, 237, 242, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 255,
                                                                                                                             professional reading, 263, 264, 265, 266
                                                                                                                             professional training, 195, 198, 202
essays, 182, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 244, 248, 303, 310, 330, 349
                                                                                                                             pronunciation, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 238
feedback, \ _{184,\ 185,\ 186,\ 187,\ 189,\ 190,\ 191,\ 204,\ 205,\ 206,\ 207,\ 208,\ 209,\ 210,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 211,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 212,\ 21
                                                                                                                             questioning, 207, 289, 291, 292, 293, 295, 299, 311, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318
             234, 238, 242
gender identity, 301, 303, 307, 310
                                                                                                                             Reading to Learn, 232, 233, 243, 244
                                                                                                                             reflective, 182, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 220, 236, 262, 301
genre analysis, 328, 329, 339
genres, 232, 234, 244, 308, 319, 320, 321, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 330, 331, 332, 333,
                                                                                                                             reflective essays, 204
                                                                                                                             register, 173, 208, 290, 319, 320, 321
                                                                                                                             rhetoric analysis, 330
grammar, 176, 180, 186, 188, 189, 191, 194, 195, 198, 199, 200, 201, 208, 210, 211, 216,
                                                                                                                             rhetorical structures, 330, 331, 332, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338
             223, 233, 238, 239, 241, 242, 243, 244, 248, 249, 254, 255, 260, 268, 295, 299, 300.
                                                                                                                             Second Language Acquisition, 246
                                                                                                                             SFL (systemic functional linguistics), 232, 234, 237, 331
identity, 172, 173, 174, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 213, 214, 219, 220, 273, 277, 278,
                                                                                                                             skills, 178, 181, 182, 186, 193, 194, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 204, 205, 206, 207, 210,
              280, 290, 295, 299, 300, 302, 308, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 337, 349
implicit, 184, 190, 210, 290, 299, 339, 342
                                                                                                                                           213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 223, 232, 235, 238, 244, 260, 266, 267, 274,
indirect, 184, 185, 186, 187, 189, 190, 191, 205, 298
                                                                                                                             social identity, 302, 311, 312, 313, 314, 316, 317
interlanguage, 246, 255
                                                                                                                             storytelling, 172, 174, 179, 180, 232, 235, 237, 245
interpersonal, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 219, 221, 261, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 294,
                                                                                                                             syllabus, 193, 194, 195, 200, 201, 202, 217
             295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 312, 315,
                                                                                                                             systematicity, 246, 250, 253, 255
              323, 324, 325, 326, 327
                                                                                                                             teachers' beliefs, 193, 194, 195, 196, 202, 203, 213, 228, 229, 231
interpersonal utterances, 288, 289, 290, 291, 295, 299
                                                                                                                             teachers' knowledge, 234, 260
Intertextuality, 342
language attitude, 273, 274, 276, 280, 282, 284, 287
                                                                                                                             teaching practice, 178, 181
                                                                                                                             textual, 290, 301, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 312, 322, 330, 331, 332, 335, 336, 337, 338,
language choice, 273, 274, 275, 276, 282, 284, 286
language dominance, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 280
                                                                                                                             verbal politeness, 288, 290, 291
language preference, 271, 273, 276
language proficiency, 205, 225, 228, 233, 273, 274
                                                                                                                             vocabulary, 186, 189, 194, 198, 201, 208, 233, 234, 243, 304, 322, 324, 325, 326
language use, 273, 274, 275, 276, 282, 284, 286
                                                                                                                             working memory, 246
learner autonomy, 222, 231
                                                                                                                             writing, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 194, 195, 198, 199, 200, 201, 204, 205, 206,
learning strategies, 223, 224, 231, 248, 267
                                                                                                                                           207. 208. 209. 210. 211. 212. 232. 233. 234. 235. 236. 237. 239. 241. 242. 243. 244.
linguistic features, 288, 319, 320, 321, 323, 324, 326, 327, 328, 332
                                                                                                                                           247, 250, 255, 256, 262, 274, 276, 277, 301, 302, 303, 308, 309, 310, 320, 327,
literacy, 180, 194, 232, 233, 243, 244, 310, 339
                                                                                                                                           328,330, 331, 337, 338, 339, 340
media discourse, 309, 330, 338, 339
                                                                                                                             writing task, 190
                                                                                                                             written discourse, 302, 310, 339
mentor teachers, 178, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219
mentoring, 213, 214, 215, 218, 219, 220
```

AUTHOR INDEX

Joseph Ernest Mambu, 172-183
Fatemeh Poorebrahim, 184-192
Shanina Sharatol Ahmad Shah, Juliana
Othman, and Fatiha Senom, 193-203
Asiah Mohd Sharif and Siti Zaidah
Zainuddin, 204-212
Paulus Kuswandono, 213-221
Nenden Sri Lengkanawati, 222-231
Ika Lestari Damayanti, 232-245
Piyaboot Sumonsriworakun and Nattama
Pongpairoj, 246-259
Margaret Kitchen, Maree Jeurissen, Susan
Gray, and Matthew Courtney, 260-270

Soo Ying How, Ain Nadzimah Abdullah, and Swee Heng Chan, 271-287
Yan Mujiyanto, 288-300
Zari Sadat Seyyedrezaie and Vahideh Sadat Vahedi, 301-310
Zulfa Sakhiyya, 311-318
Angvarrah Lieungnapar, Richard Watson Todd, and Wannapa Trakulkasemsuk, 319-329
Romualdo Atibagos Mabuan, 330-340
Eri Kurniawan and Amalia Dwi Utami, 341-

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

(Based on Elsevier recommendations and COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors)

Ethical guidelines for journal publication

The publication of an article in the peer-reviewed journals published by *The Language Center, Indonesia University of Education* is process of permanent knowledge improvement. It is a direct reflection of the quality of the work of the authors and the institutions that support them. Peer-reviewed articles support and embody the scientific method. It is therefore important to agree upon standards of expected ethical behaviour for all parties involved in the act of publishing: the author, the journal editor, the peer reviewer, the publisher and the society of society-owned or sponsored journals.

The Language Center, Indonesia University of Education takes their duties of guardianship over all stages of publishing extremely seriously and we recognise our ethical and other responsibilities.

We are committed to ensuring that advertising, reprint or other commercial revenue has no impact or influence on editorial decisions. In addition, Editorial Board will assist in communications with other journals and/or publishers where this is useful to editors.

Duties of authors

Reporting standards

Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable. Review and professional publication articles should also be accurate and objective, and editorial 'opinion' works should be clearly identified as such.

Data access and retention

Authors may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

Originality and plagiarism

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others, that this has been appropriately cited or quoted. Plagiarism takes many forms, from 'passing off' another's paper as the author's own paper, to copying or paraphrasing substantial parts of another's paper (without attribution), to claiming results from research conducted by others. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. In general, an author should not submit for consideration in another journal a previously published paper.

Acknowledgement of sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work. Information obtained privately, as in conversation, correspondence, or discussion with third parties, must not be used or reported without explicit, written permission from the source. Information obtained in the course of confidential services, such as refereeing manuscripts or grant applications, must not be used without the explicit written permission of the author of the work involved in these services.

Authorship of the paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors. The corresponding author should ensure that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Hazards and human or animal subjects

If the work involves chemicals, procedures or equipment that have any unusual hazards inherent in their use, the author must clearly identify these in the manuscript. If the work involves the use of animal or human subjects, the author should ensure that the manuscript contains a statement that all procedures were performed in compliance with relevant laws and institutional guidelines and that the appropriate institutional committee(s) has approved them. Authors should include a statement in the manuscript that informed consent was obtained for experimentation with human subjects. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed. Examples of potential conflicts of interest which should be disclosed include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Potential conflicts of interest should be disclosed at the earliest stage possible.

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper. If the editor or the publisher learns from a third party that a published work contains a significant error, it is the obligation of the author to promptly retract or correct the paper or provide evidence to the editor of the correctness of the original paper.

Duties of editors

Publication decisions

The editor of a peer-reviewed journal is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published, often working in conjunction with the relevant society (for society-owned or sponsored journals). The validation of the work in question and its importance to researchers and readers must always drive such decisions. The editor may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers (or society officers) in making this decision.

Fair play

An editor should evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Confidentiality

The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Editors should recuse themselves (i.e. should ask a coeditor, associate editor or other member of the editorial board instead to review and consider) from considering manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or (possibly) institutions connected to the papers. Editors should require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and publish corrections if competing interest are revealed after publication. If needed, other appropriate action should be taken, such as the publication of a retraction or expression of concern. it should be ensured that the peer-review process for sponsored supplements is the same as that used for the main journal. Items in sponsored supplements should be accepted solely on the basis of academic merit and interest to readers and not be influenced by commercial considerations. Non-peer reviewed sections of their journal should be clearly identified.

Involvement and cooperation in investigations

An Editor should take reasonably responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning

a submitted manuscript or published paper, in conjunction with the published (or society). Such measures will generally include contacting the author of the manuscript or paper and giving due consideration of the respective complaint or claims made, but may also include further communications to the relevant institutions and research bodies, and if the complaint is upheld, the publication of a correction, retraction, expression of concern, or other note, as may be relevant. Every reported act of unethical publishing behavior must be looked into, even if it is discovered years after publication.

Duties of reviewers

Contribution to editorial decisions

Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper. Peer review is an essential component of formal scholarly communication, and lies at the heart of the scientific method. *The Language Center, Indonesia University of Education* shares the view of many that all scholars who wish to contribute to publications have an obligation to do a fair share of reviewing.

Promptness

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself or herself from the review process.

Confidentiality

Any manuscript reviewed for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discuss with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgments pf sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. a reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which the have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and conflict of interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in a reviewer's own research without the express written consent of the author. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer-review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, institutions connected to the papers.

IJAL Subscription Form

Please enroll me as a subscriber of Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics (IJAL) starting from volume to volume and send it to: Name :
Address:
The fee of IDR 200.000/year (for Indonesian) or US\$50/year (for abroad) will be *[] paid directly to the administration address or through money order to Aam Aminah, Balai Bahasa UPI, Jl. Dr. Setiabudhi 229, Bandung 40154; Phone/Fax: (+62 22) 200 0022, email: aam@upi.edu *[] Bank transfer (kindly send money to Bank BNI Branch PTB Bandung: c.o. Balai Bahasa UPI, No. 2952289992; write "for IJAL (write the volume and number)"; fax a copy of the bank slip to (+62 22) 200 0022 or email a scanned version of the bank transfer receipt to aam@upi.edu
(place) (date) (month) (year)
() Signature of subscriber
Note:

- 1)
- The journal will be sent upon receiving the subscription fee. Send the subscription form together with the bank transfer receipt by fax or email. (*) please, pick one.
- 2)

Indonesian journal of applied linguistics (IJAL) is a publication of the Language Center of Indonesia University of Education. It is published twice a year in July and January. The journal presents theoretical and practical studies on language and language-related concerns.

Articles should be submitted to Publication Division, the Language Center of Indonesia University of Education, Jalan Dr. Setiabudhi 229, Bandung 40154, Indonesia or email to didisukyadi@live.com. Articles should be in electronic form (either email or CD) and it should be in accordance with the journal's guidelines at the end each volume of the journal as well as on http://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/IJAL/about/submissions#authorGuidelines

All rights reserved; no part of this journal may be reproduced, stored, used, or transmitted in any form or by electronic, mechanical, or other means, including photocopying and recording without written permission of the publishers.

SUBSCRIPTION

Annual subscription prices for 2 issues are Rp.150.000,00 (individual) and Rp.200.000,00 (institutions). Payment may be made by the following method:

- Money order to Aam Aminah, the Language Center of Indonesia University of Education (Balai Bahasa UPI), Jalan Dr. Setiabudhi 229 Bandung 40154, Indonesia
- Bank transfer (kindly send money to Bank BNI Branch PTB Bandung: c.o. Balai Bahasa UPI, Account No. 2952289992; write "for IJAL (write the volume and number)"; fax a copy of the bank slip to (+62 22) 200 0022 or email a scanned version of the bank transfer receipt to aam@upi.edu)

Published by Balai Bahasa UPI in Cooperation with the Association of Teaching English as a Foreign Language in Indonesia (TEFLIN), and printed by UPI Press.

The online version of IJAL is available at: ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/IJAL

NOTES FOR CONTRIBUTORS

- 1. Articles submitted to the Journal should normally be between 5,000 to 7,000 words or between 14-17 pages with single space and should be accompanied by an abstract of not more than 300 words, containing the importance of the topic, objective, method, findings, and conclusion.
- 2. Below the abstract, about three to five keywords should appear together with the main body of the article with the font size
- 3. The Journal operates a peer review process and promotes blind reviewing. To facilitate this process, author's names (without academic titles), institutional affiliations, and the email address of the corresponding author should appear only on a detachable cover sheet.
- 4. Contributor(s) should include a short CV describing his/her/their current position and activities in not more than 80 words.
- 5. Articles should be written in English in single space, using Microsoft Word, font size 12, Times New Roman, top and left margin 3 cm, bottom and right margin 2.54 cm, printed in Letters.
- 6. Insert a header on even page indicating name of the Journal, Volume, Number, month, and year, and page number of the publication. On odd page, insert the author(s) and a few words of the title of the articles.
- 7. Footnotes should appear at the end of the text, not at the foot of the relevant page. Page number should be inserted at the bottom, placed on the right.
- 8. Write the main body of the article in two columns, except for tables and figures. Use first line indent of 1 cm, but no indent for first paragraph right after the main title and first paragraph after subheadings.
- 9. Block citation should be 1 cm indented with the font size 11.
- 10. For research-based articles, the outline used is: introduction (without heading or subheading), method, findings and discussion, conclusion, and references.
- 11. The title should be less than 12 words, capitalized, centered, with font size 14.
- 12. The introduction should consist of the background of the study, research contexts, literary review, and research objective. All introduction should be presented in the forms of paragraphs, not pointers, with the proportion of 15-20% of the whole article length.
- 13. The method section consists of description concerning the research design, data sources, data collection, and data analysis with the proportion of 10-15% of the total article length, all presented in the form of paragraphs.
- 14. The findings and discussion section consist of description of the results of the data analysis to answer the research question(s) and their meanings seen from current theories and references of the area addressed. The proportion of this section is 40-60% of the total article length.
- 15. The conclusion section consists of the summary, restatement, comment or evaluation of the main findings.
- 16. Use only horizontal lines when using tables. Put table number and the title of the table on top of it.
- 17. Every source cited in the body of the article should appear in the reference, and all sources appearing in the reference should be cited in the body of the article.
- 18. The sources cited should at least 80% come from those published in the last 10 years. The sources cited are primary sources in the forms of journal articles, books, and research reports, including theses and dissertations.
- 19. Citation is done using bracket (last name and year of publication). When the sources are cited verbatim, page number is included (p. 78 or pp. 78-89).
- 20. Proofs will be sent to the author for correction, and should be returned to didisukyadi@live.com by the deadline given.
- 21. Quotation and references follows APA style and the latter should be included at the end of the article in the following examples:
 - Amalia, A. (2012). The use of video in teaching writing procedural text: A quasi-experimental study in one of Senior High Schools in Bandung (Skripsi, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, 2012). Retrieved 12th January, 2012 from http://repository.upi.edu/skripsiview.php?no skripsi=11587
 - Balitbang. (2008). The assessment of curriculum policies in secondary education: Assessment report. Jakarta: Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan.
 - Costner, K. (Director), & Blake, M. (Writer). (1990). *Dances With Wolves* [Motion picture]. United States: Majestic Film/Tig Productions.
 - Cox, C. (1999). Teaching language arts: A student-and response-centered classroom (3th ed.). Needam Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
 - Cramond, B. (2007). Enriching the brain? Probably not for psychologists [Review of the book Enriching the brain: How to maximize every learner's potential]. *PsycCRITIQUES*, 52(4), Article 2. Retrieved from http://www.apa.org/psyccritiques/
 - Dorland's illustrated medical dictionary (29th ed.). (2000). Philadelphia: Saunders.
 - Hunston, S. & Oakey, D. (2010). Introducing applied linguistics: Concepts and skills. New York, NY: Routledge.
 - Johnson, L., Lewis, K., Peters, M., Harris, Y., Moreton, G., Morgan, B. et al. (2005). How far is far? London: McMillan.
 - Palmer, R. (in press). A third way: online labs integrated with print materials. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*.
 - Sklair, L. (2010). Iconic Architecture and the Culture-ideology of Consumerism. *Theory Culture Society, 27(135)*, pp. 135-159. DOI: 10.1177/0263276410374634.
 - Suherdi, D. (2010). Week three: Analyzing structure [Powerpoint slides]. Unpublished manuscript, IG502, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia
 - Sukyadi, D. & Mardiani, R. (2011b). The washback effect of national examination (ENE) on English teachers' classroom teaching and students' learning. *K@ta: A Biannual Publication on the Study of Language and Literature, 13(1)*, pp. 96-111
 - Sukyadi, D. (2011). The metaphorical use of English address terms in Indonesian blog comments (A pragmatic analysis of Indonesian bloggers). Dalam Nasanius, Y. (ed.) *Conference on English Studies (CONEST)* 8, pp. 133-135, Jakarta: Unika Atma Jaya.
 - Waugh, L.R., & Monville-Burston, M. (eds.). (1990). *On language: Roman Jakobson*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics (IJAL) ISSN 2301-9468

Volume 6, Number 2, January 2017, pp. 172-350

Contents

Unraveling relatively unclear stories: A narrative analysis of student-teachers' identity work Joseph Ernest Mambu, *Universitas Kristen Satya Wacana, Salatiga, Indonesia*

Indirect written corrective feedback, revision, and learning

Fatemeh Poorebrahim, University of Maragheh, Iran

The pronunciation component in ESL lessons: Teachers' beliefs and practices

Shanina Sharatol Ahmad Shah, Juliana Othman, and Fatiha Senom, University of Malaya

Students' perceptions of their reflective essay writing experience and teacher feedback comments Asiah Mohd Sharif and Siti Zaidah Zainuddin, *University of Malaya*

Mentor teachers' voices on pre-service English teachers' professional learning

Paulus Kuswandono, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia

Learner autonomy in the Indonesian EFL settings

Nenden Sri Lengkanawati, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

From storytelling to story writing: The implementation of reading to learn (R2L) pedagogy to teach English as a foreign language in Indonesia

Ika Lestari Damayanti, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Systematicity of L1 Thai learners' English interlanguage of dependent prepositions

Piyaboot Sumonsriworakun and Nattama Pongpairoj, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand

Teacher engagement with academic reading in a post-service TESOL course

Margaret Kitchen, Maree Jeurissen, Susan Gray, and Matthew Courtney, *The University of Auckland, New Zealand*

Patterns of dominance of language vitalities among Malaysian students in primary national-type and secondary schools

Soo Ying How, Ain Nadzimah Abdullah, and Swee Heng Chan, Universiti Putra Malaysia

The verbal politeness of interpersonal utterances resulted from back-translating Indonesian texts into English

Yan Mujiyanto, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

Projecting gender identity through metadiscourse marking: Investigating writers' stance taking in written discourse

Zari Sadat Seyyedrezaie¹ and Vahideh Sadat Vahedi², Department of English Language Teaching, Aliabad Katoul Branch, Islamic Azad University, Aliabad Katoul, Iran¹ and Department of English Language, Qaenat Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaenat, Iran²

Negotiating social identity through questions in casual conversations: A critical discourse analysis Zulfa Sakhiyya, Semarang State University, Indonesia

Genre induction from a linguistic approach

Angvarrah Lieungnapar, Richard Watson Todd, and Wannapa Trakulkasemsuk, *King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, Thailand*

A contrastive rhetorical analysis of Philippine and Sri Lankan English news commentaries

Romualdo Atibagos Mabuan, Lyceum of the Philippines University

The representation of Joko Widodo's figure in the Jakarta Post

Eri Kurniawan and Amalia Dwi Utami, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

