Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education

Managing the Social Development of Students with Disabilities in Inclusive Universities: A Conceptual Approach

Valery Vladimirovich Glushchenko[1,2], Evgeny Valerievich Trubacheyev[3,4]*

[1] Moscow Polytechnic University, Rusia
[2] University of Social Technoloogies, Rusia
[3] the Russian University of Social Technologies, Rusia
[4] Moscow State Pedagogical University, Rusia
*Correspondence: E-mail: valery.v.glushchenko@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The increasing complexity of the labor market and advancements in the 20th technological order present challenges for the social development of students with disabilities because traditional education systems often fail to provide adequate career preparation and social integration. Inclusive universities play a crucial role in bridging this gap by implementing structured social development management systems that enhance students' career readiness and personal growth. This study aims to develop a conceptual approach for managing the social development of students with disabilities, ensuring their successful transition into professional and social environments. The research employed historical, pedagogical, and psychological analysis, incorporating mentoring, project-based learning, and inclusive education theories to develop an adaptive management model. The results indicate that targeted career planning, employer engagement, and self-actualization strategies significantly improve student outcomes because they align education with real-world demands. The findings confirm that a structured approach to managing social development enhances employment prospects and social well-being, making inclusive education a more effective pathway for students with disabilities.

ARTICLE INFO

1. INTRODUCTION

The increasing complexity of modern labor markets and social structures presents significant challenges for the social development of students with disabilities because traditional educational models often fail to address their unique needs (Espada-Chavarria *et al.*, 2021; Hurenko *et al.*, 2024). The transition to the 20th technological order, characterized by advancements in nanotechnology, neurotechnology, information systems, and biotechnology, is reshaping employment opportunities, making it essential to develop adaptive management strategies for inclusive education (Conceição *et al.*, 2001). However, current models of social policy and inclusion lack the structured, individualized approach necessary to ensure long-term professional and personal success for people with disabilities (Kenny *et al.*, 2023).

Research highlights the need for innovative approaches to managing social development, particularly in inclusive universities, because strategic intervention at the educational level significantly enhances long-term employability and integration into society (George *et al.*, 2012; Yu *et al.*, 2024). Studies emphasize the importance of socio-diagnostic methods in optimizing the educational experience for students with disabilities (Poulsen & Kvåle, 2018). However, existing frameworks often fail to align with labor market demands, making it difficult for graduates to transition effectively into professional roles. Government and public organizations focus on strategic social assistance systems (Shaw *et al.*, 2021), yet these initiatives lack integration with educational models.

This study proposes a conceptual approach to managing the social development of students with disabilities, aiming to create a structured and scalable system that aligns with the demands of the new technological order. The novelty of this research lies in its integration of career development strategies, student ecosystem models, and emotional intelligence training into a unified MSDSD framework. The Fourth Industrial Revolution further influences inclusion strategies, driving changes in social and industrial institutions (Castelo-Branco *et al.*, 2022; Mogas *et al.*, 2022).

The research findings suggest that MSDSD implementation enhances social integration, emotional stability, and career preparedness because structured, targeted interventions provide students with the necessary tools to navigate both academic and professional environments. Emerging trends in remote work and flexible employment models further support these strategies (Shakhatreh, 2024). The impact of this research extends beyond education because it supports policy development and workforce integration, contributing to a sustainable, inclusive economy (Gidley *et al.*, 2010). Future research should explore the long-term impact of MSDSD on employment retention and economic participation, further refining its methodologies for broader application in inclusive education.

2. METHODS

This study examined the methodology for managing the social development of students with disabilities (MSDSD) in inclusive universities, with a focus on aligning educational and employment strategies with the evolving new technological order. A systematic approach was used to analyze pedagogical models, labor market trends, and inclusive management strategies. The research involved historical, systemic, and logical analysis to assess how educational frameworks impact the long-term social and economic well-being of students with disabilities.

The study explored the structural elements of the MSDSD mechanism, including inclusive education, career path planning, employer motivation systems, and participatory

management strategies. Key principles such as organizational culture, ideology, and policy development were analyzed to define best practices in managing the social development of students with disabilities. The methodology incorporated case studies, policy analysis, and educational framework evaluations to identify critical factors influencing the effectiveness of inclusive education.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results confirm that effective management of social development in inclusive universities requires a structured and multi-faceted approach because different stakeholders—students, universities, employers, and policymakers—play interconnected roles (Thelma & Chitondo, 2024).

The study found that the social well-being of students with disabilities is strongly influenced by career preparation, employer incentives, and participatory education models because structured career pathways increase employment prospects and reduce barriers to economic inclusion (Magrin *et al*., 2019).

The analysis also revealed that implicit knowledge and individual career planning play a critical role in the long-term success of inclusive education because students who receive personalized career guidance demonstrate higher social adaptability and professional integration (Zamiri & Esmaeili, 2024). Additionally, the philosophy and organizational culture of inclusive universities must align with labor market demands to ensure seamless transitions from education to employment.

The mission of MSDSD was identified as the social well-being of students with disabilities, which requires an integrated approach that includes policy support, employer engagement, and competency-based education. The vision for MSDSD involves the development of sustainable employment and career growth opportunities for students with disabilities in a technologically evolving economy.

The findings suggest that inclusive education must go beyond traditional learning models because students with disabilities require specialized career strategies, employer engagement programs, and policy-driven social support systems to achieve economic independence and social integration (Day & Sammons, 2016). Future research should focus on longitudinal studies of MSDSD implementation and the impact of evolving technological advancements on inclusive education models.

The analysis confirmed that managing the social development of students with disabilities (MSDSD) within inclusive universities is essential for maximizing their intellectual and labor potential because it facilitates smoother transitions from education to employment. The study found that MSDSD can be practically applied by integrating structured management strategies, specialized training, and supportive university ecosystems. The research identified key tools for social development, including targeted training agreements with employers, labor market monitoring, and the creation of inclusive student ecosystems because these approaches ensure students are equipped with relevant skills and resources (Pocol *et al.*, 2022). Additionally, we also outlined the most effective MSDSD strategies, emphasizing the impact of career planning, employer incentives, and policy frameworks on employment and social well-being.

A major finding was that self-actualization and emotional intelligence play a crucial role in the success of students with disabilities because they directly influence confidence, adaptability, and interpersonal communication (Suleman *et al.*, 2020). Maslow's theory of self-actualization (Maslow, 1954) supports this conclusion, highlighting that students who achieve self-actualization demonstrate greater independence, problem-solving abilities, and

resilience in social and professional settings (Rajakumar *et al.*, 2024). The research also found that developing emotional intelligence enhances students' ability to manage stress, build relationships, and effectively navigate learning environments, further improving their academic performance and career readiness.

The establishment of student-led university ecosystems was identified as a highly beneficial approach because it enables students to create and manage digital and physical services tailored to their needs (Aithal & Maiya, 2023). These services, including peer support networks, employment assistance platforms, and accessibility navigators, provide practical solutions that enhance inclusion, participation, and overall quality of education.

From an individualized perspective, MSDSD was found to be most effective when tailored to the specific mental, physical, and personal circumstances of each student because customized management strategies optimize resource allocation and support mechanisms.

The study concludes that creating a comprehensive management system for the social development of students with disabilities in inclusive universities enhances their social and economic integration because it fosters academic success, career readiness, and long-term social stability. Future research should focus on refining philosophical, ideological, and methodological aspects of MSDSD to ensure sustainable impact.

4. CONCLUSION

This study developed a conceptual framework for managing the social development of students with disabilities (MSDSD) in inclusive universities, addressing the challenges posed by the transition to the 20th technological order. The findings confirm that structured social development strategies, career planning, and employer engagement programs significantly enhance the employment prospects and social integration of students with disabilities because they provide targeted support aligned with evolving labor market needs. The research highlights that traditional inclusion policies lack adaptability to the demands of new technological advancements. Studies on social policy and workforce integration emphasize the need for strategic management systems to support students with disabilities. However, current approaches often fail to align higher education with employment trends. The novelty of this study lies in its integration of career development strategies, student ecosystem models, and emotional intelligence training into an adaptable MSDSD system. A major finding is that self-actualization and emotional intelligence play a critical role in career readiness and social stability because students with higher emotional resilience and adaptive skills perform better in professional environments. The establishment of student-led ecosystems further enhances inclusivity because it enables students to create self-support networks and adaptive learning environments. This study contributes to the advancement of inclusive education policies and workforce development because MSDSD provides a scalable model for bridging the gap between education and employment. Future research should focus on the long-term impact of MSDSD on job retention and economic participation, ensuring its continued effectiveness in inclusive universities and labor markets.

5. AUTHORS' NOTE

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. Authors confirmed that the paper was free of plagiarism.

6. REFERENCES

Aithal, P. S., and Maiya, A. K. (2023). Innovations in higher education industry–Shaping the future. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, *7*(4), 283-311.

Castelo-Branco, I., Oliveira, T., Simões-Coelho, P., Portugal, J., and Filipe, I. (2022). Measuring the fourth industrial revolution through the industry 4.0 lens: The relevance of resources, capabilities and the value chain. *Computers in Industry*, *138*, 103639.

Conceição, P., Gibson, D. V., Heitor, M. V., and Sirilli, G. (2001). Knowledge for inclusive development: The challenge of globally integrated learning and implications for science and technology policy. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *66*(1), 1-29.

Day, C., Gu, Q., and Sammons, P. (2016). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: How successful school leaders use transformational and instructional strategies to make a difference. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, *52*(2), 221-258.

Espada-Chavarria, R., Diaz-Vega, M., and González-Montesino, R. H. (2021). Open innovation for an inclusive labor market for university students with disabilities. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *7*(4), 217.

George, G., McGahan, A. M., and Prabhu, J. (2012). Innovation for inclusive growth: Towards a theoretical framework and a research agenda. *Journal of management studies*, *49*(4), 661-683.

Gidley, J. M., Hampson, G. P., Wheeler, L., and Bereded-Samuel, E. (2010). From access to success: An integrated approach to quality higher education informed by social inclusion theory and practice. *Higher Education Policy*, *23*, 123-147.

Hurenko, O., Suchikova, Y., Kravchenko, N., Nesterenko, M., and Petryk, K. (2024). Employment of young people with disabilities: The potential of social partnership of universities, municipalities and the labor market of Ukraine. *Work*, *79*(3), 1407-1423.

Kenny, N., McCoy, S., and O'Higgins Norman, J. (2023). A whole education approach to inclusive education: An integrated model to guide planning, policy, and provision. *Education Sciences*, *13*(9), 959.

Magrin, M. E., Marini, E., and Nicolotti, M. (2019). Employability of disabled graduates: Resources for a sustainable employment. *Sustainability*, *11*(6), 1542.

Mogas, J., Palau, R., Fuentes, M., and Cebrián, G. (2022). Smart schools on the way: How school principals from Catalonia approach the future of education within the fourth industrial revolution. *Learning Environments Research*, *25*(3), 875-893.

Pocol, C. B., Stanca, L., Dabija, D. C., Pop, I. D., and Mișcoiu, S. (2022). Knowledge co-creation and sustainable education in the labor market-driven university–business environment. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *10*, 781075.

Poulsen, S. V., and Kvåle, G. (2018). Studying social media as semiotic technology: A social semiotic multimodal framework. *Social Semiotics*, *28*(5), 700-717.

Rajakumar, C. S. C., Usman, S. A., Micheal, M. P. S. S., and Parayitam, S. (2024). Knowledge sharing and organizational commitment: Psychological capital as a mediator and self-actualization as moderator. *Information*, *15*(8), 459.

Shakhatreh, H. J. M. (2024). The future work management in the education sector: Remote work and flexible work. *International Journal of Intellectual Human Resource Management (IJIHRM)*, *5*(01), 46-60.

Shaw, J., Brewer, L. C., and Veinot, T. (2021). Recommendations for health equity and virtual care arising from the COVID-19 pandemic: Narrative review. *JMIR Formative Research*, *5*(4), e23233.

Suleman, Q., Syed, M. A., Mahmood, Z., and Hussain, I. (2020). Correlating emotional intelligence with job satisfaction: Evidence from a cross-sectional study among Secondary School Heads in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 240.

Thelma, C. C., and Chitondo, L. (2024). Leadership for sustainable development in Africa: A comprehensive perspective. *International Journal of Research Publications*, *5*(2), 2395-2410.

Yu, Y., Appiah, D., Zulu, B., and Adu-Poku, K. A. (2024). Integrating rural development, education, and management: Challenges and strategies. *Sustainability*, *16*(15), 6474.

Zamiri, M., and Esmaeili, A. (2024). Strategies, methods, and supports for developing skills within learning communities: A systematic review of the literature. *Administrative Sciences*, *14*(9), 231.