Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education

Journal homepage: http://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/IJCSNE/

Enhancing the Social Development of People with Disabilities Through Project-Based Inclusive Education in Support of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Valery Vladimirovich Glushchenko[1,2,], Trubacheyev Evgeny Valerievich[2]*

[1]Moscow Polythechnic University, Russia
[2]Russian State University of Social Technologies, Russia

Correspondence: E-mail: valery.v.glushchenko@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study explored how project-based inclusive education can enhance the social development and employability of people with disabilities, aligning with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 4 (Quality Education), 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), and 10 (Reduced Inequalities). The research applied historical analysis, systems thinking, and forecasting methods to propose a structured framework based on social systems engineering and participatory pedagogy. The findings revealed that project-based learning facilitated emotional engagement, collaborative skills, and workplace preparedness while reinforcing inclusive principles. The study also introduced the ESG+I model, an expansion of corporate social responsibility that incorporates Inclusion alongside Ecology, Social Responsibility, and Good Governance. Results suggested that this educational approach not only improved learning outcomes but also created pathways for labor market integration, particularly in innovation-driven sectors. Project-based inclusive education was thus presented as a viable strategy for advancing equity, participation, and resilience among people with disabilities within the context of an evolving global economy and technological transformation.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:
Submitted/Received 20 Nov 2024
First Revised 19 Dec 2024
Accepted 23 Feb 2025
First Available online 24 Feb 2025
Publication Date 01 Mar 2025

Keyword:
Disability,
Inclusion,
Inclusive education,
Project-based learning,
Social development.

1. INTRODUCTION

In an era defined by rapid technological change and growing global inequality, the social development of people with disabilities remains a critical and unresolved challenge. Although inclusive education is now embedded in many national education systems, its implementation often lacks alignment with labor market demands and the competencies required in the Fourth Industrial Revolution. In Russia, for example, the employment rate of young people with disabilities remains alarmingly low, ranging from 2 to 7% (Natsun, 2019). This disparity underscores the need to reform inclusive higher education so that it not only provides equitable access but also prepares students with disabilities for meaningful employment (Afanasyev, 2022).

This study, titled Enhancing the Social Development of People with Disabilities Through Project-Based Inclusive Education in Support of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), addresses this need by introducing project-based learning (PBL) as a strategic framework for inclusive education. Inclusive education, aligned with SDG 4 (Quality Education), has been recognized for fostering emotional growth and academic achievement (Nahorna *et al*., 2023). However, its integration with real-world competencies and employment readiness (critical for fulfilling SDG 8 (Decent Work) and SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities)) has not been systematically developed (Hudym *et al*., 2024; Kolbina *et al*., 2023).

Scholars have previously explored inclusive education through the lenses of personal development, differentiated instruction, and institutional support (Lekhanova & Denisova, 2024; Volosnikova & Fedina, 2023). However, few have positioned PBL as a central tool for empowering students with disabilities to actively engage in collaborative, experiential, and goal-oriented learning that translates into employability and social inclusion. Traditional subject-based instruction, while foundational, often separates theoretical knowledge from practical application and may not accommodate individual psycho-physical needs or labor market transitions.

To address this gap, the study applied historical, comparative, and systems analysis to develop a model of differentiated project-based inclusive education. Drawing from social systems engineering and participatory pedagogy, the model places educational projects at the center of inclusive development strategies. It introduces the ESG+I framework, a novel extension of corporate social responsibility that adds Inclusion to the existing principles of Ecology, Social Responsibility, and Governance. This alignment creates an actionable bridge between academic systems and labor market structures, particularly for marginalized populations.

The novelty of this research lies in its interdisciplinary integration of inclusive pedagogy, employment policy, and project management theory. It frames project-based inclusive education not merely as a teaching method, but as a governance tool for enhancing resilience, emotional intelligence, and career readiness among people with disabilities. By situating this model within the broader context of the SDGs, the study presents a scalable and socially responsive solution to persistent gaps in access, quality, and post-graduation outcomes.

Based on our previous studies (Glushchenko & Glushchenko, 2015; Glushchenko, 2022; Glushchenko, 2023; Glushchenko, 2024; Glushchenko, 2025), ultimately, the study demonstrates that project-based inclusive education can contribute to equitable human development, foster active citizenship, and support the transition of people with disabilities into innovation-driven sectors of the global economy (Tursunov & Jumanazarova, 2023; Wittmann, 2022). It offers a forward-looking framework for inclusive higher education that responds to both pedagogical and societal imperatives.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10. 17509/xxxx.xxxx
p- ISSN 2775-8400 e- ISSN 2775-9857

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Inclusive education has been recognized globally as a central element of educational and social policy, particularly in advancing Sustainable Development Goal 4 (Quality Education). At the beginning of the 21st century, inclusive higher education emerged as a structural mechanism to provide equal academic opportunities to all students, including those with disabilities (Nahorna *et al*., 2023). However, effective implementation requires more than access. It must also address individual psycho-physical needs, academic development, and labor market alignment (Hudym *et al*., 2024).

Scholars have identified that inclusive education should go beyond standardized curricula to incorporate emotional intelligence and student-centered learning approaches (Kolbina *et al*., 2023). Lekhanova and Denisova emphasized the importance of individualized educational trajectories that offer flexibility through adaptive methodologies and differentiated instruction (Lekhanova & Denisova, 2024).

Nevertheless, significant contradictions persist. One core tension lies in the conflict between academic excellence and inclusive values, where institutional focus on performance metrics often undermines the personalized needs of students with disabilities (Hudym *et al*., 2024). In response, researchers have proposed alternative models such as the Inclusive Excellence (IE) framework, which evaluates inclusivity based on four dimensions: accessibility and equality, curriculum diversity, campus atmosphere, and developmental technologies (Volosnikova & Fedina, 2023).

Addressing Sustainable Development Goal 8 (Decent Work), scholars have examined the link between inclusive education and employment readiness. Research indicates that traditional systems often fail to support the transition of disabled graduates into the labor market due to insufficient alignment between education and practical competencies (Dougherty & Lombardi, 2016). Relatedly, institutional structures that enable independent living and workforce participation have been shown to improve self-reliance and reduce social exclusion (Starobina *et al*., 2023).

The concept of managing social development has evolved from personnel administration to a broader framework of social inclusion and human resource development. This is particularly important for inclusive education, which must now prepare learners not only for academic success but also for meaningful civic and economic engagement.

PBL has recently gained traction as an effective strategy in inclusive pedagogy. Compared to subject-based instruction, project-based methods immerse students in collaborative, hands-on learning aligned with real-life challenges. This approach has been shown to enhance motivation, problem-solving ability, and self-actualization among students with disabilities. Furthermore, the inclusive nature of project participation—where diverse learners collaborate on shared goals—helps foster equality, mutual understanding, and team-based communication (Wittmann, 2022).

Educational projects have also been framed as mechanisms for managing social development, especially when embedded within institutional partnerships that simulate real-world professional environments. Such collaborations have the potential to ease the school-to-work transition and contribute to Sustainable Development Goal 10 (Reduced Inequalities).

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.17509/xxxx.xxxx
p- ISSN 2775-8400 e- ISSN 2775-9857

To support these innovations, previous studies proposed the ESG+I model, an expansion of the ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) framework to include Inclusion. This model emphasizes the responsibility of educational institutions and corporations to promote equity, accessibility, and inclusive employment ecosystems.

The reviewed literature affirms the relevance of project-based inclusive education as a viable response to contemporary challenges in inclusive pedagogy. It provides a framework that bridges the divide between academic settings and labor market demands, while aligning with the goals of SDGs 4, 8, and 10 (Tursunov & Jumanazarova, 2023).

3. METHODS

This study adopted a qualitative-analytical approach to examine how project-based inclusive education can function as a mechanism for managing the social development of people with disabilities. The research employed a combination of historical analysis, systems thinking, forecasting, and comparative analysis to construct a conceptual framework grounded in social systems engineering. These methods enabled the exploration of the evolving concepts of inclusive pedagogy, labor integration, and the transformation of higher education structures within the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution.

Historical analysis was used to trace the development of inclusive education and its intersection with personnel development paradigms, highlighting the shift from traditional human resource management to social development models. System analysis allowed the researchers to position inclusive education within the broader structure of social systems, enabling the identification of key variables that influence the effectiveness of inclusion in higher education and employment.

Forecasting techniques were applied to predict future trends in inclusive education about technological change, particularly the rise of remote work, neurotechnology, and project-oriented labor structures. These projections were supported by expert evaluations and policy recommendations aligned with national and international inclusive education agendas (Afanasyev, 2022).

Comparative analysis was utilized to evaluate the effectiveness of traditional subject-based learning models versus project-based approaches in preparing students with disabilities for active participation in society and the labor market. Efficiency theory further guided the formulation of quality indicators for inclusive education outcomes, particularly in assessing readiness for employment and socio-professional integration.

This interdisciplinary methodology enabled the construction of a systems-based mechanism for managing the social development of people with disabilities. The mechanism integrates pedagogical strategies, project-based learning structures, and employment-oriented competencies into a unified model that supports the objectives of Sustainable Development Goals 4, 8, and 10 (Tursunov & Jumanazarova, 2023).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Overview of Current Inclusive Education Practices

Inclusive education has undergone substantial transformation over the past two decades, particularly with its institutionalization as a fundamental right and social policy instrument. In many countries, including Russia, inclusive higher education has expanded access to academic institutions for people with disabilities. However, despite its increasing formal recognition, practical implementation often remains inconsistent and fragmented across institutions. This

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10. 17509/xxxx.xxxx
p- ISSN 2775-8400 e- ISSN 2775-9857

discrepancy arises largely from infrastructural limitations, insufficient training of academic staff, and a persistent gap between inclusive values and traditional pedagogical models.

Empirical reports from Russian universities indicate that while accessibility infrastructure has improved, such as physical mobility aids, adapted learning materials, and specialized staff, these resources often function in isolation rather than as part of a holistic inclusion strategy. Moreover, inclusive efforts are frequently limited to compliance with regulations rather than a commitment to transforming educational culture (Afanasyev, 2022). As a result, students with disabilities may gain admission but still struggle with participation, academic success, and integration into campus life (Nahorna *et al*., 2023).

A further challenge is the over-reliance on subject-based, standardized education models that prioritize theoretical content mastery over adaptability, creativity, and real-world engagement. These models rarely consider psycho-physical variations, cultural expectations, or long-term professional preparation for students with disabilities (Kolbina *et al*., 2023). The absence of individual educational trajectories also undermines the core principle of differentiated learning, an essential feature of inclusive excellence.

Consequently, while inclusive education in its current form has improved access, it has not adequately supported long-term outcomes such as employability, autonomy, and social resilience. These limitations highlight the urgency of rethinking inclusive education through more adaptive, integrative, and project-oriented approaches (Hudym *et al*., 2024).

4.2. Implementation of Project-based Inclusive Models

The implementation of project-based inclusive education (PBIE) marks a critical shift from traditional didactic instruction to experiential, student-centered learning that accommodates diverse needs. Unlike subject-based approaches, PBIE structures the learning process around real-world projects, which promote collaboration, creativity, and self-direction. For students with disabilities, this model offers a more natural and equitable environment, as success is not solely defined by standardized assessment but by meaningful participation and contribution to group outcomes.

Pilot implementations of PBIE in Russian higher education institutions have demonstrated that students with disabilities thrive in settings where roles are flexible, communication is encouraged, and learning outcomes are integrated with practical applications. Educational projects—such as joint research, entrepreneurial simulations, or community outreach—have been shown to reduce barriers to engagement and foster a sense of belonging. Through these projects, disabled and non-disabled students operate as equals in mixed teams, reinforcing principles of mutual respect and interdependence (Wittmann, 2022).

Mentorship plays a pivotal role in successful PBIE implementation. Academic staff and industry partners act as facilitators rather than lecturers, guiding students through iterative cycles of project planning, execution, and reflection. This format allows for dynamic interaction, real-time feedback, and adaptive problem-solving, which are particularly beneficial for students with cognitive, emotional, or mobility-related challenges.

Moreover, the integration of PBIE into the curriculum requires institutional support, such as dedicated project centers, cross-departmental coordination, and flexibility in credit allocation. When implemented with strategic planning, PBIE not only enhances academic engagement but also functions as a platform for vocational training, emotional development, and social integration. This represents a significant advancement in inclusive pedagogy, moving beyond compliance toward systemic transformation in support of sustainable development and equity.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.17509/xxxx.xxxx
p- ISSN 2775-8400 e- ISSN 2775-9857

4.3. Impact on Social Development and Employability

PBIE has demonstrated significant positive impacts on the social development and employability of people with disabilities. By shifting the focus from passive content absorption to active engagement, PBIE empowers students with disabilities to participate more fully in both academic and social environments. The nature of project work encourages interaction, teamwork, and shared responsibilities, which in turn develop soft skills such as communication, cooperation, and leadership skills essential for both social inclusion and workplace readiness.

One of the most notable outcomes of PBIE is its effect on emotional intelligence. Through collaborative projects, students experience real-life emotional dynamics (such as facing challenges, celebrating milestones, and managing interpersonal differences), which cultivate resilience, empathy, and motivation. These experiences enhance students' ability to navigate complex social contexts both during and after university (Kolbina *et al*., 2023). For individuals with disabilities, these competencies often represent crucial assets in overcoming social isolation and stigma.

PBIE also facilitates the development of technical and managerial skills that are directly transferable to the labor market. Students are exposed to elements of project planning, budgeting, time management, documentation, and public presentation, skills frequently demanded in contemporary job settings. When projects are implemented in partnership with industries or community stakeholders, they offer real-world experience and networking opportunities that can lead to internships, mentorship, or employment.

Furthermore, PBIE provides students with disabilities the opportunity to demonstrate capabilities beyond academic performance. Their contributions in problem-solving, innovation, and team dynamics challenge preconceived notions about disability and competence, reshaping perceptions among peers, faculty, and potential employers. This visibility and participation increase their likelihood of integration into the workforce and foster social recognition, fulfilling the goals of SDG 8 (Decent Work) and SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities) (Starobina *et al*., 2023).

4.4. Alignment with SDGs

The integration of PBIE directly supports the realization of multiple SDGs, particularly SDG 4 (Quality Education), SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), and SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities). By shifting educational strategies from conventional instruction to inclusive, participatory learning experiences, PBIE offers a scalable and context-sensitive solution to systemic inequalities in education and employment.

SDG 4 emphasizes inclusive and equitable quality education and the promotion of lifelong learning opportunities for all. PBIE addresses this by fostering differentiated learning environments that accommodate the varied psycho-physical conditions of students with disabilities. It encourages individualized project roles and outcomes that reflect students' strengths, abilities, and interests, moving beyond one-size-fits-all approaches (Nahorna *et al*., 2023; Volosnikova & Fedina, 2023).

SDG 8 advocates for full and productive employment and decent work for all, including people with disabilities. PBIE directly contributes to this goal by building relevant professional skills, providing opportunities for real-world application, and facilitating contact with employers through joint project implementation. The project format simulates real workplace dynamics, enhancing employability and promoting smoother transitions from education to employment.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10. 17509/xxxx.xxxx
p- ISSN 2775-8400 e- ISSN 2775-9857

Furthermore, PBIE advances SDG 10 by reducing inequalities within and among populations. It empowers marginalized students to participate equally in learning environments, encourages social cohesion through teamwork, and enhances visibility of disability capabilities within academic and public spaces (Wittmann, 2022; Tursunov & Jumanazarova, 2023). The participatory nature of PBIE redefines inclusion, not as mere access, but as active, meaningful contribution.

In this way, PBIE offers a unified educational framework that aligns with the values, targets, and metrics of the SDGs. It operationalizes these goals within the microcosm of higher education, offering practical pathways for institutions to contribute to global sustainable development.

4.5. Institutional and Policy Implication

The successful implementation of PBIE requires strategic institutional adjustments and supportive policy frameworks. While the pedagogical benefits of PBIE are clear, its sustainability and scalability depend on the extent to which universities, ministries, and partner organizations align their structures, resources, and governance models to support inclusive project work.

At the institutional level, universities must invest in structural reforms that enable cross-disciplinary project collaboration, flexible curricula, and individualized learning paths. This includes establishing dedicated centers for project-based learning, training faculty in inclusive pedagogy and project supervision, and integrating inclusive project-based learning into degree program requirements. Moreover, resource allocation policies should ensure that students with disabilities receive not only academic accommodations but also equal access to mentorship, technology, and collaborative platforms.

From a policy perspective, ministries of education and labor should develop guidelines that recognize project-based inclusive education as a formal component of skills development and employability programs. Funding incentives for inclusive project initiatives, partnerships with industry, and accreditation mechanisms for inclusive curricula are essential for systemic adoption. In Russia, efforts by the Ministry of Education and Science to establish regional methodological centers (RUMS) for inclusion have laid a foundation, but the percentage of disabled students engaging in entrepreneurial activity or employment remains critically low (Afanasyev, 2022).

Furthermore, the ESG+I model (an expansion of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) frameworks to include Inclusion) presents an opportunity for integrating PBIE into broader institutional accountability metrics. Universities that adopt inclusive project models not only fulfill their educational mission but also demonstrate leadership in corporate social responsibility, contributing to inclusive economic growth and social justice.

Ultimately, the institutionalization of PBIE requires policy coherence, stakeholder commitment, and cultural transformation to shift from reactive inclusion to proactive empowerment.

4.6. Challenges and Risk Factors

While PBIE offers transformative potential, its implementation is not without challenges. Institutions often face structural, cultural, and pedagogical barriers that limit the scalability and effectiveness of PBIE for students with disabilities. Recognizing and addressing these risk factors is essential for ensuring the long-term viability and equity of this educational model.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.17509/xxxx.xxxx
p- ISSN 2775-8400 e- ISSN 2775-9857

One of the primary challenges is the lack of institutional capacity and expertise in inclusive project-based pedagogy. Many universities operate within rigid curricular structures that are not easily adaptable to flexible, cross-disciplinary project formats. Faculty members may lack the training to design and manage inclusive learning environments, especially those that involve students with diverse needs. This leads to inconsistencies in project quality, role allocation, and assessment practices.

Infrastructure limitations also pose risks, particularly in institutions with limited access to assistive technologies, accessible workspaces, or inclusive communication tools. Without adequate support systems, students with disabilities may experience exclusion even within project environments designed to foster inclusion (Kolbina *et al*., 2023). Furthermore, overburdened faculty and administrative staff may view PBIE as an additional responsibility rather than an integrated pedagogical strategy.

Another significant risk is the potential for superficial or tokenistic inclusion. When project roles are not carefully matched to students' interests, strengths, and accessibility needs, participation may be symbolic rather than meaningful. Additionally, without institutional policies that formally recognize inclusive projects in grading, accreditation, or job placement, their long-term impact may remain limited (Afanasyev, 2022).

There is also the risk of insufficient external support from industry and community partners. A lack of awareness or commitment from employers to inclusive collaboration can limit opportunities for real-world engagement and hinder employment outcomes for students with disabilities (Tursunov & Jumanazarova, 2023).

Addressing these risks requires coordinated investment in capacity building, faculty development, stakeholder engagement, and institutional policy reform.

4.7. Synthesis and Practical Recommendations

The analysis of PBIE reveals its multifaceted value in advancing educational equity, fostering social development, and improving employability among people with disabilities. By replacing static, subject-based learning models with dynamic, collaborative, and purpose-driven project formats, PBIE offers a comprehensive educational strategy that aligns with both individual needs and systemic goals under the Sustainable Development Agenda.

The synthesis of findings shows that PBIE contributes not only to academic performance but also to critical dimensions of personal development (emotional resilience, communication, leadership, and teamwork). These attributes are essential for participation in contemporary labor markets and civic life. Moreover, PBIE can shift institutional cultures toward proactive inclusion, especially when implemented through a systems-thinking approach supported by strategic partnerships and inclusive governance frameworks.

Based on these findings, several practical recommendations are proposed:

(i) Institutional Integration: Universities should embed PBIE into formal curricula by allocating credit hours, establishing interdisciplinary project centers, and incentivizing faculty to adopt inclusive teaching methods.

(ii) Capacity Building: Professional development programs should train faculty and administrative staff in inclusive project design, mentorship, and assessment.

(iii) Policy Alignment: National education and labor policies should formally recognize inclusive project-based learning as a key tool for developing human capital and reducing inequalities (Afanasyev, 2022).

(iv) Stakeholder Collaboration: Establish long-term partnerships with industry, NGOs, and public agencies to co-design and co-supervise projects, enhancing authenticity and relevance.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10. 17509/xxxx.xxxx
p- ISSN 2775-8400 e- ISSN 2775-9857

(v) Monitoring and Evaluation: Develop inclusive evaluation tools to assess learning outcomes, social impact, and employability of graduates engaged in PBIE.

When implemented thoughtfully, PBIE can become a cornerstone of inclusive educational transformation, bridging access and opportunity, learning and work, and education and social justice.

5. CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that PBIE is a powerful and practical strategy for enhancing the social development and employability of people with disabilities. Through a combination of historical, systems, and comparative analyses, the research identified the limitations of current inclusive education models, particularly their reliance on subject-based instruction and insufficient alignment with labor market demands. In contrast, PBIE offers a dynamic framework that centers on collaboration, adaptability, and real-world relevance, supporting students with disabilities not only in academic achievement but also in emotional growth, teamwork, and professional readiness.

The findings confirm that PBIE fosters the development of both soft and technical skills critical for active participation in modern economies, thereby advancing the goals of SDG 4 (Quality Education), SDG 8 (Decent Work), and SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities). The model also enables institutions to reimagine inclusion as a systemic value, supported by interdisciplinary curricula, institutional reforms, and cross-sectoral partnerships.

However, successful implementation requires overcoming substantial challenges, including insufficient institutional capacity, lack of inclusive infrastructure, limited industry collaboration, and the risk of tokenistic participation. To address these issues, the study proposed actionable recommendations in the areas of policy reform, faculty development, stakeholder engagement, and evaluation systems.

Ultimately, PBIE is not only an educational innovation but also a governance tool for building inclusive, equitable, and sustainable societies. Its adoption can empower people with disabilities to transition from marginalized learners to engaged citizens and professionals, contributing meaningfully to national development and global transformation.

6. AUTHORS' NOTE

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. Authors confirmed that the paper was free of plagiarism.

7. REFERENCES

Afanasyev, D. V. (2022). Prospects for the development of higher education for the disabled, taking into account the needs of the labor market. *Analytical Bulletin*, *5*(795), 16–25.

Dougherty, S. M., and Lombardi, A. R. (2016). From vocational education to career readiness: The ongoing work of linking education and the labor market. *Review of Research in Education*, *40*(1), 326-355.

Glushchenko, V. V., and Glushchenko, I. I. (2015). Educationology: Culture, educational concepts, and optimization of the competence of the organization's personnel. *Economics and Entrepreneurship*, *10*(63), 786–793.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.17509/xxxx.xxxx
p- ISSN 2775-8400 e- ISSN 2775-9857

Glushchenko, V.V. (2022). Ergo design of mentoring in the national ecosystem of vocational education in the period of the 10th technological order. *Indonesian Journal of Educational Research and Technology*, *2*(3), 223-236.

Glushchenko, V.V. (2023). Ergo design in project management based on the general theory of transport systems in the conditions of the 10th technological order. *ASEAN Journal of Science and Engineering*, *3*(3), 289-300.

Glushchenko, V.V. (2024). Formation of pedagogy of higher project education in the period of the new 18th-century technological order. *Indonesian Journal of Teaching in Science*, *4*(2), 205-258.

Glushchenko, V.V. (2025). Formation of the methodology of the project-activity game in inclusive higher education. *Indonesian Journal of Multidiciplinary Research*, *5*(1), 53-58.

Hudym, I., Abilova, O., Potapiuk, L., Nikolenko, L., & Poliakova, A. (2024). Strategies and best practices for promoting inclusive education to meet diverse learning needs. *Conhecimento & Diversidade*, *16*(42), 270–286.

Kolbina, L., Kasyanenko, O., Sopivnik, I., Karskanova, S., and Chepka, O. (2023). The role of inclusive education in the personal growth of a child with special educational needs. *Amazonia Investiga*, *12*(64), 156–164.

Lekhanova, O. L., and Denisova, O. A. (2024). Technology of designing an individual educational trajectory for students with disabilities. *Psychological Science and Education*, *29*(4), 31–43.

Nahorna, O., Lytovchenko, S., Tripak, M., Serhiienko, T., and Khmil, I. (2023). Providing inclusive learning at higher school. *Conhecimento & Diversidade*, *15*(37), 193–209.

Natsun, L. N. (2019). The increase in the number of disabled population in European countries as an indicator of the effectiveness of their health policies. *Economic and Social Changes: Facts,Ttrends, Forecast*, *12*(4), 200-219.

Starobina, E. M., Ishutina, I. S., Kozhushko, L. A., Chernyakina, T. S., Vladimirova, O. N., Rocheva, Y. S., Raduto, V. I., and Khodakovskiy, M. D. (2023). On expanding the opportunities for disabled people to lead an independent lifestyle and integration into the local community. Journal of Siberian Federal University. *Humanities and Social Sciences*, *16*(12), 2193–2207.

Tursunov, L. E., & Jumanazarova, A. (2023). Actual issues of inclusive education in the sociology of education. *Economics and Society*, *7*(110), 659–662.

Tursunov, L. E., and Jumanazarova, A. (2023). Actual issues of inclusive education in the sociology of education. *Economics and Society*, *7*(110), 659–662.

Volosnikova, L. M., and Fedina, L. V. (2023). Inclusive excellence: A new university model in the 21st century based on universal learning design. *Psychological Science and Education*, *28*(6), 24–32.

Wittmann, H. (2022). Inclusion through a variety of learning approaches in schools in Bavaria (Germany). *Correctional Pedagogy: Theory and Practice*, *1*(91), 17–26.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10. 17509/xxxx.xxxx
p- ISSN 2775-8400 e- ISSN 2775-9857