

Indonesian Journal of Educational Research and Technology



Journal homepage: http://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/IJERT/

Investigating the Emotional Trajectory of Ph.D. Students via Qualitative Research: Bridging the Gap between Expectations and Reality

Shuyang Zhang*, Rose Manisah Binti Sulong, Norlizah Binti Che Hassan

Faculty of Educational Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia *Correspondence: E-mail: chzuzsy2018@sina.com

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the study motivation and psychological responses of pre-second-year Ph.D. students from a comprehensive university in Malaysia. To gain an understanding of the challenges faced by students, we conducted group interviews with 15 pre-second-year students: Malay, Chinese, and Malaysian Chinese. The interview transcripts were analyzed thematically using Atlas. The results revealed three significant challenges: The passion for pursuing a Ph.D. diminishes over time.; The stark contrast between self-expectations and the harshness of reality; The challenges of reality give birth to the hope for pursuing a Ph.D. The finding suggests some implications for educators and students regarding active learning.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Submitted/Received 17 May 2023 First Revised 06 Jun 2023 Accepted 06 Aug 2023 First Available online 09 Aug 2023 Publication Date 01 Sep 2024

Keyword:

Attribution theory,
Double reduction policy motivation,
Educational anxiety,
Home education.

© 2023 Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivation during the initial stages of their doctoral journey, students often embark with a deep desire for knowledge and a fervent passion for academia (Teeuwsen et al., 2012). They immerse themselves in research with boundless enthusiasm, seeking to delve deeper into their chosen field. Lazurko et al. (2020) highlighted that the pursuit of a doctoral degree becomes a significant milestone in their personal and academic aspirations. Actively engaging in academic conferences, workshops, and paper presentations, they continually expand the boundaries of their knowledge. This heightened motivation drives them towards autonomous learning and actively exploring new research areas and methodologies (Martzoukou, 2020).

However, as time progresses, they begin to encounter various academic and personal challenges, gradually eroding their learning motivation. Doctoral students often face complex research questions, extensive literature reviews, experimental failures, and difficulties in data analysis. These predicaments can lead to a decline in their learning motivation and spark doubts about their prospects. They may start to question their abilities, unsure if they possess the intelligence and qualifications required to pursue a doctoral degree (Kulikowski *et al.*, 2021). This self-doubt and unease gradually erode their initial passion and determination.

Attribution theory explains why doctoral students experience a decline in their learning motivation. As Ronnie & Philip (2021) mentioned that when faced with difficulties and setbacks, they tend to attribute their failures to their perceived lack of abilities while overlooking the influence of external factors and other circumstances. They may view setbacks as personal failures rather than as a normal part of academic growth. This self-blame and self-doubt can generate negative emotions, weakening their motivation to continue striving. However, doctoral students need to understand that challenges and obstacles are a normal part of the academic development process, and should not be used as a measure of personal capabilities (Hecht et al., 2022). They need to shift their mindset, viewing setbacks as opportunities for growth and learning, and extracting valuable lessons to enhance their research skills.

Additionally, the perception of time significantly impacts the learning motivation of doctoral students. Pursuing a doctoral degree requires long-term commitment and persistent effort. However, some students may feel anxious and impatient, desiring quick results and success. They may feel pressured, believing that the passage of time equates to missed opportunities. When their research progress falls short of expectations, or when they encounter experimental failures and difficulties in data analysis, they may experience frustration and disappointment (Anderson et al., 2021 Kohli et al., 2021). This time pressure and sense of setback can have a negative influence on their learning motivation, diminishing their enthusiasm and determination.

Currently, there is a lack of research to fully understand and address the issues related to doctoral students' learning motivation and emotional changes. To fill this gap, it is necessary to explore the cognitive processes, emotional experiences, and real-world factors behind their motivation. Factors such as self-efficacy beliefs, goal orientation, and academic identity play a key role in shaping their motivation. Additionally, considering emotional factors like stress and burnout, as well as real-world influences such as advisors and the academic environment, can provide valuable insights. This research can help develop targeted interventions and support strategies to enhance doctoral students' motivation and improve their well-being.

2. METHOD

2.1. Participants

Participants were 15 prospective Ph.D. second-year students (average age: 28.07 years, SD= 2.815) majoring in a variety of fields, including engineering, corporate media, sociology of education, and computer science (see **Table 1**). Students majoring in psychology-related disciplines were not enrolled in this study to avoid potential interference. All participants had good emotional well-being and hearing during the interviews. Upon completion of the study, each participant received beryl chocolate as a token of appreciation for their participation.

The research was conducted in a discussion room and took approximately 60 minutes to complete. The first stage involved administering an individual demographic questionnaire and a self-evaluation scoring form for their prior first year of doctoral studies. Afterward, the participants were randomly assigned to seats and guided through an interview procedure to express their feelings. The interview consisted of the following questions: (a) Do you consider the first year of your Ph.D. program important? (b) Did the first year of your Ph.D. program meet your expectations? (c) What are the reasons for your satisfaction or dissatisfaction? (d) What advice can you derive from your first-year experience that would be helpful for your second year of the Ph.D. program? At the end of the interview, the participants were asked to confirm the scores on the self-evaluation form, which was designed to examine whether sharing one's experiences had a role in relieving stress.

Participant	Gender	Age	Major	
Mf1	Female	24	Corporate Media	
Mf2	Female	26	Computer Network	
Mm3	Male	25	Corporate Media	
Mm4	Male	32	Engineering	
Mm5	Male	30	Environment Engineering	
Cf1	Female	29	Sociology of Education	
Cf2	Female	27	Sociology of Education	
Cm3	Male	26	Gis	
Cm4	Male	27	Water Engineering	
Cm5	Male	27	Educational Technology	
Mcf1	Female	27	Computer Science	
Mcf2	Female	28	Food Technology	
Mcf3	Female	28	Environment Engineering	
Mcm4	Male	30	Computer Science	
Mcm5	Male	35	Computer Science	

Table 1. Demographic profile of the participants (n=15).

2.2. Transcription and Transcoding

The audiotapes were transcribed verbatim. To facilitate analysis, each interviewee was assigned an identification number that indicated their race/ethnicity, gender, and unique number. For example, MF1 represented Malay Female No. 1, CM2 represented Chinese Male No. 2, and MCF3 represented Malaysian Chinese Female No. 3. The transcripts were then entered into the Atlas.ti qualitative software. Following the workload and coding principles of Atlas.ti (Mehmetoglu & Dann, 2003), the researchers initially separated the three racial groups. They labeled and coded the transcripts, and conducted queries and comparisons based on the interviewees' responses. The researchers analyzed and summarized the situation based on the predetermined interview outline.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results were reported using the Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SRQR). The interview questions focused on two distinct phases of the academic journey: the first year of Ph.D. studies (Ph.D. 1) and the Pre-Ph.D. stage (Pre-Ph.D. 2). Researchers discussed these phases separately, highlighting the unique aspects and challenges that arise during each of these periods (see **Table 2**). **Table 2** depicts participants' initial feelings of delight, excitement, and apprehension upon starting their Ph.D., with the majority of students expressing eagerness toward the doctoral journey. Such as Mf1 argued that she can hardly believe it, she finally got into the Ph.D. program! It's been my dream for so many years, and now she is overwhelmed with excitement; Mcf3 highlighted that her family is incredibly proud of her Ph.D. acceptance, and their support fills her with immense happiness and warmth.

Table 2. Interview transcripts and coding results of first-year Ph.D. students' psychological rhythms (n=15).

Participant	A Brief Version of the Answer to the Question	Coding Mode & Number	Summary
Mf1	I can hardly believe it! I finally got into the Ph.D.	Emotions: Joy 2	Overjoyed
	program! It's been my dream for so many years,	Emotions: Excitement 4	
	and now I'm overwhelmed with excitement.	Ambition: Achievement3	
Mf2	I feel like I'm on cloud nine! Getting accepted	Emotions: Anticipation4	Ecstatic
	into the Ph.D. program has filled me with sheer	Emotions: Contentment1	
	delight, and I'm brimming with anticipation for	Ambition: Pride1	
	my future academic research.		
Mm3	Filled with Pride: I'm so proud of myself and my	Ambition: Perseverance1	Filled with
	hard work. Getting into the Ph.D. program is a	Ambition: Dedication3	Pride
	testament to my perseverance and dedication	Emotions: Worries1	
	paying off.	Emotions: Future1	
Mm4	Worries about the Future: Although I'm thrilled, I	Emotions: Confusion1	Worries
	can't help but feel some concerns about the	Emotions: Anxiety1	about the
	challenges that lie ahead. I hope to perform well	Emotions: Uncertainty3	Future
	during my Ph.D. and achieve great results.		
Mm5	Mixed Feelings of Confusion: Entering the Ph.D.	Emotions: Hope1	Mixed
	stage, I'm feeling a bit confused and anxious. The	Emotions: Optimism2	Feelings of
	new academic environment and research	Ambition: Ambition1	Confusion
	direction have left me somewhat hesitant.		
Cf1	Positive Outlook: Despite my worries, I remain	Ambition: Enthusiasm2	Positive
	optimistic about my academic and career	Ambition: Motivation1	Outlook
	prospects. I hope that my Ph.D. research will		
	contribute to society in a meaningful way.		
Cf2	Excited to Take on Challenges: I can't wait to dive	Ambition: Dedication3	Excited to
	into my Ph.D. research, eager and ready to	Emotions: Worries1	Take on
	embrace new academic challenges with	Emotions: Future1	Challenge
	enthusiasm.		S
Cm3	Nervous yet Eager: I'm a little nervous, but at the	Emotions: Confusion3	Nervous
	same time, I look forward to achieving more	Emotions: Anxiety4	yet Eager
	academic milestones during my Ph.D. I hope to	Emotions: Uncertainty2	
	constantly surpass myself.		
Cm4	Calm and Confident: I have great confidence in	Emotions: Hope1	Calm and
	my research direction and my choice of advisor,	Emotions: Optimism1	Confident
	which makes me feel calm and composed as I		
	begin my Ph.D. journey.		

Table 2 (Continue). Interview transcripts and coding results of first-year Ph.D. students' psychological rhythms (n=15).

Participant	A Brief Version of the Answer to the Question	Coding Mode & Number	Summary
Cm5	Dealing with Pressure: The Ph.D. stage comes with more academic responsibilities and pressure, and I'm working hard to adapt to the new academic environment.	Ambition: Ambition1 Ambition: Enthusiasm1	Dealing with Pressure
Mcf1	The application process was a long and arduous journey, and waiting for the acceptance letter felt like an eternity. Finally, I received the good news, and I couldn't be happier!	Ambition: Motivation1 Emotions: Confidence2	Long- awaited Moment
Mcf2	After getting into the Ph.D. program, I've become more aware of the complexity of academic research, making me humbler and more cautious. I know there's still much to learn and grow.	Emotions: Calmness1 Emotions: Adaptation1	Humble and Cautious
Mcf3	My family is incredibly proud of my Ph.D. acceptance, and their support fills me with immense happiness and warmth.	Emotions: Pressure1 Emotions: Relief2	Proud Family
Mcm4	For days after getting accepted into the Ph.D. program, I'm unable to contain my excitement and happiness.	Emotions: Happiness2 Development: Self- awareness4	Overflowi ng with Joy
Mcm5	As I look back on my academic journey, I'm filled with emotions of struggle and growth. I'm eager for the future, hoping to make more academic progress during my Ph.D. studies.	Development: Growth mindset3 Emotions: Support2 Emotions: Reflection1 Development: Growth1	Reflective and Hopeful

Table 3 presents the interview transcripts and coding results of 15 first-year Ph.D. students' psychological rhythms, who are about to enter their second year of the Ph.D. program. In **Table 3**, such as Mcf3, she emphasizes the importance of the team; Mcm5 focuses on the future, that is, she hopes to expand her academic vision during the Ph.D. stage. In addition, expanding contacts, gaining an academic reputation, making oneself knowledgeable, and striving for honor for the family are also among the motivations of the participants.

The emotions of first-year doctoral students have changed from their enrollment to the end of the first year. We noticed that the students' emotions shifted from expressions like "joy," "happiness," and "anticipation" to words such as "anxiety," "adaptation," and "introspection." Moreover, through the coding results, researchers found that the participants' emotional vocabulary also transitioned from terms like "confidence," "future," and "hope" to words more focused on "self-consistency," "academic challenges," and "academics". The variety and representativeness of emotional images also increased.

Furthermore, participants became more pragmatic in their actions. During the pre-first-year stage, they tended to seek external validation and express their emotions, for example, by saying, "I got into the doctoral program, which made my family proud," or "I feel happy to have been accepted into the Ph.D. program." However, after one year, their feedback shifted towards concrete plans, such as "I want to expand my network through academic conferences," "I want to plan for future work," or "I want to achieve certain goals." These expressions demonstrated a shift from abstract to specific intentions. Future planning also plays an encouraging and facilitating role in learning. Participants also hope to acquire more skills and techniques within a limited time, and recognize potentially beneficial networks and

like-minded friends; all of these are crucial considerations for the students. This is also one of the manifestations of students' active learning.

Table 3. Interview transcripts and their coding results on the psychological rhythms of first-year Ph.D. students after completing their first year (n=15).

Participant	A Brief Version of the Answer to the	Coding Mode &	Summary
Mf1	Question	Number	Evalaiming how
IVIII	In the blink of an eye, I have been in my Ph.D.	Nostalgia1 Positive emotion1	Exclaiming how time flies
	program for one year. The excitement and	Positive emotion1	time files
	anticipation from a year ago are still vivid, and I		
Mf2	can't help but exclaim how time flies.	Descion 2	Dossian still
IVIIZ	My passion for academic research is still	Passion2	Passion still
	burning brightly. Throughout this year, I've	Academic research2	burning strong
	been exploring and learning constantly, and		
	this passion has deepened my love for this		
N 4 · · · · 2	field.	Constitution of the state	Facilian
Mm3	The Ph.D. life is filled with various challenges,	Growth mindset1	Facing
	and this year is no exception. I've felt the	Resilience1	challenges
	pressure, but I recognize that these challenges		
	are opportunities for personal growth.		
Mm4	After one year in the Ph.D. program, I have	Learning2	Understanding
	gained a deeper understanding of the	Relationships1	the
	relationship with my advisor. Experiences of	Communication1	relationship
	collaborating and communicating with my		with my
	advisor have taught me a lot.		advisor
Mm5	Mixed Feelings of Confusion: Entering the Ph.D.	Self-reflection1	Adjusting
	stage, I'm feeling a bit confused and anxious.	Adaptability1	research
	During my Ph.D. journey, I have gained a		direction
	clearer understanding of my research direction,		
	and I might make some adjustments to it.		
Cf1	One year into my Ph.D., I have experienced	Achievements1	Achievements
	research achievements as well as research	Setbacks1	and setbacks
	setbacks. Each progress and every encountered	Learning	
	obstacle have been valuable learning experiences.	experiences1	
Cf2	Excited to Take on The life of a Ph.D. student is	Self-improvement1	Seeking
	filled with the challenge of balancing academic	Work-life balance1	balance
	tasks and personal life. I am actively seeking a	Work-life balances	
	reasonable balance to face these challenges		
	more effectively.		
Cm3	I have come to realize the significance of	Academic exchange1	Recognizing
	exchanging ideas with fellow scholars, as it	Academic enhancement:	the importance
	broadens my perspectives and enhances my	Perspective broadening1	of academic
	research level.	Academic enhancement:	exchange
		Research enhancement1	
Cm4	After one year in the Ph.D. program, I have	Learning2	Utilizing
C	learned to utilize resources more efficiently,	Efficiency1	resources
	including libraries, academic databases, and	Resource	effectively
	experiences shared by collaborating advisors	utilization1	encenvery
	and fellow students.	demzacioni	
Cm5	During my Ph.D. journey, I have started to	Future planning1	Reflecting on
Cilio	ponder more deeply about my future career		future career
	planning, considering my interests and	Career exploration1	planning
	strengths.		Pidining

Table 3. Interview transcripts and their coding results on the psychological rhythms of first-year Ph.D. students after completing their first year (n=15).

Partici pant	A Brief Version of the Answer to the Question	Coding Mode & Number	Summary
Mcf1	In this year of my Ph.D., I have expanded my academic circles through academic conferences and seminars, getting to know scholars from different fields.	Networking1 Professional development1 Academic community1	Expanding academic circles
Mcf2	One year into my Ph.D., I feel a deeper sense of responsibility in my academic research. I understand that my research outcomes could have an impact in certain fields.	Sense of responsibility1 Academic research2 Impact1	Sense of responsibility in academic research
Mcf3	While pursuing my Ph.D., I have participated in collaborative projects, which has given me a better understanding of the importance and challenges of teamwork.	Teamwork1 Collaboration1	Understanding the significance of teamwork
Mcm4	Despite occasional difficulties, one year into my Ph.D., I continue to maintain my passion and dedication for academic life.	Passion2 Dedication1	Passion for academic life
Mcm5	My first year of Ph.D. has allowed me to experience the joys and challenges of academic research. I cherish these precious experiences and look forward to further academic growth in the future.	Growth1 Academic enhancement: Academic experiences1	Cherishing precious experiences

4. CONCLUSION

Doucette et al. (2021) argued that the transition from the first year to the second year of doctoral studies encompasses a complex and diverse process of mindset changes, as each individual's experiences and perceptions may vary. Nevertheless, some common patterns of mindset transformation can be observed. In the initial phase of the first year, many students embark on their research projects with passion and excitement, driven by the desire to make unique contributions within their respective fields. They are motivated and eager to acquire the necessary theoretical knowledge and research methodologies. However, upon entering the second year, some students may undergo a shift in their mindset. Over time, they gain a deeper understanding of their research topics, becoming more aware of the associated challenges and complexities. This may instigate questioning and doubt, prompting reflections on the novelty and significance of their research. Occasionally, students may encounter research obstacles, such as unexpected experimental outcomes, the need to reconsider theoretical frameworks or difficulties in data analysis. In the second year, students may also experience increased pressure and responsibilities. They may assume roles as teaching or research assistants, necessitating a balance between research pursuits and teaching obligations. Moreover, they may have to manage journal submissions and academic conference requirements to propel the progress of their research outcomes. Despite the new challenges and responsibilities that the second year brings, it is also a period of growth and development for many students. Through confronting difficulties and setbacks, they gradually cultivate a more resilient and confident attitude. They become more acquainted with their research domains, begin establishing reputations and networks within the academic community, deepen their understanding of their research topics, and gain clearer visions of their future academic goals and career trajectories. In summary, the mindset changes from the first year to the second year represent a process of self-exploration and growth. While

> DOI: https://doi.org/10.17509/ijert.v4i2.61226 p- ISSN 2775-8419 e- ISSN 2775-8427

students may encounter challenges and moments of doubt within their research domains, through adaptation and perseverance, they gradually develop a more steadfast and confident mindset, establishing a solid foundation for future academic development and professional endeavors.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thanks to all anonymous reviewers, and all subjects. Special thanks to the editors of this journal for their work.

6. AUTHORS' NOTE

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. The authors confirmed that the paper was free of plagiarism.

7. REFERENCES

- Anderson, R. C., Bousselot, T., Katz-Buoincontro, J., and Todd, J. (2021). Generating buoyancy in a sea of uncertainty: Teachers creativity and well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 614774.
- Doucette, B., Sanabria, A., Sheplak, A., and Aydin, H. (2021). The perceptions of culturally diverse graduate students on multicultural education: Implication for inclusion and diversity awareness in higher education. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 10(3), 1259–1273.
- Hecht, C. A., Dweck, C. S., Murphy, M. C., Kroeper, K. M., and Yeager, D. S. (2023). Efficiently exploring the causal role of contextual moderators in behavioral science. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(1), e2216315120.
- Kohli, H., Wampole, D., and Kohli, A. (2021). Impact of online education on student learning during the pandemic. *Studies in Learning and Teaching*, 2(2), 1-11.
- Kulikowski, K., Przytuła, S., and Sułkowski, Ł. (2021). E-learning? never again! on the unintended consequences of Covid-19 forced e-learning on academic teacher motivational job characteristics. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 76(1), 174–189.
- Lazurko, A., Alamenciak, T., Hill, L. S., Muhl, E.-K., Osei, A. K., Pomezanski, D., Schang, K., and Sharmin, D. F. (2020). What will a Phd look like in the future? perspectives on emerging trends in sustainability doctoral programs in a time of disruption. *World Futures Review*, 12(4), 369–384.
- Martzoukou, K. (2020). Academic libraries in covid-19: A renewed mission for digital literacy. *Library Management*, 42(4/5), 266–276.
- Mehmetoglu, M., and Dann, G. (2003). Atlas/ti and content/semiotic analysis in tourism research. *Tourism Analysis*, 8(1), 1-13.
- Ronnie, J.-B., and Philip, B. (2021). Expectations and what people learn from failure. *Expectations and Actions*, 2021, 207–237.
- Teeuwsen, P., Ratković, S., and Tilley, S. A. (2012). Becoming academics: Experiencing legitimate peripheral participation in part-time doctoral studies. *Studies in Higher Education*, 39(4), 680–694.