

JOMSIGN: Journal of Multicultural Studies in Guidance and Counseling





Work Autonomy Profile of Counselor: An Educational Background Based Approach

Verlanda Yuca^{1*}, Elsa Magistarina²

^{1, 2,} Universitas Negeri Padang, Indonesia *Correspondence: E-mail: verlandayuca@fip.unp.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Autonomy at work is an important element of employee motivation and involvement in work. Autonomy provides happiness and satisfaction at work for employees so that it can lead to higher productivity. The purpose of this study in general is to describe the profile of work autonomy in the organization directing the picture of employee work autonomy in terms of educational background. In particular, it discusses the forms and patterns of autonomy that have been created and the patterns of autonomy formed based on educational background. The population in this study were counselor at Junior High Schools using the Simple Sampling Technique. Data collection Random instruments adopted and modified from Perceived Autonomy Support: The Learning Climate Questionnaire (LCQ). The data analysis approach used is quantitative with descriptive data types and T-test are used. The benefit of this research is that there is an overview of the profile of autonomy in work in terms of educational background. The results found that the counselor's work autonomy was on average in the high category. Suggestions from the results of this study are for counselor to improve and develop the ability to work autonomy so that they can be more comfortable and satisfied with work

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Submitted/Received 02-06-2023 First Revised 22-08-2023 Accepted 29-02-2024 First Available Online 01-03-2024

Publication Date 01-03-2024

Keyword: Work autonomy, Counselor, Educational background.

© 2024 JOMSIGN: Journal of Multicultural Studies in Guidance and Counseling

1. INTRODUCTION

Every work organization has a vision, mission, and goals that are targeted to be achieved. The components in the work organization consist of Work, Employee, Relationship and Environment (WERE)(Busck et al., 2010; Ernst Kossek et al., 2012). Employees are one of the important components to realize the expectations of the work organization (Freund, 2005; Kaya & Ceylan, 2014; Raziq & Maulabakhsh, 2015). To achieve the expectations of each work organization, workers are required to have above standard work qualifications so that goals can be achieved properly and stably (Cerda et al., 2015; Van Laar et al., 2017). In creating a harmonious work climate at work, every organization must have a strategy that can give rise to freedom and pleasure in working for employees (AbouElnaga & Imran, 2014; Aruna & Anitha, 2015; Plester & Hutchison, 2016). Freedom or authority from leaders to employees at work is referred to as autonomy in work.

Autonomy at work is an important element of employee motivation and involvement in work. Autonomy at work is a freedom or authority granted by management to employees to direct and carry out work and to deal with problems that arise in the process (Hackman & Oldam, 1976; Mathis & Jackson, 2006; S. Robbins & Coulter, 2009; S. P. Robbins & Judge, 2003; Taylor, 1911). Every work organization wants all employees to be happy and satisfied with their work. This has a positive impact on employee performance. According to research, an employee should be happy and satisfied if given autonomy in his daily work.

One of the main characteristics of employee autonomy is decision making (Fernet et al., 2013; Hanaysha, 2016). Autonomous employees can act independently rather than asking others or their superiors for approval procedures through their decisions. In addition, an employee should be free to contribute ideas and suggestions for any projects and tasks assigned by the organization (Edmondson, 2018; Hew, 2016; Khuong& Hoang, 2015). In addition, employees are more likely to feel responsible for their work when they have the power to make decisions and play an active role in contributing ideas without being belittled (Block, 2016; Goleman, 2017; Wheatley, 2011).

The term Autonomy refers to the degree to which an employee has the freedom and independence to perform tasks and make decisions about their work as well as being motivated (Belias et al., 2015; Gelderen, 2016). Employees will have higher respect for work organizations because they feel trusted and valued by giving a lot of control and responsibility for work (Dugguh& Dennis, 2014; Hollensbe et al., 2014; Sharma & Jain, 2013). In addition, work organizations can also offer employees more flexibility for self-actualization and work-life management, for example by offering flexible working hours between working from home and so on (Haritha & Reddy, 2022; Pranata et al., 2022; Tubey et al., 2015).

Autonomy in the workplace is important for increasing employee satisfaction (Bysted, 2013; Han et al., 2015; Saragih, 2015). Work organization gives counselor more opportunities for employees to organize their work life to carry out their daily routines and develop their abilities and skills (Carnevale&Hatak, 2020; Cimatti, 2016). Higher satisfaction and motivation can lead to higher productivity. Because they have a lot of influence in the workplace, employees are more involved in their roles and are responsible for the results of their work. According to self-determination theory, the extent to which the work environment supports and promotes employee work autonomy, enables them to activate positive and autonomous work behaviors (Gagné et al., 2015, 2019; Galletta et al., 2016). This condition is considered as a fundamental factor capable of promoting employee motivation, well-being and satisfaction (Camerino et al., 2005; Camerino& LM, 2010). In line with these findings, a metanalysis shows that perceptions of work autonomy are positively related to job outcomes, such as performance, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and intrinsic motivation

(Humphrey et al., 2007; Lesmana, 2020). Self-determination theory makes a strong claim that autonomy is a need that must be met for humans to function optimally. This is associated with better work motivation, productivity and well-being (Gagné&Deci, 2005).

The leadership policy in an organization to create a productive work atmosphere is influenced by one of the educational backgrounds. The focus of this research will produce work autonomy in terms of educational background. In general, the picture of work autonomy in an organization leads to a picture of employee work autonomy in terms of educational background. In particular, it discusses the forms and patterns of autonomy that have been created as well as the patterns of autonomy that should be compatible with educational background. The novelty in this research is to develop a model that can be used by counselors in carrying out counseling services in schools.

2. METHODS

2.1. Respondent

The target of this research is counselor in Junior High Schools in West Sumatra. The selection of respondents was based on the initial competency development of junior high school teachers. The number of teachers gathered was 156 people. Classification of counselor in terms of educational background. Details of the respondents can be seen in the following **Table 1.**

No	Gender	Educational Background	Tota
1	Male	Bachelor degree	11
2		Bachelor and Professional	16
		degree	
3		Master degree	9
4		Master and Professional degree	8
5	Female	Bachelor degree	47
6		Bachelor and Professional	28
		degree	
7		Master degree	23
8		Master and Professional degree	14
Total	Number		156

Table 1. Classification of respondents.

2.2. Instruments

The instrument used in this study uses a questionnaire with a Likert scale model. The questionnaire used is the result of adoption and modification of the Perceived Autonomy Support: The Learning Climate Questionnaire (LCQ) which is used with a Likert scale model. The questionnaire consists of 15 items that have been tested for validity and reliability.

2.3. Procedures

The questionnaire was prepared in the form of the Google Form platform. Questionnaires were distributed to research targets via the WhatsApp communication medium. The distribution of the questionnaire was explained in advance for counselors in junior high schools. The results of filling out the questionnaire from respondents are recorded automatically on Google Drive.

2.4. Data Analysis

The data that has been collected in the field will then be analyzed and interpreted. Data analysis in this study used a quantitative approach which consisted of testing hypotheses and presenting data using descriptive analysis and different tests between gender T-test are used.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Results

Based on the results of distributing the questionnaire using the Google Form platform, there were 156 respondents who had filled it out. Respondents collected consisted of Counselor with educational backgrounds. An overview of the results will be presented in a table which will be explained as follows.

3.1.1 Description overall of work autonomy

No	Intervals	F	%	Category
1	52 – 57	33	21,1	Very High
2	47 – 51	66	42,3	High
3	42 – 46	44	28,2	Moderate
4	37 – 41	12	7,6	Low
5	32 – 36	1	0,6	Very Low
Total		156	100	

Table 2. Overall work autonomy data tabulation (N = 156).

Based on **Table 2**. above, it is explained that the picture of work autonomy for Junior High School Counselor on average is in the High category. Undergraduate junior high school counselor have the highest score of 57 and the lowest score of 32 with an interval of 5. There are 66 respondents (42.3%) Junior High School Counselor who have high autonomy in work.

3.1.2. Description of work autonomy in bachelor degree education background

Table 3. Work autonomy in bachelor degree education background data tabulation (N = 55).

No	Intervals	F	%	Category
1	53 – 57	7	12,7	Very High
2	49 – 52	28	50,9	High
3	45 – 48	14	25,4	Moderate
4	41 – 44	3	5,4	Low
5	37 – 40	3	5,4	Very Low
Total		55	100	

Based on **Table 3** above, it is explained that the picture of work autonomy for Junior High School Counselor with a bachelor degree background, totaling 55 respondents, is on average

43 | *JOMSIGN: Journal of Multicultural Studies in Guidance and Counseling,* Volume 8 Issue 1, March 2024 Page 39-50

in the High category. Bachelor degree of counselor have the highest score of 57 and the lowest score of 37 with an interval of 4. There are 28 respondents (50.9%) Junior High School Counselor who have high autonomy in work.

3.1.3. Description of work autonomy in bachelor and professional degree education background

Table 4. Work autonomy in bachelor and professional degree education background data tabulation (N = 43).

No	Intervals	F	%	Category
1	54 – 57	10	23,2	Very High
2	50 – 53	8	18,6	High
3	46 – 49	12	27,9	Moderate
4	42 – 45	9	20,9	Low
5	38 – 41	4	9,3	Very Low
Total		43	100	

Based on **Table 4** above, it is explained that the picture of work autonomy for Junior High School Counselor with a bachelor and Professional degree background, totaling 43 respondents, is on average in the Medium category. Bachelor and Professional degree of counselor have the highest score of 56 and the lowest score of 38 with an interval of 4. There are 12 respondents (27.9%) Junior High School Counselor who have autonomy who are currently at work.

3.1.4. Description of work autonomy in master degree education background

Table 5. Work autonomy in master degree education background data tabulation (N = 32).

No	Intervals	F	%	Category
1	52 – 57	7	21,8	Very High
2	47 – 51	10	31,2	High
3	42 – 46	12	37,5	Moderate
4	37 – 41	2	6,25	Low
5	32 – 36	1	3,1	Very Low
Total		32	100	

Based on **Table 5** above, it is explained that the picture of work autonomy for Junior High School Counselor with a Master degree background, totaling 32 respondents, is on average in the Medium category. Master degree of counselor had the highest score of 57 and the lowest score of 32 with an interval of 5. There were 12 respondents (37.5%) of Junior High School Counselor who had autonomy who were at work.

3.1.5. Description of work autonomy in masterand professional degree education background

Based on **Table 6**, it explains that the picture of work autonomy for Junior High School Counselor with a Master and Professional degree background, totaling 22 respondents, is on average in the Medium category. Masters and Professional of counselor have the highest score of 56 and the lowest score of 38 with an interval of 4. There are 7 respondents (31.8%) Junior High School Counselor who have autonomy who are currently at work.

Table 6. Work autonomy in master and professional degree education background data tabulation (N = 22).

No	Intervals	F	%	Category
1	54 – 57	2	9,0	Very High
2	50 – 53	5	22,7	High
3	46 – 49	7	31,8	Moderate
4	42 – 45	5	22,7	Low
5	38 – 41	3	13,6	Very Low
Total		22	100	

Table 7. Work autonomy of junior high school counselor education background recapitulation.

Asp	ect		Indicator	Category
1.	Work Autonomy of Junior High School Counselor	a.	Bachelor degree Education Background	High
		b.	Bachelor and Professional degree Education Background	Moderate
		c.	Master degree Education Background	Moderate
		d.	Master and Professional degree Education Background	Moderate

Regarding the 0.00 level of significance in the first and third rows, one can find that there is a meaningful difference between work autonomy in male and female. According to the 0.755 level of significance in the fifth row, one can come to this result that there is no significant difference among work autonomy in male and female are presented in **Table 8**. Given the significance level, no meaningful difference has been found among work autonomy in male and female.

3.2. Discussion

Based on the previous findings regarding autonomy at work, there is an overview of the condition of junior high school counselor on average in the high category. High autonomy in a job is something that needs to be improved and developed properly. Every work organization or agency expects workers to feel able to manage and overcome their problems and be able to make the best decisions. Decision making is a major part of the characteristics of autonomy in work (Fernet et al., 2013; Hanaysha, 2016). With these conditions a pleasant atmosphere will be realized and the results of the work will be better.

Working as a counselor is not something that is easy for everyone to do, especially teachers who are not from the Counseling discipline. In counseling, teachers must be able to apply good counseling management in schools. Starting from designing the program to be implemented based on the previously administered needs study to reporting as the responsibility of the guidance counselor to the school. The term in autonomy refers to how far the counselor can be free and independent in working and able to make decisions in an action. Giving autonomy to employees is one way to motivate them (Belias et al., 2015; Gelderen, 2016).

Counselors will have a higher respect for work organizations in schools because they are trusted and valued by not controlling and being too responsible for their work (Dugguh & Dennis, 2014; Hollensbe et al., 2014; Sharma & Jain, 2013). Schools can also give counselors more flexibility to self-actualize and organize their work life. The freedom in question also includes the provision of flexible work schedules, having the option to work from home and so on (Haritha & Reddy, 2022; Pranata et al., 2022; Tubey et al., 2015).

Student success at school is part of the success of the guidance counselor at school. Optimal counselors work in schools on the basis of freedom and independence given by the leadership. Higher satisfaction and motivation can lead to higher productivity. By having more influence over their work, counselors will be more involved in their roles and accountable for their results (Rahman et al., 2021). So, they work harder to get the best results (Carnevale&Hatak, 2020; Cimatti, 2016). The relationship between autonomy and the educational background of a counselor can be an interesting topic in the context of discussing counseling and guidance. Autonomy refers to the ability of individuals to make decisions and take actions based on their personal understanding, knowledge and values. Counselors' educational background can also influence how they view and approach counseling clients. It is important to remember that the relationship between autonomy and a counselor's educational background is complex and can be influenced by many other factors, including personal values, experience, and work context. In practice, good counselors will combine the knowledge they gain from education with a deep understanding of clients' needs and preferences to support them in making the decision that best fits their situation.

Table 8. Difference in Work Autonomy Among Male and Female

				Inde	epender	it Samp	les Test			
		Levene's Equality of Variances	of			t	-test for E	quality of M	eans	
		F	Sig	Т	df	Sig	Mean Differen ce	Std. Error Differen	95% Cont Interval Differe	of the
Male	Equal variar assum	nce 24	0.3 13	1.23	151	0.218	0.10768	ces 0.0869	-0.0416	Lower 0.27952
	Equal variar not assun	nce		1.29 4	130.6	0.198	0.10768	0.0832	-0.05693	0.27229
Fem ale	Equal varian ce assum ed	11.918	0.001	0.2	244 1	51 0	.807 -0.	0257 0.10	0.2336:	0.182 1 21
	Equal varian ce not assum ed			-0.2	268 1 ⁴ 5	45. 0	.789 -0.	0257 0.09	958 - 0.2151	0.163 7 77

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings, it was found that the description of the autonomy of the guidance counselor in working in junior high schools as a whole was in the high category. The description of the autonomy of the junior high school counselor based on educational background is detailed as follows: 1) The work autonomy of junior high school counselors with a bachelor's degree education background is in the high category; 2) The work autonomy of junior high school counselors with a Bachelor's degree and professional education background is in the moderate category; 3) The work autonomy of junior high school counselorswith a Bachelor's degree education background is in the medium category; and 4) The work autonomy of junior high school counselors with a Bachelor's and Professional Education background is in the medium category.

Based on these results, it can be suggested to counselors to be able to improve and develop autonomy in working in schools so that educational goals can be achieved. In addition, the school, especially the leadership, can also provide freedom and independence for guidance and counselors to be able to work happily and be able to carry out their roles and make good decisions at work.

5. AUTHORS' NOTE

I thank you for the guidance from Mr. Dr. Mamat Supriatna, M.Pd. and Mr Dr. Nandang Budiman, M.Si., so that this article can be completed in a timely manner. Thanks also go to the counselor of Junior High Schools in West Sumatra Province who have supported the implementation of this research.

6. REFERENCES

- Abou Elnaga, A., and Imran, A. (2014). The impact of employee empowerment on job satisfaction theoretical study. *American Journal of Research Communication*, 2(1), 13–26.
- Aruna, M., and Anitha, J. (2015). Employee retention enablers: Generation Y employees. *SCMS Journal of Indian Management*, 12(3), 94.
- Belias, D., Koustelios, A., Sdrolias, L., and Aspridis, G. (2015). Job satisfaction, role conflict and autonomy of employees in the Greek banking organization. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *175*, 324–333.
- Block, P. (2016). *The empowered manager: Positive political skills at work*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Busck, O., Knudsen, H., and Lind, J. (2010). The transformation of employee participation: Consequences for the work environment. *Economic and Industrial Democracy*, *31*(3), 285–305.
- Bysted, R. (2013). Innovative employee behaviour: The moderating effects of mental involvement and job satisfaction on contextual variables. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 16(3), 268–284.
- Camerino, D., Conway, P. M., and Lusignani, M. (2005). Condizioni di lavoro e intenzione di cambiare: Risultatidello studio europeo NEXT in Italia. *GiornaleItaliano Di ScienzeInfermieristiche*, 1(1), 12–25.
- Camerino, D., and LM, M. S. (2010). Nurses' working conditions, health and well being in Europe (Nurses' Early Exit Study). *Professioni Infermieristiche*, *63*(1), 53–61.
- Carnevale, J. B., and Hatak, I. (2020). Employee adjustment and well-being in the era of COVID-19: Implications for human resource management. *Journal of Business Research*, 116, 183–187.
- Cerda, J. A., Stenstrom, D. M., and Curtis, M. (2015). The role of type of offense and work qualifications on perceived employability of former offenders. *American Journal of Criminal Justice*, 40, 317–335.
- Cimatti, B. (2016). Definition, development, assessment of soft skills and their role for the quality of organizations and enterprises. *International Journal for Quality Research*, 10(1), 97.
- Dugguh, S. I., and Dennis, A. (2014). Job satisfaction theories: Traceability to employee performance in organizations. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 16(5), 11–18.
- Edmondson, A. C. (2018). *The fearless organization: Creating psychological safety in the workplace for learning, innovation, and growth.* John Wiley and Sons.

- Ernst Kossek , E., Kalliath, T., and Kalliath, P. (2012). Achieving employee wellbeing in a changing work environment: An expert commentary on current scholarship. *International Journal of Manpower*, *33*(7), 738–753.
- Fernet, C., Austin, S., Trépanier, S.-G., and Dussault, M. (2013). How do job characteristics contribute to burnout? Exploring the distinct mediating roles of perceived autonomy, competence, and relatedness. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 22(2), 123–137.
- Freund, A. (2005). Commitment and job satisfaction as predictors of turnover intentions among welfare workers. *Administration in Social Work, 29*(2), 5–21.
- Gagné, M., and Deci, E. L. (2005). Self-determination theory and work motivation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(4), 331–362.
- Gagné, M., Forest, J., Vansteenkiste, M., Crevier-Braud, L., Van den Broeck, A., Aspeli, A. K., Bellerose, J., Benabou, C., Chemolli, E., and Güntert, S. T. (2015). The Multidimensional Work Motivation Scale: Validation evidence in seven languages and nine countries. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 24(2), 178–196.
- Gagné, M., Tian, A. W., Soo, C., Zhang, B., Ho, K. S. B., and Hosszu, K. (2019). Different motivations for knowledge sharing and hiding: The role of motivating work design. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40(7), 783–799.
- Galletta, M., Portoghese, I., Pili, S., Piazza, M. F., and Campagna, M. (2016). The effect of work motivation on a sample of nurses in an Italian healthcare setting. *Work*, *54*(2), 451–460.
- Gelderen, M. Van. (2016). Entrepreneurial autonomy and its dynamics. *Applied Psychology*, 65(3), 541–567.
- Goleman, D. (2017). *Leadership that gets results (Harvard business review classics)*. Harvard Business Press.
- Hackman, J. R., and Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational behavior and human performance*, 16(2), 250-279.
- Han, K., Trinkoff, A. M., and Gurses, A. P. (2015). Work-related factors, job satisfaction and intent to leave the current job among United States nurses. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 24(21–22), 3224–3232.
- Hanaysha, J. (2016). Examining the effects of employee empowerment, teamwork, and employee training on organizational commitment. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 229, 298–306.
- Haritha, K., and Reddy, C. N. (2022). Impact of work from home culture on work life balance—an empirical study on it/ites employees. *IJRAR-International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews (IJRAR)*, 9(3), 56–60.
- Hew, K. F. (2016). Promoting engagement in online courses: What strategies can we learn from three highly rated MOOCS. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 47(2), 320–341.

- **49** | *JOMSIGN: Journal of Multicultural Studies in Guidance and Counseling,* Volume 8 Issue 1, March 2024 Page 39-50
- Hollensbe, E., Wookey, C., Hickey, L., George, G., and Nichols, C. V. (2014). Organizations with purpose. In *Academy of Management Journal* (Vol. 57, Issue 5, pp. 1227–1234). Academy of Management Briarcliff Manor, NY.
- Humphrey, S. E., Nahrgang, J. D., and Morgeson, F. P. (2007). Integrating motivational, social, and contextual work design features: a meta-analytic summary and theoretical extension of the work design literature. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *92*(5), 1332.
- Kaya, C., and Ceylan, B. (2014). An empirical study on the role of career development programs in organizations and organizational commitment on job satisfaction of employees. *American Journal of Business and Management*, 3(3), 178–191.
- Khuong, M. N., and Hoang, D. T. (2015). The effects of leadership styles on employee motivation in auditing companies in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance*, 6(4), 210.
- Lesmana, G. (2020). Profile of student career commitments based on Batak subculture. JOMSIGN: Journal of Multicultural Studies in Guidance and Counseling, 4(2), 164–176.
- Mathis, R. L., and Jackson, J. H. (2006). Human resource management: Manajemensumberdayamanusia. *Terjemahan Dian Angelia. Jakarta: Salemba Empat, 72*.
- Plester, B., and Hutchison, A. (2016). Fun times: The relationship between fun and workplace engagement. *Employee Relations*.
- Pranata, J. A., Hendrawan, S., Riyanto, P., M. R., and Gunadi, W. (2022). The effect of work-life balance and work motivation towards intention to work from home in the future with job satisfaction as a mediator. *Revista de Cercetare Si InterventieSociala*, 78.
- Rahman, F., Aminah, S., andNurmalasari, Y. (2021). Effect of educational background and work experience on counselor's work engagement. *JOMSIGN: Journal of Multicultural Studies in Guidance and Counseling*, 5(2), 97–111.
- Raziq, A., and Maulabakhsh, R. (2015). Impact of working environment on job satisfaction. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, *23*, 717–725.
- Robbins, S., and Coulter, M. (2009). Foundations of planning. *Management*.
- Robbins, S. P., and Judge, T. A. (2003). Organizational Behavior. By Pearson Education. *Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey*.
- Saragih, S. (2011). The effects of job autonomy on work outcomes: Self efficacy as an intervening variable. *International Research Journal of Business Studies*, *4*(3), 203-215.
- Sharma, M. K., and Jain, S. (2013). Leadership management: Principles, models and theories. *Global Journal of Management and Business Studies*, *3*(3), 309–318.
- Taylor, F. W. (1911). Principles and methods of scientific management. *Journal of Accountancy*, 12(3), 3.
- Tubey, R., Kurgat, A., and Rotich, J. K. (2015). Employment expectations among generation y employees in Kenya. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 1(1), 13–18.

- Van Laar, E., Van Deursen, A. J. A. M., Van Dijk, J. A. G. M., and De Haan, J. (2017). The relation between 21st-century skills and digital skills: A systematic literature review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 72, 577–588.
- Wheatley, M. (2011). *Leadership and the new science: Discovering order in a chaotic world*. ReadHowYouWant. com.