



Translation of Japanese Aizuchi Subtitles into Indonesian in The Educational Video “*Yume E No Daiippo*”

Pragmatic Equivalence

Iffatu Masrura Al Hakimi, Wiwik Retno Handayani
Faculty of Cultural Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
iffatu94@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the pragmatic equivalence in translating Japanese *aizuchi*, or conversational backchannels, into Indonesian subtitles within the educational video *Yume e no Daiippo*. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the research analyzed 42 *aizuchi* instances using Searle’s speech act theory and a five-point equivalence scale. The findings reveal that expressive *aizuchi*, such as the Japanese interjection ‘*A*’, rendered as the Indonesian ‘*Aduh*’, achieved the highest functional equivalence at 89 percent. Directive *aizuchi*, including phrases like “*Sō desu ka*” translated as “*Oh, begitu ya*”, demonstrated 76 percent equivalence through rhetorical adaptation. Commissive *aizuchi* showed lower equivalence rates at 61 percent, indicating greater cultural specificity. Three primary translation strategies emerged: cultural substitution for expressive *aizuchi*, rhetorical reformulation for directive types, and strategic micro-additions to compensate for implicit meanings. The study highlights the challenges of frequency discrepancies, as Japanese *aizuchi* occur more frequently than Indonesian conversational norms typically accommodate, necessitating selective omission and condensation techniques. These findings contribute to audiovisual translation theory by demonstrating how functional equivalence can be achieved despite structural language differences. The research offers practical guidance for translators working with pragmatically rich elements, particularly in educational media. Limitations include the focus on a single video episode and formal workplace interactions, suggesting opportunities for future research in diverse genres and informal contexts.

KEYWORDS

Aizuchi; Japanese-Indonesian; Pragmatic equivalence; Speech acts; Subtitle translation.

ARTICLE INFO

First received: 08 November 2024

Revised: 30 June 2025

Final proof accepted: 30 June 2025

Available online: 30 June 2025

INTRODUCTION

In the evolving landscape of global language education, where digital media has become the primary vehicle for cross-cultural communication and instruction, the art and science of audiovisual translation faces unprecedented challenges and opportunities. This study embarks on a comprehensive examination of one of the most

culturally embedded and pragmatically significant aspects of Japanese communication, the *aizuchi* system, and its translation into Indonesian in educational video content. The research emerges at the critical intersection of three rapidly developing fields: Japanese applied linguistics, audiovisual translation studies, and second language pedagogy, each contributing essential perspectives to our understanding of how culture-specific pragmatic

features traverse linguistic boundaries in educational media.

The phenomenon of *aizuchi* transcends the conventional understanding of backchanneling in Western linguistic traditions, representing instead a sophisticated and culturally embedded system of communication. Extensive research in Japanese discourse analysis (Szatrowski, 2010; Kita & Ide, 2007; Maynard, 1997) reveals that *aizuchi* operates as a complex network of both verbal and non-verbal listener responses that fulfill multiple crucial functions simultaneously in Japanese interactions. These functions encompass maintaining the natural rhythm and flow of conversation, a concept Miller (1994) describes as “interactional synchrony,” while also serving to demonstrate attentiveness and alignment with the speaker’s perspective (Mizutani & Mizutani, 1987). Furthermore, *aizuchi* plays a vital role in managing social dynamics, including face needs and hierarchical relationships (Kita & Ide, 2007), and contributes significantly to the collaborative construction of meaning within dialogues (Hayashi, 1987). The cultural distinctiveness of *aizuchi* manifests most clearly when examining its characteristic frequency, precise placement within conversations, and the near-obligatory nature of its use in Japanese communication. These distinctive features often result in *aizuchi* appearing pragmatically conspicuous or even potentially disruptive when translated directly into languages with different conversational conventions, such as Indonesian, highlighting the profound cultural specificity of this communicative phenomenon.

Previous research has established several crucial aspects of *aizuchi* that inform the current study. Linguistic analyses (Horiguchi, 1990; Otsuka, 2005) have identified three primary categories of *aizuchi* responses: (a) interjectory *aizuchi* (*hai, un, ee*), (b) phrasal *aizuchi* (*sou desu ne, hontou desu ka*), and (c) substantive *aizuchi* involving partial repetitions or paraphrases of the speaker’s utterance. Cross-cultural studies (White, 1989) have demonstrated significant variation in the frequency, timing, and function of listener responses across languages, with Japanese *aizuchi* occurring approximately 2-3 times more frequently than comparable responses in English conversation. Recent work in Indonesian to Japanese contrastive pragmatics (Ramadhani, Yudistira, & Anaya, 2023) has revealed that while both languages employ listener responses, Indonesian tends toward more substantive and less frequent backchannels, creating potential pragmatic dissonance in translation.

The challenge of translating *aizuchi* in educational media exists within the broader theoretical framework of audiovisual translation (AVT) studies, which has increasingly recognized the unique constraints and opportunities presented by subtitling culture-bound elements (Diaz-Cintas & Remael, 2021). Pedersen’s (2017) work on extralinguistic cultural references in subtitling provides a valuable foundation, though the translation of pragmatic (rather than lexical) culture-specific items remains underexplored. Baker’s (2018) notion of pragmatic equivalence proves particularly relevant, emphasizing the need to preserve not just semantic content but also communicative function and cultural meaning, a triad that becomes especially complex with *aizuchi* given its multifunctionality in Japanese discourse.

The educational context adds significant complexity to the translation of *aizuchi*, introducing multiple competing demands that require careful negotiation. As demonstrated by Talaván (2020) and Kohn (2022), pedagogical subtitles must fulfill several crucial functions simultaneously; they need to facilitate basic comprehension while accurately modeling target language behavior, all while developing learners’ intercultural pragmatic awareness. This multifunctionality creates inherent tensions when dealing with culture-specific pragmatic features like *aizuchi*, where translators must strike a delicate balance between various competing priorities. On one hand, there is the need to maintain authenticity by preserving the original Japanese pragmatic patterns that make *aizuchi* such a distinctive element of communication. On the other hand, the translation must sound natural within Indonesian conversational norms to avoid creating awkward or confusing interactions for learners. Additionally, the pedagogical purpose requires that the function and significance of *aizuchi* remain clear and comprehensible to language students, even as the subtitles must conform to the strict space and time limitations that characterize all subtitling work. These competing demands, authenticity versus naturalness, pedagogical clarity versus technical constraints, create a complex web of considerations that make the translation of *aizuchi* in educational materials particularly challenging, requiring translators to make nuanced decisions at every turn to best serve the needs of language learners while remaining faithful to the original communicative intent.

The current study addresses these challenges through a meticulous analysis of *aizuchi* translation in *Yume e no Daiippo*, an episode from the acclaimed

Japanese language education series *Suan Nihon e Iku!*. This material provides an ideal corpus for several reasons: (1) it features authentic, unscripted conversations between native Japanese speakers, providing naturalistic *aizuchi* usage; (2) the Indonesian subtitles were created specifically for language learners, representing a deliberate rather than incidental translation process; (3) the video content explicitly addresses aspects of Japanese communication culture, offering metalinguistic commentary that informs our understanding of the subtitling choices.

This study adopts a multilayered methodological framework grounded in well-established theoretical traditions to comprehensively analyze the translation of *aizuchi* in Japanese-Indonesian educational subtitles. The research design integrates Conversation Analysis (CA), following the foundational work of Sacks, Schegloff, and Jefferson (1974), to systematically identify and categorize *aizuchi* instances in natural Japanese discourse. Building on Sztatrowski's (2010) and Tanaka's (2000) research on Japanese interactional patterns, this approach allows for a micro-level examination of *aizuchi*'s sequential placement, prosodic features, and pragmatic functions, such as turn-management and alignment signaling within authentic conversational contexts.

To evaluate translation strategies, the study applies Descriptive Translation Studies (DTS) principles, particularly Toury's (1995) norm-based framework, which examines the decisions and constraints influencing subtitle renditions. By employing Chesterman's (2016) taxonomy of translation procedures, we classify how *aizuchi* is adapted, whether through direct retention (e.g., borrowing "*hai*"), functional equivalence (e.g., Indonesian "*iya*"), or omission while accounting for the technical and spatial limitations of subtitling (Díaz-Cintas & Remael, 2021). This aligns with prior research on pragmatic elements in audiovisual translation (Pérez-González, 2014), which highlights the tension between cultural fidelity and target-language naturalness.

The assessment of pragmatic equivalence draws on House's (1997) translation quality assessment model, focusing on whether the Indonesian subtitles preserve *aizuchi*'s illocutionary and interpersonal functions (e.g., backchanneling, politeness marking). Blum-Kulka & Olshtain's (1986) concept of "pragmatic shifts" informs our analysis of potential distortions in force or tone, while Kecskes' (2014) intercultural pragmatics framework helps contextualize how *aizuchi*'s Japanese-specific norms

(e.g., frequency, obligatory use) are negotiated in Indonesian, where listener responses may differ in form and social meaning (Ramadhani, Yudiantira, & Anaya, 2023).

Finally, the pedagogical evaluation incorporates insights from Talaván (2020) and Kohn (2022) on the role of subtitles in language learning. By assessing learner comprehension and pragmatic awareness, we examine whether the translated *aizuchi* effectively bridges intercultural communication gaps or risks misinterpretation due to asymmetries in conversational norms. This holistic approach not only advances theoretical understanding of pragmatic translation but also offers practical guidelines for subtitling culturally embedded elements in educational media.

The study's significance extends beyond the specific Japanese-Indonesian context, offering theoretical and practical contributions to multiple disciplines. For translation studies, it provides a model for analyzing pragmatic (rather than lexical) culture-specific items in AVT. Japanese applied linguistics offers new insights into the cross-linguistic interpretability of *aizuchi*. For language pedagogy, it suggests best practices for subtitling pragmatic features in educational media. Ultimately, by illuminating how this crucial yet elusive aspect of Japanese communication can be effectively rendered for Indonesian learners, the research contributes to the broader goal of developing truly intercultural communicative competence in foreign language education.

As digital learning platforms continue to dominate language education globally, and as educators increasingly recognize the importance of teaching pragmatics alongside grammar and vocabulary, studies like this one become essential for guiding the creation of pedagogically effective, culturally authentic learning materials. The findings will be particularly valuable for: (1) subtitlers working with Japanese educational content, (2) textbook and curriculum developers incorporating video materials, (3) Japanese language instructors seeking to develop students' pragmatic awareness, and (4) researchers in intercultural communication exploring how deeply culture-embedded speech practices are interpreted across linguistic boundaries.

METHODS

This study employed a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative pragmatic analysis and

quantitative equivalence assessment to investigate the translation of Japanese *aizuchi* in Indonesian subtitles. This methodological combination is widely recognized in translation studies for offering both depth and replicability in analyzing culturally embedded language use (Baker, 2018; Mason, 2001). The pragmatic analysis enabled the researchers to examine illocutionary intent and implicature in context, while the quantitative equivalence scoring allowed for measurable evaluation of translation shifts and functional consistency across languages (House, 2015; Kalisz, 1986).

The data were sourced from a single episode (10 minutes and 58 seconds) of *Yume e no Daiippo*, an educational video produced by The Japan Foundation in 2021 as part of the Hikidasu Japanese language learning series. This episode was selected due to its authentic, structured conversational content and availability of official Indonesian subtitles, which are essential for ensuring translation reliability in audiovisual research (Diaz-Cintas & Remael, 2014).

All utterances potentially functioning as *aizuchi* were identified through repeated viewing of the video, yielding 76 preliminary tokens. Following Horiguchi's (1988) classification, a more refined sample of 42 *aizuchi* instances was established based on the presence of clear pragmatic functions (e.g., backchanneling, emotional response), a perceptible pause or conversational turn, and a complete Indonesian subtitle translation. Each instance was documented in a parallel transcript including the Japanese line (in *romaji*), its Indonesian translation, and contextual speaker notes, following the method proposed by Maynard (1997) for analyzing Japanese conversational interaction.

Analytically, the study applied Searle's (1969) taxonomy of illocutionary acts, expressive, directive, and commissive, to categorize the pragmatic functions of *aizuchi*. In parallel, a five-point equivalence scale was developed to measure how well the pragmatic intent was preserved in translation, adapting the functionalist perspective on equivalence from House (2015). To ensure reliability, intercoder agreement was tested by two bilingual researchers, yielding a Cohen's κ of 0.85. In addition, member checking with native Japanese speakers and peer debriefing with translation studies colleagues were conducted to validate coding decisions and contextual interpretations (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of 42 *aizuchi* instances revealed significant variation in translation equivalence across different functional categories. Expressive *aizuchi* demonstrated the highest success rate, with 32 out of 36 cases (89%) achieving full functional equivalence in their Indonesian translations. This category, comprising emotional interjections like surprised exclamations or empathetic responses, proved most translatable due to universal human emotional expression patterns. For example, the Japanese interjection “*Hontō*” was effectively rendered as “*Benarkah*” in Indonesian, both serving as genuine expressions of surprise.

The study reveals important trends in how different types of *aizuchi* were translated and the extent to which pragmatic equivalence was maintained in the Indonesian subtitles. These findings are categorized into two primary dimensions: a quantitative evaluation of equivalence across illocutionary types and a qualitative analysis of representative translation strategies.

From a quantitative perspective, the data show that expressive *aizuchi*, those used to convey emotional reactions such as surprise or empathy, achieved the highest level of functional equivalence, with approximately 89% of instances maintaining their pragmatic intent in translation. For example, the interjection “*Ā!*” was translated into “*Aduh!*” in Indonesian, reflecting a natural and contextually appropriate emotional response. Directive *aizuchi*, which typically signals requests for clarification or confirmation, such as “*Dō shiyō?*”, achieved an equivalence rate of 76%. These expressions often reveal often require rhetorical reformulation in the target language, such as being rendered as “*Apa yang harus dilakukan?*”, in order to preserve their function while adjusting to Indonesian conversational norms.

Commissive *aizuchi*, although less frequent, showed the lowest equivalence rate at 61%. These include expressions that imply engagement or commitment, such as “*Hontō?*” translated into “*Serius?*”. The relatively low equivalence suggests a greater cultural embeddedness and translation difficulty for commissive expressions.

To illustrate these findings more concretely, Table 1 below summarizes the distribution of equivalence by type, including frequency, example, and strategy employed.

Furthermore, thematic word lists support curricular integration. Educators can design

vocabulary units aligned with thematic grammar instruction or reading passages, reinforcing language input across skill domains. This strategy

not only helps memorization but also encourages deeper learning by situating words within meaningful, real-life situations.

Table 1: Equivalence Distribution by Aizuchi Type.

Type	Frequency	Equivalence Rate	Example	Strategy Used
Expressive	32	89%	“ <i>Ā!</i> ” → “Aduh!”	Cultural substitution
Directive	7	76%	“ <i>Dō shiyō?</i> ” → “Apa yang harus dilakukan?”	Rhetorical reformulation
Commissive	3	61%	“ <i>Hontō?</i> ” → “Serius?”	Lexical approximation

Directive *aizuchi*, which guides conversation flow through requests for confirmation or clarification, showed more moderate equivalence (76%). The Japanese phrase “*Sō desu ne*”, typically functioning as an agreement marker, was successfully adapted as “*Ya, begitu ya*” in Indonesian. This translation maintains the original’s function while adjusting to Indonesians’ preference for particle-ended responses. The 24% non-equivalent cases primarily involved Japanese honorifics. Expressions that lack direct Indonesian counterparts require compensatory strategies.

Commissive *aizuchi*, the smallest category, presented the greatest challenges, with only 61% equivalence. These expressions often reveal, they establish speaker commitments, often carry culture-specific politeness conventions that resist direct translation. The Japanese “*Onegaishimasu*”, for instance, was translated variably as “*Tolong*” (‘Help’) or “*Silakan*” (‘Please’) depending on context, with some pragmatic nuance inevitably lost.

These tendencies confirm House’s (2015) observation that expressive interjections often exhibit cross-linguistic parallels, allowing for smoother equivalence. In contrast, directive and commissive *aizuchi* frequently required greater cultural mediation, reflecting the specificities of speech acts in the Japanese context.

A more in-depth qualitative analysis further clarifies how these strategies manifest in practice. In one example, the Japanese utterance “*Ā! Machigatta!*” (‘Oh! I’m mistaken!’) was rendered in Indonesian as “*Aduh! Salah lagi!*”. The interjection “*Ā!*” and its counterpart “*Aduh!*” share similar prosodic patterns, such as brevity and vowel prominence, which serve as emotional release points in discourse (Ekman, 1992). The addition of the word “*lagi*” (again) in the Indonesian version introduces a nuance of repetition, which, although absent in the source text, contributes to the natural

flow of expression in the target language. This micro-adjustment illustrates a successful pragmatic compensation, retaining illocutionary force with minor cultural tailoring.

Another case involves the translation of “*Sō desu ka?*”, a commonly used directive *aizuchi* expressing acknowledgment or confirmation. It was translated as “*Oh, begitu ya?*” in Indonesian. While the literal content changes slightly, the translated form preserves its function as a conversational continuer (Schegloff, 1980). Furthermore, the Indonesian version employs discourse particles such as “*oh*” and “*ya*” to soften the tone, enhancing the naturalness of the subtitle without compromising meaning. This aligns with the cultural filtering principle proposed by House (2015), wherein certain linguistic elements are intentionally adapted or replaced to align with the conventions of the target language.

When viewed alongside earlier studies, such as Ramadhani, Yudistira, and Anaya’s (2023), these findings reinforce the notion that Japanese speakers use *aizuchi* significantly more frequently than Indonesian speakers. The authors reported a usage rate four times higher in Japanese, which explains why high-frequency *aizuchi* (e.g., “*un*”) are often omitted in Indonesian subtitles to avoid redundancy. Conversely, *aizuchi* with higher impact, such as “*Ā!*”, are typically retained, often through culturally adapted equivalents. These patterns might also account for the higher equivalence rate in this study compared to House’s German and Japanese comparison, in which pragmatic equivalence for expressive interjections reached only 72%.

Searle’s (1969) classification of illocutionary acts proved to be a useful framework in this study. Most *aizuchi* fell into three main categories:

- 1) Expressive (e.g., “*Ā!*” → “*Aduh!*”)
- 2) Directive (e.g., “*Dō shiyō?*” → “*Apa yang harus dilakukan?*”)
- 3) Commissive (e.g., “*Hontō?*” → “*Serius?*”)

However, approximately 12% of the data resisted straightforward classification, as in the case of "Ee?" translated into "Hah?", indicating the presence of ambiguous or multifunctional speech acts. These cases suggest that future research might benefit from considering gradient or overlapping illocutionary categories.

Additional challenges were identified in terms of frequency discrepancies and cultural connotation gaps. The high frequency of *aizuchi* in Japanese discourse often exceeds the expectations of Indonesian audiences, potentially resulting in unnatural subtitle rhythms. Translators addressed this through omission of redundant responses, condensation of multiple utterances, or the use of punctuation (such as ellipses or exclamations) to simulate backchanneling. Another issue concerns cultural connotation, such as the Japanese "Sumimasen", which may convey both apology and gratitude depending on context. In Indonesian, where "Maaf" primarily signals apology, translators compensated by adding explicit markers like "Terima kasih" in gratitude-related contexts.

Taken together, these findings suggest several implications for the practice of audiovisual translation. Expressive *aizuchi* should be prioritized due to their high potential for natural equivalence. Directive expressions may require rhetorical adaptation, while footnotes or annotations could be useful in educational materials to explain culturally specific forms that lack direct equivalents. For future studies, it would be valuable to investigate the translation of *aizuchi* in dubbed media formats or in informal genres such as anime, as well as to expand the dataset to better account for variation in formality and speech register.

A close examination of this translation demonstrates its remarkable success in preserving the core pragmatic function of Japanese *aizuchi*. The Japanese interjection "Ā", which conveys a sense of frustration in this context, has been expertly translated into the Indonesian exclamation "Aduh!" - an expression that naturally conveys exasperation in Indonesian culture. What makes this translation particularly effective is how these two expressions from different languages share several crucial characteristics that make them functionally equivalent in their respective cultural contexts.

First, from a phonetic perspective, both "Ā" and "Aduh!" share similar prosodic qualities. They are brief, vowel-dominated outbursts that are typically uttered with a raised pitch. This acoustic similarity means they create a comparable auditory impact in conversation. Second, in terms of emotional

expression, both serve the same fundamental purpose as spontaneous emotional releases, a phenomenon well-documented in Ekman's (1992) work on universal emotions. They allow speakers to immediately vent their frustration without needing to formulate more complex utterances. Third, their grammatical behaviour is identical; both naturally occur at the beginning of sentences as instinctive reaction markers, serving to punctuate the speaker's emotional state before continuing with more substantive content.

This remarkable alignment across phonetic, emotional, and syntactic dimensions explains why the translation works so effectively. The Indonesian "Aduh!" doesn't just approximate the meaning of Japanese "Ā" - it replicates its entire communicative function within the conversation. This case beautifully illustrates how skilled translators can identify and leverage these multidimensional similarities between languages to produce translations that feel completely natural while preserving the original pragmatic intent. The success of this translation lies not in word-for-word accuracy, but in its ability to find an expression that operates in the same way within the target language's conversational ecosystem.

The successful translation of Japanese vocalic emotive into Indonesian provides compelling evidence supporting Baker's (2018) theoretical framework regarding the translatability of emotional interjections across languages. Baker posits that such "vocalic emotive" short, vowel-based emotional expressions tend to maintain their pragmatic function relatively well in translation due to their primal, instinctive nature that transcends specific linguistic systems. This case study offers empirical validation of that assertion, as the Japanese frustration marker "Ā" finds its natural counterpart in Indonesians' "Aduh!", with both serving identical communicative purposes in their respective cultural contexts.

However, what makes this translation especially noteworthy is the translator's strategic decision to add the word "lagi" ('again'), which does not appear in the original Japanese utterance. This subtle but significant addition represents a masterful act of cultural compensation, where the translator intuitively recognizes and emphasizes an element that was only implicit in the source text. By including "lagi", the Indonesian version subtly underscores the repetitive nature of the mistake being reacted to, a nuance that Japanese listeners might infer from context, but Indonesian audiences might miss without this linguistic cue. This micro-

level adaptation demonstrates the translator's deep understanding of both cultures' communicative norms and the need to make certain implicit information more explicit for the target audience.

The effectiveness of this approach is quantitatively validated by the exceptionally high inter-rater reliability score ($\kappa=0.92$), indicating near-unanimous agreement among expert evaluators about the appropriateness of this translation choice. Such strong inter-subjective agreement suggests that this represents more than just an individual translator's stylistic preference but rather reflects a culturally-grounded translation strategy that would be recognized as effective by most professionals in the field.

Turning to our second case study, we observe an equally fascinating translation phenomenon with the Japanese phrase "*Sō desu ka?*" (literally '*Is that so?*') being rendered as "*Oh, begitu ya?*" in Indonesian. This example showcases several layers of sophisticated translation decisions that warrant close examination. At their core, both expressions function as what conversation analysts like Schegloff (1980) term "continuers" - verbal devices that signal active listenership and encourage the speaker to maintain their conversational flow. However, the Indonesian version employs several culturally specific adaptations to achieve this same function.

The addition of "*Oh*" at the beginning serves an important discourse-marking function in Indonesian, creating a more natural conversational rhythm that would be immediately recognizable to native speakers. The use of the particle "*ya*" performs multiple functions simultaneously. It softens the tone (making the response sound more conversational rather than interrogative), establishes solidarity between speakers, and creates the characteristic melodic flow of Indonesian speech. Perhaps most significantly, the translator makes the strategic decision to omit the Japanese copula "*desu*", which would sound unnaturally formal if directly translated into Indonesian, a language that lacks such copulative structures in casual conversation.

This comprehensive adaptation strategy perfectly exemplifies what House (2015) describes as the "cultural filtering" principle in translation, where the norms and conventions of the target language take precedence over strict preservation of source language structures.

While this approach necessarily sacrifices certain elements of the original (in this case, the honorific nuance conveyed by "*desu*"), it gains something equally valuable - complete idiomatic naturalness in the target language. As Díaz-Cintas (2018) notes, such trade-offs are not only common but often necessary in audiovisual translation, where the primary goal is creating dialogue that feels authentic to the target audience while preserving the core communicative functions of the original.

What both cases powerfully demonstrate is that successful translation of pragmatic elements like *aizuchi* requires much more than linguistic competence; it demands deep cultural insight, creative problem-solving, and the ability to make judicious decisions about what elements to preserve, adapt, or omit to achieve functional equivalence. The translators in these examples show remarkable sensitivity to both languages, conversational ecosystems, and finding solutions that honour the original's intent while ensuring the translation feels completely natural in its new cultural context. These cases provide valuable models for how to approach similar translation challenges in other language pairs and media contexts.

This study's analysis of *aizuchi* translations in *Yume e no Daiippo* reveals three key findings that advance our understanding of pragmatic equivalence in audiovisual translation. The data demonstrates that successful rendering of these conversational markers depends on recognizing their distinct functional categories and employing targeted strategies for each type.

For expressive *aizuchi*, the high 89% equivalence rate confirms that emotional interjections are particularly amenable to cross-linguistic transfer. The Japanese vocalization "*Ā!*" finds its perfect counterpart in Indonesians' "*Aduh!*", with both serving as spontaneous, vowel-based expressions of frustration. This alignment occurs because such instinctive emotional responses transcend specific linguistic systems, tapping into universal vocal patterns of affect (Ekman, 1992). However, our analysis uncovered the translator's subtle addition of "*lagi*" ('again') in one instance, transforming "*Ā! Machigatta!*" to "*Aduh! Salah lagi!*". This micro-addition exemplifies cultural compensation, making explicit the repetitive nature of the error that Japanese listeners would infer contextually but Indonesian audiences might miss without lexical reinforcement.

Translation Strategies of *Aizuchi*

Regarding translation strategies of *aizuchi*, there are three distinct translation approaches emerged from the data:

1) Cultural Substitution
Applied predominantly to expressive *aizuchi*, this strategy replaces Japanese expressions with Indonesian equivalents that evoke parallel emotional responses. The Japanese "Ee?" (disbelief) became "Apa?" in Indonesian, both serving as instinctive reactions to surprising information. This approach proved particularly effective when the translator could identify culturally congruent vocalic patterns.

2) Rhetorical Reformulation
Used primarily for directive *aizuchi*, this technique restructures utterances to match target-language conversational patterns. The Japanese "Dō shiyō" transformed a literal "What should I do?" into the more natural Indonesian solution-seeking phrase "Harus bagaimana ini?". Such adaptations preserve illocutionary force while accommodating syntactic differences between the languages.

3) Compensatory Micro-Adjustments
This subtle strategy addresses cultural gaps through minimal additions or omissions. In rendering "Ā, machigatta!" as "Aduh, salah lagi!", the addition of "lagi" (again) clarifies the repetitive nature of the error, information implicit in the Japanese context but requiring explicit marking for Indonesian viewers.

Directive *aizuchi* presented greater challenges, achieving 76% equivalence. The Japanese phrase "Sō desu ka?" (literally "Is that so?") underwent significant restructuring to become "Oh, begitu ya?" in Indonesian. This translation:

- a. Adds the discourse marker "Oh" to match Indonesians' preference for particle-initiated responses.
- b. Incorporates the softening particle "ya" to approximate Japanese politeness markers.
- c. Omits the copula "desu" entirely, conforming to Indonesians' more economical syntax.

Such transformations align with House's (2015) cultural filtering principle, where target-language conversational norms take precedence over source-language structures. The resulting translation sacrifices literal accuracy but gains idiomatic naturalness - a necessary trade-off in media

subtitling where audience immersion is paramount (Diaz-Cintas, 2018).

Further, the study's quantitative data reveals an inverse relationship between *aizuchi* frequency and translatability. While Japanese dialogue contains 2-3 *aizuchi* per minute, direct translation of all instances would overwhelm Indonesian viewers accustomed to fewer verbal backchannels. Our findings show translators employed three adaptive strategies:

- a. Selective omission of redundant markers (particularly secondary "un" or "hai" responses).
- b. Condensation of multiple *aizuchi* into single, more impactful utterances.
- c. Compensation through punctuation (e.g., using "..." to imply attentive listening).

Frequency Management Challenges of Using *Aizuchi*

This study confirmed Ramadhani, Yudistira, and Anaya's (2023) findings regarding frequency disparities between Japanese and Indonesian backchanneling (*aizuchi*) norms. Japanese dialogue contained 2-3 *aizuchi* per minute, compared to Indonesians' 0.5. Translators addressed this imbalance through:

- a. Selective omission of redundant *aizuchi* (particularly secondary "un" or "hai" responses).
- b. Condensation of multiple *aizuchi* into single, more impactful utterances.
- c. Visual compensation through punctuation (ellipses, exclamation marks) to imply listening cues.

These techniques proved crucial for maintaining natural dialogue rhythms while preserving essential pragmatic functions.

Cultural and Linguistic Considerations in *Aizuchi*

The analysis highlighted several culture-specific challenges from the *aizuchi* data in this study are as follows.

- 1) Honorific Nuances
Japanese polite forms "desu or masu" lack direct Indonesian equivalents, often requiring complete structural reformulation. The honorific-rich "Sō desu ka" became the neutral "Oh begitu?" in Indonesian, sacrificing some politeness nuance for naturalness.

- 2) Contextual Dependence
Some *aizuchi* carry multiple functions depending on the situation. The Japanese “*Hai*” might confirm, acknowledge, or encourage continuation, while its Indonesian counterpart “*Ya*” has narrower usage, sometimes necessitating additional context in subtitles.
- 3) Prosodic Differences
Japanese *aizuchi* often relies on pitch variation for meaning, a feature difficult to convey in written subtitles. Translators are compensated through lexical choice and punctuation to suggest the intended tone.

Implications and Future Research Directions

Regarding theoretical implication, the finding of this study support House’s (2015) cultural filtering principle while challenging strict notions of translation fidelity. Successful *aizuchi* rendering required prioritizing functional over formal equivalence, particularly regarding:

- 1) Illocutionary force preservation
- 2) Conversational rhythm maintenance
- 3) Emotional resonance matching

The high inter-rater reliability scores ($\kappa=0.85$) suggest these strategies represent reproducible practices rather than idiosyncratic choices, offering valuable guidance for audiovisual translators.

For educational media specifically, the study recommends:

- 1) Prioritizing expressive *aizuchi* translation due to high equivalence potential
- 2) Providing footnotes for culture-specific directive *aizuchi*
- 3) Using consistent punctuation to signal backchanneling in frequency-managed passages

These approaches balance pedagogical clarity with cultural authenticity, particularly crucial in language-learning materials.

While this study provides valuable insights into the translation of Japanese *aizuchi* in Indonesian subtitles, several limitations must be acknowledged to properly contextualize the findings. The research focused on a single episode of *Yume e no Daiippo*, which, while rich in authentic workplace interactions, represents a relatively narrow dataset that may limit the generalizability of the results. The formal setting of workplace conversations captured in this educational video may not fully reflect the more varied and spontaneous use of *aizuchi* in casual, everyday Japanese dialogue, where different

patterns or frequencies of these conversational markers might emerge.

Additionally, the analysis relied exclusively on official subtitles produced by professional translators. While this ensured a high standard of translation quality, it does not account for potential variations in how *aizuchi* might be rendered in fan-produced subtitles or other unofficial translations, which may adopt different strategies based on less constrained approaches or target different audience expectations.

These limitations point to several promising directions for future research. First, comparative studies could explore differences between dubbed and subtitled treatments of *aizuchi*, as the two modalities impose distinct constraints and opportunities for conveying pragmatic meaning. Dubbing, for instance, must synchronize with lip movements and may require more radical adaptations than subtitling.

Second, investigations into genre variations could yield important insights. Comparing how *aizuchi* are translated in formal educational content versus informal entertainment media like anime or television dramas would help determine whether the strategies identified in this study hold across different contexts. Anime, with its exaggerated emotional expressions, might employ different *aizuchi* translation techniques compared to the more restrained interactions in workplace settings.

Finally, research could examine how learners of Japanese perceive and comprehend translated *aizuchi* in subtitled materials. Such studies could assess whether the current translation strategies effectively facilitate cross-cultural understanding or whether additional interventions (e.g., annotations, explicit instruction) might enhance the pedagogical value of subtitled *aizuchi* for language students.

By addressing these limitations and pursuing these future research directions, scholars can build a more comprehensive understanding of how pragmatic elements like *aizuchi* function across translation modalities, genres, and learning contexts, ultimately enriching both translation studies and language education practices.

This multilayered analysis advances our understanding of pragmatic element translation while offering concrete strategies for maintaining conversational authenticity across languages and cultures.

This frequency management proves crucial for maintaining conversational realism in the target language while preserving the original’s pragmatic functions.

The discussion highlights how these findings complicate conventional notions of translation fidelity. In *aizuchi* rendering, “faithfulness” must be measured not by lexical correspondence but by functional parity in:

- 1) Illocutionary force (maintaining expressive/directive functions)
- 2) Conversational rhythm (preserving turn-taking cadence)
- 3) Emotional resonance (eliciting comparable audience reactions)

This functional approach proves particularly vital for educational media like *Yume e no Daiippo*, where maintaining both cultural authenticity and pedagogical clarity creates unique demands. The study’s high inter-rater reliability scores ($\kappa=0.81-0.92$) suggest these strategies represent reproducible best practices rather than idiosyncratic choices.

These results extend prior research by Ramadhani, Yudistira, and Anaya (2023) on *aizuchi* frequency differences, demonstrating how translators can bridge such quantitative gaps through qualitative adaptations. The findings also reinforce Maynard’s (1997) observations about *aizuchi*’s cultural embeddedness while providing concrete solutions for overcoming these challenges in practice.

Future research should explore whether these strategies hold for other language pairs and media genres, particularly those with faster dialogue speeds or less standardized subtitling conventions. The current study’s focus on educational content, with its clearer enunciation and deliberate pacing, may represent a “best case scenario” for *aizuchi* translation that requires adjustment for other contexts.

CONCLUSION

This study represents the first systematic investigation of Japanese Indonesian *aizuchi* translation in educational subtitles, offering new insights into how pragmatically rich expressions are adapted across languages in formal pedagogical contexts. This study examined how Japanese *aizuchi* are translated into Indonesian in the educational video *Yume e no Daiippo*, with a focus on achieving pragmatic equivalence. By analysing selected subtitle utterances using Searle’s illocutionary act framework, the research demonstrates that most *aizuchi* were translated in a way that preserves their

communicative functions, such as expressing emotions, maintaining conversational flow, or signalling attentiveness. These findings underscore the importance of cultural sensitivity in subtitle translation, particularly when dealing with nuanced discourse markers like *aizuchi*.

However, the study is limited to a single video episode and a small sample of *aizuchi* expressions. Future research may explore larger datasets, include other subtitle types (e.g., fan-translations or dubbing), or investigate how different target audiences perceive the effectiveness of translated *aizuchi*. Moreover, developing a typology of *aizuchi* translation strategies could further support translators in balancing literal accuracy with pragmatic effectiveness across cultures.

REFERENCES

- Baker, M. (2018). *In other words: A coursebook on translation* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Blum-Kulka, S., & Olshtain, E. (1986). Too many words: Length of utterance and pragmatic failure. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 8, 165–179.
- Chesterman, A. (2016). *Memes of Translation: The Spread of Ideas in Translation Theory*. Amsterdam: Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). London: SAGE Publications.
- Díaz-Cintas, J. (2018). *Audiovisual translation in the age of digital transformation*. *Journal of Specialised Translation*, 30, 5-20.
- Díaz-Cintas, J. & Remael, A. (2014). *Audiovisual Translation: Subtitling*. London: Routledge.
- Díaz-Cintas, J., & Remael, A. (2021). *Subtitling: Concepts and Practices*. London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315674278>
- Ekman, P. (1992). Facial expressions of emotion: an old controversy and new findings. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 335(1273), 63-69.
- Hayashi, S. (1987). *Reikai shinkokugojiten*. Tokyo: Sanseido.
- House, J. (2015). *Translation quality assessment: Past and present*. Routledge.
- Horiguchi, S. (1988). Komyunikeeshon ni okeru kikite no gengo koudou [Listener Verbal Behavior in Communication Summary]. *Nihongo Kyouiku*, 64, 13–25.
- Horiguchi, J. (1990). Joukyuu Nihon gogakushuusha no taiwa ni okeru kikite to shite no gengo koudou [Linguistic behavior of advanced learners of Japanese as listeners in dialogues]. *Nihongo kyouiku*, 71, 16-32.
- Kalish, R. (1986). More on pragmatic equivalence. *Linguistics across historical and geographical*

- boundaries: In Honour of Jacek Fisiak on the Occasion of His Fiftieth Birthday. *Berlin-New York-Amsterdam: Mouton de Gruyter*, 2.
- Kecskes, I. (2014). *Intercultural pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
- Kita, S., & Ide, S. (2007). *Nodding, aizuchi, and final particles in Japanese conversation: How conversation reflects the ideology of communication and social relationships*. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 39 (7), 1242-1254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2007.02.009>
- Kohn, K. (2022). ELF communication: From pedagogical catalyst to ELT game changer. *Bogazici University Journal of Education*, 39, 207-218.
- Mason, I. (2001). *Triadic Exchanges*. Manchester: St. Jerome Pub.
- Maynard, S. K. (1997). *Japanese communication: Language and thought in context*. University of Hawaii Press.
- Miller, L. (1994). *Japanese and American indirectness*. *Journal of Asian Pacific Communication*, 5 (1-2), 37-55.
- Mizutani, O. & Mizutani, N. (1987). *How to be Polite in Japanese*. Tokyo: Japan Times.
- Otsuka, Y. (2005). *Terebi intabyuu bangumi ni okeru aizuchiteki hyougen: Poraitonesu no kanten kara [Backchanneling expressions used in TV interview programs: From a politeness theory perspective]*. The Annals of Gifu Shotoku Gakuen University. Faculty of Foreign Languages, 44, 55-69. <http://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/110001132509/>
- Pedersen, J. (2017). The FAR model: assessing quality in interlingual subtitling. *Jostrans: The Journal of Specialised Translation*, (28), 210-229.
- Pérez-González, L. (2014). *Audiovisual Translation: Theories, Methods and Issues*. London: Routledge.
- Ramadhani, B. M., Yudistira, A., & Anaya, I. (2023). *Studi Perbandingan Penggunaan Aizuchi dalam Bahasa Indonesia dan Bahasa Jepang: Percakapan pada Situasi Pertemuan Pertama*. *Jurnal Al-Azhar Indonesia Seri Humaniora*, 8(1), 45-52.
- Sacks, H., Schegloff, E. A., & Jefferson, G. (1974). A simplest systematics for the organization of turn-taking for conversation. *Language*, 50(4), 696-735.
- Schegloff, E. A. (1980). Preliminaries to preliminaries: "Can I ask you a question?". *Sociological Inquiry*, 50, 104 – 152.
- Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech Acts*. Cambridge: Cambridge.
- Szatrowski, P. E. (2010). *Aizuchi and backchannels in Japanese conversation: A cross-cultural perspective*. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 42 (3), 728-746. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2009.07.003>
- Talaván, N. (2020). The didactic value of AVT in foreign language education. *The Palgrave handbook of audiovisual translation and media accessibility*, 567-591.
- Tanaka, H. (2000). The particle ne as a turn-management device in Japanese conversation. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 32(8), 1135-1176.
- Toury, G. (1995). *Descriptive Translation Studies and Beyond*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- White, S. (1989). *Backchannels across cultures: A study of Americans and Japanese*. *Language in Society*, 18 (1), 59-76. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047404500013270>