Effectiveness of Dual Situated Learning Model in Improving High School Students’ Conceptions of Chemistry Equilibrium and Preventing Their misconceptions
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ABSTRACT This study aims to compare the impact of the Dual Situated Learning Model (DSLM) and conventional instructions in improving High School Students’ understanding of chemical equilibrium concepts and the prevention of possible misconceptions. The study utilized a quasi-pretest-posttest control and experimental group design with two classes of XI SMAN as the research sample (N=60 students). Pre-posttests consisting of 12 two-tier questions (r = 0.691) used to assess the learners’ understanding of the chemical equilibrium. The results showed a significant difference in favor of the learners who taught using the DSLM model in comparison to those in the conventional approaches (F count = 4.149; p = 0.003). Students in the experimental class had a better understanding and fewer misconceptions about the concept of chemical equilibrium. Implications for science educators suggest that learning that is designed by considering students' misconceptions or preconceptions and anticipating them through appropriate learning steps will have a positive influence on the learners’ conceptual understanding.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Chemical equilibrium is one of the topics in chemistry that contains many abstract concepts such as the concept of dynamic balance, the difference between equilibrium and non-equilibrium, the Le Chatelier’s principle of equilibrium shift, and the energy that accompanies chemical equilibrium reactions (Raviolo & Garritz, 2009). Studies have shown that characteristics of abstract concepts such as chemical equilibrium cause students to experience difficulties in learning (Johnstone, 1991; Şendur, Toprak, & Pekmez., 2011; Zarei, 2016).

The difficulty of students in understanding abstract concepts in chemical equilibrium can hinder students from establishing a conceptual understanding of those concepts. As a result, students can have different perceptions and misconceptions about the phenomenon of chemical stability. Scientific delusion can define as an understanding that is not following the view of the scientific community (Nakhleh, 1992). Students who experience misunderstandings convince that their knowledge is correct, and thus misconceptions are often challenging to alter or change.

Some students’ misconceptions in chemical equilibrium identified by previous research; the concentration of all substances in the equilibrium state is the same (Bilim, 2003; Özmen, 2008). The rate of reaction towards the product increases until equilibrium reached (Hackling & Garnett, 1985), increasing the temperature will increase the product (Ozmen, 2007), the addition of catalysts will increase the concentration of reactants and products (Demircioğlu, Demircioğlu, & Yadigaroglu, 2013), the constant equilibrium price determined by all substances in the system (solid, liquid, gas, and solution) (Rosidah, 2012). The learning process in the classroom can cause student misconceptions that occur, for example, the approach, strategy, and the learning method (Barke, H. D., Hazari, A., & Yitbarek (2008).

Practise in the schools indicate that conventional instruction with the lecture method is the dominant learning approach used in both schools and colleges. Most of the laboratory tasks utilized the traditional type, that is,
to rely on ‘step by step’ scientific methods, which direct the students to the correct data, which then suits a predetermined outcome (McDonald, 2013). Another study reported that teachers thought the lecture method is more efficient compared to other ways so that all subject topics in the curriculum can convey (Lotter, Harwood, & Bonner, 2007). This study also supported by the results of PISA in 2012, which placed China, which in learning uses the chalk and talk method, in the top position in the categories of science, mathematics, and reading data (OECD, 2015). Furthermore, Miao, Reynolds, Harris, & Jones (2015) concluded that the average increase in metacognitive abilities and active learning of students in China was better than students in Britain who used a constructivist approach.

Despite the advantages of the conventional approach, some deficiencies, such as the lack of students' motivation and allowing opportunities for learners to solve problems, can deter educators from considering it as a preferred teaching-approach (Atasoy, Akkus, & Kadayifci, 2009). This approach has been criticized for its tendency to make students passive (Henikusniati, Andayani, & Savalas, 2015) and less effective in overcoming misconceptions (Demircioglu, Ayas, & Demircioglu, 2005; She & Lee, 2008).

One approach proposed to overcome the lack of the conventional method in engaging the students in the process of learning is the conceptual change approach. Conceptual change can define as an approach that involves the process of changing or correcting students' misconceptions (Sendur & Toprak, 2013). Changes in the concept itself interpreted as a process of turning ideas/thoughts from one concept to another (Hewson, 1992). Therefore, learning with the conceptual change approach involves the process of changing or refining old concepts into new ideas that are accepted by the scientific community (Vosniadou, Pnevmatikos, & Makris, 2018).

Four conditions that must be met to change the concept: (1) students must be dissatisfied with the concepts they have (dissatisfaction), (2) new concepts must be clear and easily understood by students (can be understood), (3) new concepts must make sense, and (4) New concepts must be effective or beneficial (fruitful) (Posner, Strike, Hewson, & Gertzog, 1982). To meet these conditions that create the state of cognitive conflict in the learners is required. (She, 2004b) mentions that cognitive conflict can raise in several ways, including practical activities, demonstrations, and visualization. The existence of cognitive conflict results in more meaningful learning so that understanding of the new concepts owned by students can last longer (Çalik, Kolomuc, & Karagölge, 2010; Atasoy, Akkus, & Kadayifci, 2009).

The implementation of the conceptual change approach to learning reflected in the learning model used. One learning model that fits the conceptual change approach is the Dual-Situated Learning Model (DSLM). This model considered having advantages because it combines three perspectives in conceptual change. Those perspectives involve epistemological, ontological, and motivational. This learning model has four duals as the principle of drafting DSLM learning, namely (1) conceptual change must be built following the characteristics of the concept and students' belief in the idea, (2) the process of conceptual change must cause dissonance of the students' initial knowledge/preconceptions and provide a new mental set either in the form of revision of old understandings or of the construction of the new agreement, (3) the process of making students' dissonance must foster student motivation and challenge their beliefs, (4) the process of conceptual change must challenge students' ontology and epistemological beliefs in a concept (She & Liao, 2010; She, 2004a). Based on these principles, this study developed the DSLM characteristics is developed into six stages of learning: (1) determining the attributes of the concept and mental setlist needed to create the idea, (2) identifying the students' misconceptions or preconceptions, (3) analyzing the mental set of students that are lacking, (4) design a dual-situated learning event, (5) implement a dual-situated learning event (DSL Event), and (6) provide a challenging situated learning event (CSL Event). The six stages of DSLM contained in 4 steps of learning, namely predicting, member reasons, dissonance confrontation, new mental set construction, and challenges. The step of DSLM expected to be able to prevent misconceptions among students or students' preconceptions that are similar to the misunderstandings found in the literature. In other words, DSLM learning expected to be able to improve students' understanding of concepts.

This study aims to compare the effectiveness of the Dual Situated Learning Model (DSLM) with conventional instruction in improving students 'understanding of the concept of chemical balance and comparing the effectiveness of the Dual Situated Learning (DSLM) model with conventional instruction in preventing students' misconceptions about chemical stability.

2. METHOD

This study used a quasi-experimental design with a pretest and posttest control group design. Pretest data use to test the normality and homogeneity of the sample as a prerequisite in determining the hypothesis test. The posttest examines the differences in students' understanding of the equilibrium and the possible misconceptions in the experimental and control groups. The research sample was grade XI high school students specializing in Mathematics and Natural Sciences. Two classes were selected by a cluster random sampling technique. An experimental class (N = 30 students) taught using the Dual-Situated Learning Model, and a control
The reaction of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) to dinitrogen tetraoxide (N₂O₄) which takes place is exothermic, follows the reaction equation:

\[ 2\text{NO}_2 (g) \rightleftharpoons \text{N}_2\text{O}_4 (g) \]

At constant pressure, after equilibrium is reached, if the temperature is raised then equilibrium will....

(A) shift left (C) not shift (B) shift to the right

Reason:

(i) the rise in temperature does not affect the equilibrium constant (K) and does not shift the equilibrium
(ii) the temperature rise will shift the equilibrium in the direction of the reaction which absorbs the energy and the equilibrium constant (K) will rise
(iii) an increase in temperature will shift the equilibrium in the direction of the reaction which releases energy and the equilibrium constant (K) will rise

(iv) an increase in temperature will shift the equilibrium in the direction of the reaction which absorbs energy and the equilibrium constant (K) will decrease

(v) the rise in temperature shifts the equilibrium in the direction of the reaction which releases energy and the equilibrium constant (K) decreases
Figure 1. Paired items to examine misconception (Bold font is a correct answer, (*) sign is misconception choice). One hundred five students who had received equilibrium material participated in the trial tests. The reliability check of the experiment was 0.691. The scoring guide used is a score of 1 given only to students who choose the correct combination of answers and reasons. While the wrong combination gets a score of 0.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 The effectiveness of DSLM in improving the high school students’ understanding of the chemical equilibrium concepts.

Before conducting the research, a pretest is undertaken to check the normality and homogeneity between the experimental and control groups. The significance values showed that the normality test in the pretest for the trial and control classes were 0.052 and 0.155, respectively, and they showed that the two types were usually distributed (α > 0.05). Homogeneity prerequisite tests in both groups showed that both classes were homogeneous, with a significance value of 0.460 (α > 0.05).

The first research objective tested using a T-Test. The post-test results showed that there was a significant difference between the experimental and the control groups. That can see from the F value of 4.149 and the significance of 0.003 (<0.05). Based on this analysis, it can conclude that there were differences in students’ understanding that are taught by the Dual-Situated Learning Model (DSLM) and conventional instruction. The average score of the experimental class posttest was 26.86, while the control class was 24.83. That means that the implementation of DSLM was better in improving students’ understanding of chemical equilibrium concepts compared to conventional instruction.

Table 1. Statistics Analyses of Improvement in Students’ Conceptions of Equilibrium. The result of the analysis showed that DSLM was effective in improving students’ understanding of the chemical equilibrium concepts compared to conventional instruction. That can explain from one perspective, such as teaching strategy/model. Learning with a DSLM invites students to prepare the mindset needed to understand a concept before gaining new knowledge by considering students’ perceptions of an idea and the nature of scientific concepts (She, 2002). The existence of a cognitive conflict in this learning approach aims to create a state of disequilibrium for students who have incompatible understanding/preconception. After students' dissatisfaction with the concept, the teacher presents a new idea that is more acceptable. The cognitive conflict results in more meaningful learning so that understanding of the latest concepts in students can last longer (Çalık, Kolomoç, & Karagölge, 2010; Atasoy, Akkus, & Kadayifçi, 2009). That is evident in the five stages of DSLM, namely prediction, giving reasons (explanations), confrontation of dissonance, and the construction of a new mindset and provide challenges to students (She, 2002).

Besides, the differences in students’ active learning may also be reasons for differences in students’ understandings in the experimental and control classes. Learning with DSLM requires students to play an active role in the learning process by following instructions written in worksheets. Some of the procedures students must follow are contained in the worksheet, while the rest are instructions from the teacher. The existence of worksheet instruction makes students active and cannot just wait for an explanation by the teacher. Giving a challenge is formed separately from the worksheets so that students can answer with the correct knowledge confidently.

The learning process with a conceptual change approach to DSLM begins by asking students to make predictions of a given phenomenon. The students are also asked to provide reasons to strengthen their answers. Each student uses their fundamental knowledge to solve the given problem. This stage will attract students' curiosity so that it motivates students to look for more information. From various predictions and reasons given, it appears that several predictions that lead to misconception.

The next stage is the dissonance confrontation, where students give facts about the concept being studied. This fact will cause cognitive conflict for students who have incompatible initial knowledge so that students experience dissatisfaction with their education. This condition results in accommodation in the cognitive structure of students so

Table 2. Statistics Analyses of Prevention of Students’ Misconception of Equilibrium.
Equilibrium is reached when the concentration of the product is the same as the reactants.

- Equilibrium is reached when the reaction rate of product formation and reactant formation are constant.
- During the reaction, the concentration of reactant decreases and the concentration of the product increases until both concentrations are equal (equilibrium).
- The addition of reactants will cause the equilibrium to shift to the reactants.
- Adding solids to the equilibrium system will shift the equilibrium.
- Adding pressure will shift the equilibrium in the direction of a large number of molecules.
- An increase in temperature will shift the equilibrium toward the exothermic reaction, and vice versa.

that the process of conceptual change can occur. Submission of facts can be made in several ways, such as providing data, videos, pictures, or practice directly. For illustration, the dissonance confrontation that carried out on the concept of shifting equilibrium is to practice directly. The training is a reaction between the copper metal and concentrated sulfuric acid. In addition to practice, students also asked to answer questions in the dissonance confrontation section. That intended to direct students while increasing the likelihood of dissatisfaction of students. In other concepts such as the concept of definition and equilibrium, dissonance confrontation carried out by providing several videos to provoke conflict in students.

The next stage is the construction of new mental sets. This stage asks students to carry out instructions in the worksheet with the guidance of the teacher. Instructions and questions in the worksheet are made in such a way as to be able to guide students to find the correct concepts. The new idea that built must be easier to understand (intelligible), more plausible, and can apply to other problems (fruitful) that are similar so that the new concept that built produces inherent changes in ideas. To see the concept change is by comparing the answers at the prediction stage and the metal construction set.

The final stage in learning with the DSLM conceptual change approach is the challenge stage. At this stage, students are allowed to use new concepts in solving other problems (She, 2002).

### 3.2 The effectiveness of DSLM in preventing high school students’ misconceptions of chemical equilibrium

To examine the second research aim t-test analysis was performed. The results of the analysis showed a $F_{\text{count}}$ value of 1.675 and a significance level of 0.004 ($<0.05$), meaning that there were significant differences between students’ misconceptions taught with DSLM and conventional instruction. The misconceptions held by students from the two groups showed that the experimental group (mean score = 1) held fewer misunderstandings in comparison to the control group (mean score= 2) so that it can conclude that DSLM was more effective in preventing students’ misconceptions compared to conventional instruction (see Table 2).

Table 2. Statistics Analyses of Prevention of Students’ Misconception of Equilibrium. Further analysis carried out to find out what misconceptions were held by students. Misconception experienced by students was obtained from the results of the consistency of wrong answers to each concept in chemical equilibrium. Inconsistent mistakes cannot consider as misconceptions, but it can be considered as random errors. The following misconceptions record on chemical equilibrium using a two-tier test instrument (see Table 3).

Table 3. High school students’ misconceptions in both experiment and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Misconception</th>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Exp. group (%)</th>
<th>Control group (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium definitions &amp; characteristics</td>
<td>- Equilibrium is reached when the concentration of the product is the same as the reactants.</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Equilibrium is reached when the reaction rate of product formation and reactant formation are constant</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>23.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- During the reaction, the concentration of reactant decreases and the concentration of the product increases until both concentrations are equal (equilibrium)</td>
<td>3 &amp; 4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Chatelier Principal</td>
<td>- The addition of reactants will cause the equilibrium to shift to the reactants.</td>
<td>5 &amp; 12</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>26.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Adding solids to the equilibrium system will shift the equilibrium.</td>
<td>6 &amp; 9</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>23.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Adding pressure will shift the equilibrium in the direction of a large number of molecules</td>
<td>7 &amp; 8</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- An increase in temperature will shift the equilibrium toward the exothermic reaction, and vice versa.</td>
<td>10 &amp; 11</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of the learners in the control group. It followed by misconceptions no 2 ‘Equilibrium reached when the reaction rate of product formation and reactant formation are constant’ in which the control group had more misconceptions by almost 13%. Similarly, misconception no 5 ‘Adding solids to the equilibrium system will shift equilibrium’, 23% of the students in the control group held misconceptions about it, in comparison with only 10% for the learners in the control group. Finally, the learners in the experimental group held less misconception by almost 10% about no 4 ‘The addition of reactants will cause the equilibrium to shift in the direction of the reactants.

The first concept in equilibrium is the definitions and characteristics of equilibrium. In this concept, students expect to be able to understand equilibrium in terms of macroscopic and submicroscopic aspects. Students often have no difficulty in understanding the macroscopic aspects of equilibrium because the human senses can capture them. Conversely, the sub-microscopic aspects of equilibrium cannot capture by the thoughts and required student’s deep understanding. As a result, students have difficulty in understanding the definition and characteristics of equilibrium. This difficulty triggers misconceptions within this concept group. The first misconception found in this concept group is that balance reached when the product concentration is the same as the reactants. This misconception also found by Uce & Ceyhan (2019), Hackling & Garnett (1985), and Demircioğlu, Demircioğlu, & Yadigaroglu, (2013) where the students assume that equilibrium occurs when the concentration is the same. Another misconception found that the equilibrium is reached when the rate of formation of the product and the rate of formation of the reactants is fixed, and during the reaction, the reactant concentration decreases the concentration of the product increases to the same concentration. These three misconceptions may occur because students have difficulty in understanding the conditions of equilibrium as a whole. As expressed by Bilim (2003), students do not understand equilibrium conditions because they do not understand alternating reactions, two-way reaction rates, and the relationship between reactant and product concentrations to time. The correct concept is that equilibrium reached when the rate of product formation is equal to the rate of reactant formation so that the concentration of reactants and products remains constant (Silberberg & Amateis, 2018).

The concept group of chemical shifts (Le Chatelier's principle) also gives rise to misconceptions about students. Based on Table 1, misconceptions in bullets, 4 through 7, are still widely experienced by students. The first misconception in this concept group is that the addition of reactants will shift the equilibrium towards the reactants. The same misconception discovered by Demircioğlu, Demircioğlu, & Yadigaroglu (2013) and Özmen (2008), where students assume that when a substance added to the equilibrium system, equilibrium will shift to the side of the addition. The correct concept is the addition of substances to equilibrium will shift the equilibrium from the direction of addition (Silberberg & Amateis, 2018). The second misconception in this group is the addition of solids to equilibrium will shift equilibrium. The addition of solids should not affect equilibrium (Silberberg & Amateis, 2018). Misconceptions were also found by Özmen (2008), Demircioğlu, Demircioğlu, & Yadigaroglu (2013), Sendur, Toprak, & Pekmez (2010) and Banerjee (1991) because students lack understanding of the equilibrium concept and the nature of the substance itself. As a result, students assume that the principle of Le Chatelier can be used on a variety of systems, including heterogeneous equilibrium systems. The next misconception in this concept group is that the addition of pressure will shift the equilibrium toward the number of large molecules, and an increase in temperature will shift the balance toward the exothermic. Both of these misconceptions also discovered by Özmen (2008) and Demircioğlu, Demircioğlu, & Yadigaroglu (2013). Based on the findings of other researchers, it was found that the two misconceptions were caused by a lack of understanding of the equilibrium and the principle of Le Chatelier itself.

4. CONCLUSION

The results of this study show that Dual-Situated Learning Model (DLSM) is more effective in improving students’ understandings of equilibrium concepts compared to their understanding when using conventional instruction. Besides, DLSM is also more effective in preventing misconceptions on the same topic compared to conventional instruction. This research implies that in designing classroom instruction, a teacher should firstly identify the students’ initial concepts (preconceptions) or alternative concepts that are similar to misconceptions found in the literature. The students’ pre-concepts or initial concepts are then included explicitly in learning so that the new topics taught will be aligned with the students’ initial concepts so that the potency of misconceptions could avoid.

REFERENCES


